Bellamy Secretariat Library Copy
To be returned when done with.

REPORT

ON

CRIMINAL AND CIVIL JUSTICE

IN THE

HYDERABAD ASSIGNED DISTRICTS,

FOR

1882.

By Major H. C. A. SZCZEPAŃSKI,
OFFICIATING JUDICIAL COMMISSIONER, HYDERABAD ASSIGNED DISTRICTS.

Hyderabad:
PRINTED AT THE RESIDENCY GOVERNMENT PRESS.
1883.

19

No. 899 of 1883.

From

Major H. C. A. SZCZEPANSKI,

Officiating Judicial Commissioner,

Hyderabad Assigned Districts,

To

The SECRETARY for BERAR to the RESIDENT,

Hyderabad.

Dated Akola, 22*nd March* 1883.

Sir,

I have the honour to submit my Report on the administration of Criminal Justice in the Hyderabad Assigned Districts during the year 1882, and to forward the prescribed returns and district reports for the information of the Resident.

District reports.

2. The district reports were received in my office on due date. The compilation of the statements appended to this Report have however been delayed, as far as the Wun District is concerned, by the causes noted in para. 2 of the Report on Civil Justice for the past year.

3. The office of Judicial Commissioner was held by Colonel Bushby from the 3rd January to the 31st December 1882.

Tribunals.

The following statement shows the effective strength of the magistracy at the close of the year :—

Districts.	Stipendiary Magistrates.			Special Magistrates.
	1st Class.	2nd Class.	3rd Class.	
Akola	5	6	2	5
Buldana	2	4	...	4
Basim	1	4	...	4
Amraoti	4	4	2	5
Ellichpur	3	3	1	3
Wun	2	3	1	1
1882 ...	17	24	6	22
1881 ...	16	23	5	18

The differences may be explained thus :—

Mr. Atmaram Bhikaji, Extra Assistant Commissioner, and Mr. Nizam-ud-Din Hassan, Officiating Assistant Commissioner, were shown last year as purely Civil Judges, but these officers having disposed of criminal work in addition during 1882, their names have been included with 1st and 2nd Class Magistrates respectively.

In 1881, Messrs. Fowler and Yar Mahomed Khan did not exercise criminal powers, but were employed as 3rd Class Magistrates during 1882. On the other hand, Mr. Mahomed Razza Khan left the province at the end of the year. This will account for the increase of one under 3rd Class Magistrates.

In the last column there is an increase of four Special Magistrates, who were appointed at Chandur, Deulgaon Raja, Amrapur and Pusad, during the year under report.

4. The plan of the present Report has been slightly altered to suit the arrangement of the revised returns. The subjects noticed on the present occasion fall under the following divisions and headings:—

Part. I.—Original Jurisdiction—

a.—General review of offences committed and brought to trial.
b.—Classification of offences
c.—Miscellaneous Proceedings under the Criminal Procedure Code.
d.—Result of trials.
e.—Agency for disposal of work.
f.—Special jurisdiction of Magistrates.
g.—Original work of Judicial Commissioner's Court as Court of Session.
h.—Witnesses.

Part II.—Punishments.

Part III.—Appellate Jurisdiction of Judicial Commissioner's Sessions Court and of Magistrates.

Part IV.—Procedure.

PART I.—ORIGINAL JURISDICTION.

A.—*General review of offences committed and brought to trial.*

Offences reported.

5. The following comparative statement shows for the province the number of cases reported and struck off the register of offences on the application of the police as well as the cases brought to trial during the past three years:—

Years.	Offences reported.	Offences struck off the register.	Cases brought to trial.
1882	15,132	1,127	11,123
1881	16,271	1,807	11,839
1880	19,852	2,254	14,232

This table exhibits a net decrease, on the whole, of 1,139 offences or 7 per cent, as compared with the year 1881. Taking districts, however, it will be observed that crime increased in Amraoti, Ellichpur and Wun. But these increases were counterbalanced by a decline in the other three districts. The principal increases and decreases under the more important heads of crime will be discussed below under their appropriate headings.

Offences struck off.

6. The following are the percentages of cases struck off in each district of the province during 1882, as compared with the preceding year:—

	1882.	1881.
Akola	5·2	12·9
Buldana	8·9	20·7
Basim	11·3	11·6
Amraoti	3·9	4·1
Ellichpur	8·9	11·8
Wun	13·5	11·5
Total	7·4	11·3

There is a satisfactory diminution in the general percentage of cases struck off, while between districts the contrast is not so striking as in the preceding year. In Buldana, especially, the decrease of 12 per cent. is remarkable. The striking off of cases in Buldana has year by year been unfavourably remarked on, and the Deputy Commissioner was, during the year, called on to explain why in that district there should be so high a percentage of such cases. Colonel Menzies in his report attributed this to the difference of procedure in some districts, and there is no doubt that no comparison is possible unless the procedure in all districts is the same. The report in question was submitted for the information of the Resident under cover of this office endorsement No. 3355, dated 13th October 1882.

7. Cases struck off on the application of the police account for 1,127 of the total number of offences reported, and leave a balance of 14,005 cases returned as true, against 14,464 in 1881.

Offences admitted

The ratio of cases brought to trial to cases admitted to have occurred was 79·4 per cent. as compared with 81 in the previous year. It is noticeable that though the number of cases brought to trial fell from 11,839 to 11,123, there was an increase of 269 in the number of persons proceeded against.

Cases brought to trial.

B.—*Classification of offences.*

8. Imperial Statement II. gives in detail the number of offences committed and of the persons tried for each class of offences during the year.

Imperial Statement II.

Subjoined is an abstract comparing the offences in each class for the past two years:—

	1882.	1881.	Increase.	Decrease.
Offences against the State	...	...	...	...
Do. relating to the Army and Navy	.	1	...	1
Do against the public tranquility	287	198	89	...
Do. by or relating to public servants	17	18	...	1
Contempts of the lawful authority of public servants ...	227	225	2	...
False evidence and offences against public justice ..	66	74	...	8
Offences relating to coin and Government stamps ...	28	21	7	...
Do. weights and measures	44	64	...	20
Do. affecting the public health, safety, convenience, decency and morals	320	394	...	74
Do. relating to religion	10	5	5	...
Total ...	999	1,000	103	104
			Net decrease	1
Offences affecting human body	4,324	4,041	283	...
			Net increase.	
Offences against property	5,225	5,031	194	...
			Net increase.	
Offences not included in the above ...	253	209	44	...
			Net increase.	
Offences under special and local laws	3,204	4,183	...	979
			Net decrease.	
Grand Total ...	14,005	14,464	624	1,083
			Net decrease	459

9. The increase of 89 offences against the public tranquility is considerable, but they seem to have been everywhere of a mild type. The Mohurrum and Dewali festivals fell together in 1882, and the Mahomedans were inclined to object to Hindu music and processions in the neighbourhood of Mosques. A riot in the Buldana District arose out of the animosity existing between the Mahomedans and Hindus at Rajur, and was the only one of a serious nature. Out of 1,577 persons brought to trial for offences of this kind, nearly 45 per cent. were convicted.

Results commented on

Offences relating to coin have increased from 21 to 28. The cases were of the ordinary kind. The offenders either attempted to pass imitations of Empress' rupees or copper pice coated with quicksilver.

Offences against the person have increased. The increase is chiefly under the heads of wrongful restraints and assaults.

Although the year was marked by good harvests and low prices of food-grain, there was a slight increase in offences against property. This increase occurred almost entirely in cases of theft. The district reports however show that this description of crime has been of a very petty nature.

There has been a large falling-off under the head of offences under special and local laws. This is due to prosecutions for public nuisances having largely decreased in number; the reason for this probably is that the importance of sanitation has been so impressed on the people that there is less necessity for resorting to law.

Serious offences

10. The following comparative table shows the fluctuations in serious offences against person and property.—

	1882.	1881.	Increase.	Decrease.
Offences affecting life	101	98	3	...
Kidnapping	8	8	...	...
Rape	19	20	...	1
Unnatural offences	...	6	..	6
Total ..	128	132	3	7
Theft	2,903	2,729	174	...
Robbery and dakaiti	44	57	...	13
Total ..	2,947	2,786	174	13
Grand Total	3,075	2,918	177	20
			Net increase 157	

The increase of thefts by 174 is a mere fluctuation which needs no comment. As already stated, the majority of these offences were very petty.

It is satisfactory to find that robberies and dakaitis fell from 57 to 44. I gather from the district reports that these cases too were not of a serious nature.

c.—*Miscellaneous proceedings under the Criminal Procedure Code.*

Offences under the Criminal Procedure Code.

11. A general view of the proceedings taken by and before Magistrates under the Criminal Procedure Code is given in the following table:—

Nature of Proceedings.	Year.	Total number of cases disposed of.	Total number of persons disposed of.	Number of persons convicted.
Frivolous or vexatious complaints summarily dealt with under Chapter XVI, Section 209.	1881 ...	13	13	13
	1882 ...	65	66	66
Proceedings against witnesses, Chapter XXVI. .	1881 .	...	...	...
	1882	2	2	2
Forfeiture of bail or recognizance under Chapter XXVIII.	1881 ..	4	4	4
	1882 .	37	44	39
Non-attendance of Jurors or Assessors, Chapter XXIX, Section 414.	1881 ..	..	...	...
	1882 ...	...	...	...
Contempts of Courts under Chapter XXXII. ..	1881 ..	...	...	...
	1882 ..	4	4	4
Proceedings under Chapter XXXVII. to prevent breach of the peace.	1881 ..	13	52	13
	1882 ..	20	58	33
Proceedings under Chapter XXXVIII, security for good behaviour.	1881 ..	53	75	59
	1882 ..	30	34	23
Proceedings against local nuisances, Chapter XXXIX.	1881 ...	...	...	..
	1882 ...	381	519	22
Possession, Chapter XL.	1881 ...	2	4	..
	1882 .	4	11	6
Maintenance, Chapter XLI.	1881 ...	84	79	23
	1882 ...	129	124	22

12. Security or recognizance to keep the peace was demanded of 58 persons. In the former year 52 persons were similarly dealt with. Neither the district reports nor returns afford any information as to whether any of the persons concerned were imprisoned in default of furnishing security.

Security to keep the peace.

There were 30 cases reported under Chapter XXXVIII. of Act X. of 1872, involving 34 persons; 55·9 per cent. of the entire number were in the Amraoti and Buldana Districts.

Security for good behaviour.

Of the persons dealt with 20 were imprisoned in default, and three found the security required of them; the remainder were discharged or awaiting trial at the close of the year.

Of the 129 applications for maintenance under Chapter XLI. orders were passed against 124 persons. The corresponding figures in 1881 were 85 and 79 respectively. 22 persons were convicted in 1882 against 23 in the previous year, for refusing to comply with the orders of maintenance passed against them.

Maintenance.

The variations under the remaining heads do not call for any special remark. They are said to be chiefly due to a difference of classification in the return for 1881, which was then introduced for the first time, and therefore not properly understood.

D.—*Result of trials.*

13. The general result of criminal trials in the various tribunals of the province during the year is shown in Imperial Return No. IV.

Imperial Statement No. IV.

Including persons under trial at the close of 1881, there were 20,022 persons for disposal during 1882. Of these 19,897 persons or 99·3 per cent. were disposed of during the year as under:—

Details of disposal.

Died, &c. ...	...	76 or	...	0·4	per cent.
Discharged or acquitted	...	10,079 or	...	50·7	do.
Convicted ...	...	9,707 or	...	48·8	do.
Committed or referred	..	35 or	...	0·1	do.

14 The following abstract statement shows the percentage of convictions by districts:—

			1882.		1881.
Akola	.	...	52·1	...	46·1
Buldana	...	...	40·9	...	59·0
Basim	...	...	62 9	...	69·0
Amraoti	...	...	38 5	...	43 6
Ellichpur	...	...	51 6	...	55·3
Wun	..	...	57·3	...	61·3

The general percentage of convictions to persons tried has fallen from 51·4 in 1881 to 47·7 in 1882. These results are somewhat retrogressive, and are no doubt due to a want of sufficient care in the preliminary sifting of complaints. Two Deputy Commissioners are of opinion that this result is partly due to the larger number of persons concerned during the year in cases compounded under Section 210 of Act X of 1872.

The percentage of convictions is highest in Basim. Last year there was an even higher percentage of convictions in that district. The percentage is very low in the Buldana District, for which it would seem that Mr Edalji Bahmanji, Assistant Commissioner, is chiefly responsible. Colonel Menzies remarks as follows:—

"He (Mr. Edalji) only convicted 23·9 per cent. of those who stood before him, not even 1 in 4. I am not surprised at this, for I found that instead of thoroughly examining complainants, he was contenting himself with swearing them to the truth of the averments in their petitions of complaint, and then issuing process against those who were accused. He ought to have known that petitioners get their complaints written by men whose only object is to make a good long petition for which they can charge a heavy fee, and that they exaggerate and supply what they think will be telling assertions, regardless of facts."

The worst result is shown in Amraoti, which is even below that of last year. The Deputy Commissioner has offered no explanation beyond remarking that "this is an exceedingly bad result."

Assaults, &c.

15. The statement which follows exhibits certain details regarding prosecutions in cases of hurt, assault and intimidation or insult:—

Districts.	Hurt.		Assault.		Intimidation or insult.	
	Persons prosecuted.	Percentage of convictions.	Persons prosecuted.	Percentage of convictions.	Persons prosecuted.	Percentage of convictions.
Akola	237	33·3	1,981	38·1	33	36·4
Buldana	88	55 6	620	21 5	26	26·9
Basim	103	56·3	343	26·8	26	50·0
Amraoti	268	33·2	2,667	20·8	87	22·9
Ellichpur	112	76 8	1,270	29·3	53	30·2
Wun	86	55·8	429	20·9	15	26·7
Total ...	894	45·86	7,310	27·35	240	30·0

The highest proportion of convictions in hurt cases was attained in Ellichpur with 76·8 per cent. Basim also shows well in this respect, while in Amraoti the percentage was the lowest. Last year the largest number of assault cases and one of the lowest percentages of convictions was shown by Amraoti, and the present results exhibit no improvement. The Deputy Commissioner purposes examining the record of trials (as suggested by Colonel Bushby in the Judicial Report for 1881) and furnishing a separate report as to the cause of these specially bad results. Such scrutiny should be applied constantly, and not merely at the end of the year.

Pending file.

16. The heaviest pending file was in the Amraoti District, where 31 cases had not been disposed of out of 79, the number pending for the whole province at the close of the year.

125 persons were awaiting trial in the Magistrates' Courts at the close of the year. Of these 56 remained in the Akola District. The Deputy Commissioner states that 31 persons were implicated in one case under Section 160, Indian Penal Code, from the village of Panchgawan.

17. The average duration of cases disposed of in Magistrates' Courts (including cases under Section 36 of the Criminal Procedure Code) remains almost the same as in the previous year, *viz.*, 6·7* against 6·1 days. In the Punjab Report for 1881 the average duration for that province was set down as 8 days. These figures do not seem to call for any comment.

* Last year the average was through an oversight shown as 12·1.

E.—*Agency for disposal of work.*

Distribution of work.

18. Imperial Return No. IV. shows the general results of original criminal trials in the tribunals of various classes in the province.

The following statement shows in greater detail how the work has been distributed among the several courts of districts for the last three years:—

	Cases disposed of.			Percentage.		
	1880.	1881.	1882.	1880.	1881.	1882.
6 Deputy Commissioners ..	529	417	381	3·6	3·5	3·4
15 Assistant Commissioners and Attachés ...	1,484	1,226	1,456	10·2	10·1	12·9
8 Extra Assistant Commissioners ...	1,106	562	583	7·6	4·6	5·2
22 Tahsildars ...	7,379	5,486	4,692	51·4	45·3	41·5
22 Special Magistrates ...	3,453	4,427	4,190	23·9	36·5	37·0

19. The number of cases tried by Deputy Commissioners has been 381 or 36 below the number tried by them in 1881. The percentage, however, exhibits no change.

Cases disposed of by Magistrates of districts.

The proportion disposed of by Assistant and Extra Assistant Commissioners taken together has risen from 14·7 in 1881 to 18·1 per cent in 1882 In Amraoti and Buldana there was a considerable increase in the work performed by Assistant Commissioners, amounting to 8 per cent. in each of those districts. On the other hand, there was a falling-off in the Ellichpur, Wun and Akola Districts. The Deputy Commissioner, Ellichpur, has some remarks to show that the Assistant Commissioner in that district could not have done more criminal work without detriment to the rest of the work entrusted to him. The Resident is aware that the Assistant Commissioner in the Wun District is fully employed. But the explanation afforded by the Deputy Commissioner, Akola District, that the decrease is "due to nuisance cases being so much fewer" does not appear altogether satisfactory.

Work performed by Assistant and Extra Assistant Commissioners.

In the work done by Tahsildars there is a decrease of 3·8 per cent., and although this is not very considerable, still the percentages since 1880 evince a downward tendency in the share of business disposed of by these magistrates.

Work done by Tahsildars.

The Deputy Commissioner, Akola, looking at the smaller number of cases dealt with by these officers, does not consider that the Tahsildars of his district are heavily worked

Remarks of a similar tendency have been made by the Deputy Commissioner of Ellichpur. In the Wun District the Tahsildars disposed of 76 3 per cent of the criminal business of the district, but 37 per cent. was decided by the Tahsildar of Darwha alone, who has been relieved of much other work The subject is not specially noticed in the other reports. Considering the character of the year's crime (*viz.*, a large increase under the head of wrongful restraints and assaults, as also a rise in the number of petty thefts), a marked decrease in the average number of cases disposed of by each Tahsildar could not be expected without causing very great inconvenience to complainants and witnesses if they were sent long distances to the head-quarters of the district.

The number of cases made over to individual Tahsildars was, however, rather large, as will be apparent from the following table, even when allowance has been made for local circumstances and the character of the crime during the year:—

Tahsil.	No. of cases disposed of.
Khamgaon	394
Darwha	387
Ellichpur	333
Basim	314
Morsi	312
Amraoti	308

The criminal work in many other tahsils too appears to be somewhat heavy, and I am of opinion that efforts should have been made, where possible, in some districts to give effect to the Resident's views (enunciated in the reviews of the Judicial Reports for 1880 and 1881) regarding the distribution of work.

A larger share of criminal work fell on the Special Magistrates. No less than 37 per cent. of the cases decided were dealt with by these gentlemen, and from the district reports they seem, on the whole, to have discharged the duties devolving on them in a satisfactory manner.

By Special Magistrates.

20. European magistrates disposed of 13·4 per cent. of the abovementioned cases and native magistrates of 86·6 per cent. In 1881 the former disposed of 13, and the latter agency 87 per cent. of the cases decided.

Work performed by European and native agency.

F.—Special jurisdiction of Magistrates.

21. During the year under report, 116 cases were disposed of by Deputy Commissioners under Section 36 of Act X. of 1872, as compared with 140 in the previous year. The greatest number was disposed of by the Deputy Commissioner, Amraoti.

Of the total number of persons disposed of under this Section, 94 or 47·2 per cent. were convicted; of those convicted, 22·3 per cent. were punished with terms of imprisonment exceeding three years, and their sentences referred to the Sessions Judge for confirmation.

Summary trials.

22. The number of persons and cases tried summarily in each district during the year is shown in the subjoined statement:—

Districts	Summary jurisdiction.		
	Persons.		Total cases disposed of
	Acquitted, discharged, &c.	Convicted.	
Akola	31	141	147
Buldana	20	51	59
Basim	11	42	34
Amraoti	161	195	356
Ellichpur...	38	145	130
Wun	13	45	42

Notwithstanding the prevalence of petty crime during the year, there was only an increase of four in the number of cases summarily disposed of. The procedure has been very sparingly used in the Basim and Wun Districts, the reason being that so few cases which can be tried summarily come before officers who are empowered so to dispose of them.

The percentage of convictions is again lowest in the Amraoti District, *viz*, 54 8 per cent., as against 82 in Akola and 79·2 in Basim, and no explanation has been attempted, although the matter was commented upon by the Resident last year The Deputy Commissioner has now been called on for an explanation, which will be submitted in due course.

G.—Original work of Judicial Commissioner's Court as Court of Session.

Provincial Statement No. XIX.

23. The subjoined table gives particulars regarding the original work by the Sessions Court during the year. The general results of 1881 are added for comparison:—

Years.	Persons disposed of during the year.	Decided.			
		Convicted and sentenced to death.	Convicted and sentenced to transportation.	Convicted and sentenced otherwise.	Acquitted, died, &c.
1882	35	7	12	7	9
1881	76	9	11	19	37

Details of disposals.

24. The percentage of persons convicted to persons disposed of is 74 3, as compared with 51·3 in the year 1881. The proportion was highest in the Amraoti District, *viz.*, 91 7 per cent., and lowest in the Akola District, where no convictions were obtained

Punishments awarded.

Of seven persons sentenced to be hanged, the sentence of three was commuted by the Resident as High Court either to imprisonment or transportation for life. And of the 12 persons sentenced to be transported for life the sentence of one was commuted by the Local Government (in a case of infanticide) to rigorous imprisonment for five years. The remainder were sentenced to imprisonment for terms varying from six months and under to ten years.

Of the 20 cases disposed of, 15 were for murder and abetment of murder, one for dakaiti with murder, one for culpable homicide, one for causing death by rash or negligent act, one for grievous hurt, and one for theft.

25. The average duration of sessions trials for the province, reckoned from the date of commitment to date of final order, is 37·5 days, against 41 in the preceding year.

Average duration

Pending cases

Two cases remained pending at the close of the year, affecting the same number of persons.

H.—*Witnesses.*

Provincial Statement No. XVII.

23. The subjoined table compares the detention of witnesses in district courts for the years 1881 and 1882 .—

	1882.		1881.	
	Number	Percentage	Number	Percentage
Discharged after one day's attendance ..	32,425	82 4	31,807	81·4
Do. on the 2nd day	4,966	12 6	5,217	13·4
Do. on the 3rd day	1,276	3·2	1,333	3·4
Detained for more than three days	714	1·8	714	1·8

These results show an improvement on last year, although the number of witnesses who attended Magistrate's Courts was larger than in 1881.

In Akola and Basim, witnesses were detained longer than in other districts, but the reasons assigned for the detention are, on the whole, satisfactory. The worst result is again shown by Amraoti, where 73 witnesses were detained for more than four days, and of this number 15 were detained from seven to nine days The Deputy Commissioner remarks that "there is no reason to think that the witnesses were detained without sufficient grounds." Much the same result was commented upon last year, and an improvement in this respect might have been expected.

PART II —PUNISHMENTS.

Imperial Statement No. V.

27. Imperial Return No. V shows the punishments inflicted by the various criminal tribunals during the year

Persons punished

The following table gives details of the kinds of punishment inflicted by all courts together for the year 1882 :—

District	Total number of persons sentenced to punishments of all kinds		Death				Transportation				Imprisonment				Fine				Whipping			
			No		Percentage		No		Percentage		No		Percentage		No		Percentage		No		Percentage	
	1881	1882	1881	1882	1881	1882	1881	1882	1881	1882	1881	1882	1881	1882	1881	1882	1881	1882	1881	1882	1881	1882
Akola	2,650	2 514									448	281	16 9	11 2	2,161	2,174	81 5	86 5	41	59	1 6	2 3
Buldana	1,221	910									299	192	24 6	21 1	827	649	67 7	73 5	95	49	7 7	5 4
Basim	1,482	1,153									333	299	22 5	25 4	1,107	789	74 7	68 4	42	71	2 8	6 2
Amraoti	2,589	2,751									355	347	13 7	12 6	2,132	2,336	82 4	84 8	102	71	3 9	2 6
Ellichpur	1,708	1 719									279	296	16 3	17 2	1,368	1,364	80 0	79 4	63	59	3 7	3 4
Wun	1,250	1,082									291	198	23 3	18 3	874	847	69 9	78 3	85	37	6 8	3 4
Judicial Commission or a Sessions Court and Courts of Deputy Commissioners under their special jurisdiction	83	371	9	7	10 8	1 9	11	12	13 3	3 2	54	224	65 1	60 4	7	114	8 4	30 7	2	14	2 4	3 8
Total	10,983	10,503	9	7	0 1	0 1	11	12	0 1	0 1	2,059	1,831	18 8	17 4	8,474	8,293	77 1	79 0	430	360	3 9	3 4

28. This table exhibits considerable varieties between different districts in the method of punishment adopted I refrain, however, from commenting thereon, as the Resident observed in his review of the Judicial Report for 1880 that such remarks answer no useful purpose "unless at the same time an analysis could be made of the prevailing classes of crime, and classes of criminals in each." None of the prescribed returns afford information of this nature.

General results

The general results are that there has been less imprisoning than in the previous year. This is, of course, to be attributed to the falling-off in *serious* offences against property. The percentage of sentences of fine is two per cent. higher than in 1881, and is probably due to the preponderance of assault cases in 1882, in which fine is generally the most suitable penalty Thus the changes in the character of the punishment awarded correspond generally with the changes in the character of the year's crime

Whipping

29. The general percentage of sentences of whipping is nearly the same as last year; but the variations between different districts still continue The views of the Magistrates who are empowered to inflict the punishment would appear from some of the district reports to differ so widely as to its suitability, that it is impossible to assign a distinct reason for the fluctuations under this head, and it is useless to hazard a conjecture as to the cause of the divergence in the number of cases in the several districts. On this point the Deputy Commissioner of Buldana remarks:—

"The percentage of persons whipped has fallen from 10·4 in 1880, and 7 5 in 1881 to 4·9 in 1882. The number whipped was 49 as against 135 in 1880, and 95 in 1881. This evidences an increasing dislike on the part of Magistrates to this description of punishment. The idiosyncracies of Magistrates cannot be combated; if a man dislikes whipping he will not cause it to be inflicted."

And the Deputy Commissioner, Amraoti, says:—

"The punishment is not certainly a favorite one with our Magistrates, and I do not believe much in whipping inflicted by native Magistrates, or indeed anywhere except in a jail. I confess myself to an almost invincible personal repugnance to having a whipping inflicted as it should be."

The fact is that whipping to be of use must be severe, and, if severe, it is more or less brutal; if not severe, it is not of much use, and now no severe whipping is sanctioned by law.

The statistics regarding the punishment of whipping required by your endorsement No. 527-J. are submitted as appendices to this report.

30 The following table compares the degrees, in which punishments of imprisonment, whipping and fine, were inflicted by all Courts during 1881 and 1882:—

Degree of punishment.	1881		1882.	
	Persons	Percentage	Persons.	Percentage
Fine.				
Rs. 10 and under	7,339	86·6	7,099	85·6
„ 11 to 50	1,032	12·2	1,093	13 2
„ 51 to 100	78	0 9	76	0·9
„ 101 to 500	24	0·3	24	0·3
„ 501 to 1,000	1	0 0	1	0·0
Above Rs. 1,000	...	...	...	...
Imprisonment.	8,474	100·0	8,293	100·0
To period not exceeding 15 days	602	29·2	512	28·0
Do. exceeding 15 days but not exceeding six months ...	1,031	50·1	964	52·6
Do. six months but exceeding two years ...	290	14·1	282	15·4
Do. two years but not exceeding seven years ...	132	6·4	72	3·9
Above seven years	4	0·2	1	0·1
Whipping.	2,059	100·0	1,831	100 0
10 stripes and under	83	19 3	86	23 9
11 to 20 stripes	231	53·7	207	57·5
21 to 30 do.	116	27·0	67	18 6
	430	100 0	360	100·0

Imprisonment.

The only point in this table, which appears to call for remark, is the increase of 1·3 per cent. in sentences exceeding six months, but not exceeding two years. A number of these sentences must have been passed in petty theft cases. And the smaller number of dakaitis and robberies apparently account for the diminished percentage under sentences above two years, but not exceeding seven years.

31. The following fine account is compiled from Provincial Statement No. XVIII:—

Dr.	Rs.	Cr.	Rs.
Fines outstanding at the close of 1881	60,320	Fines realized during 1882	60,153
Imposed in 1882	67,363	Amount relinquished or refunded.	33,512
		Outstanding at the close of 1882..	34,018
Total ...	1,27,683	Total ..	1,27,683

Fines imposed and realized.

The fines imposed during the year amounted to Rs. 67,363, in comparison with Rs. 62,954 in 1881. The balance outstanding at the close of the year was Rs. 26,302 less than the balance to be realized at the beginning of the year, and the amount struck off as unrealizable was Rs 33,512. as compared with Rs. 36,964. These figures represent the amount relinquished by lapse of time, as well as remissions on account of irrecoverable fines, and are certainly high. There was a tendency in the Basim and Ellichpur Districts to inflict fines without due regard to the general condition in life of the offenders. Consequently instructions were issued during the year (in compliance with the Resident's suggestion in the review of the Criminal Report for 1881), which have been productive of some effect, as the realizations in the Ellichpur and Basim Districts compare well with the year's impositions, and the latter district shows one of the smallest balances to be realized at the end of the year.

Amounts awarded in compensation.

32. The amount paid as compensation to complainants or injured parties was Rs 8,110, as compared with Rs. 7,963 paid last year. The largest sums were paid in the Akola and Buldana Districts. It should be remembered, however, that non-payment of compensation is sometimes due to failure to realize the fine from which payment has been ordered.

PART III.—Appellate Jurisdiction of the Judicial Commissioner's Sessions Courts and of Magistrates.

Imperial Return No. VI.

33. Imperial Return No. VI. shows the general result of appeal and revision in criminal cases in the province during the year.

Appeals to Deputy Commissioners.

The total number of persons appealing was 478 (excluding one transferred) and of these the appeals in regard to 471 were disposed of during the year as follows:—

	Appeals rejected.		Sentences confirmed.		Sentences reduced or otherwise altered.		Sentences reversed.		Proceedings quashed.		Referred to High Court for revision.	
	No. of persons.	Percentage.	No. of persons.	Percentage.	No. of persons.	Percentage.	No. of persons.	Percentage.	No. of persons	Percentage.	No. of persons.	Percentage.
Courts of District Magistrates.	52	11·1	141	29·9	114	24·2	164	34·8	...	...	...	...
Court of the Judicial Commissioner, Hyderabad Assigned Districts	58	42·7	15	11·0	8	5·9	12	8·8	...	...	43	31·6

34. The power of rejection still appears to be little appreciated in some districts. The Deputy Commissioner of Akola alone exercised it in a good many cases. In Basim and Wun it was not used at all. The Deputy Commissioner of the latter district explains that as he "preferred to hear appeals thoroughly instead of running the risk of miscarriage of justice, he did not think it advisable to reject any appeal summarily under Section 278, Criminal Procedure Code." The Deputy Commissioner, Basim, also has some remarks to the same effect.

Appeals rejected.

Appeals in district courts required an average of 12 days for decision. The average was rather high in the following districts :—

Amraoti	...	19·4	days.
Akola	...	18·1	,,

35. The number of persons who appealed to the Judicial Commissioner has increased from 72 to 140 in the year under report. Of these 42·7 per cent. were rejected at the first hearing, while the percentage of appellants whose sentences were reversed has fallen from 10·3 in 1881 to 8·8 in 1882.

Appeals to the Judicial Commissioner.

Appeals reversed.

The average duration of appeals in the court of session is 24 days or 7·5 days more than in the preceding year. The duration is somewhat higher than the preceding year, and resulted from a case having been twice remanded for further enquiry. It was also found necessary in certain cases to call for the proceedings a second time, which helped to raise the average.

Average duration.

PART IV.—Procedure.

36. The following figures show the number of cases called up by the district courts for inspection :—

District.	No. of cases called up including the balance of 1881.	No. of cases transferred to Assistant Commissioners for inspection and report.	No. of cases inspected by Deputy Commissioners	Result of inspection.				No of cases pending inspection at the close of the year
				Cases in which procedure was found to be correct.	Cases in which errors pointed out and communicated to the officers concerned.	Cases submitted for revision under Section 296, Criminal Procedure Code.	Total	
Akola ...	636	531	105	512	124	...	636	..
Buldana	67	...	63	55	8	...	63	4
Basim ...	293	12	281	241	52	..	293	...
Amraoti .	320	...	320	320	...	.	320	..
Ellichpur ...	424	75	344	269	150	...	419	5
Wun ..	209	.	209	110	98	1	209	...
Total	1,949	618	1,322	1,507	432	1	1,940	9

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant,

H. SZCZEPANSKI,

Offg. Judicial Commissioner,

Hyderabad Assigned Districts.

APPENDICES.

IMPERIAL.

JUDICIAL STATEMENT No. I.—(Civil and Criminal.)—*Statement showing the number of Judicial Divisions, and the number of officers exercising appellate or original jurisdiction in the Hyderabad Assigned Districts on the last day of the year 1882, with the cost of Tribunals.*

Name of Province			Area.	Population.	Number of divisions for courts under chief court, but superior to chief courts of districts.	Number of districts.	Number of sub-districts	Total number of officers exercising original or appellate jurisdiction. Judges of chief court of province.	Judges of other courts superior to chief district courts.	Judges of chief courts of districts.	Judges of district courts other than chief courts.	Judges of other sub-ordinate courts.	Total number of cases decided. Original. Regular.	Original. Miscellaneous.	Appeals. Regular.	Appeals. Miscellaneous.	Total receipts of the courts.	Total charges of the courts.	Remarks.
1			2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18
																	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	
Hyderabad Assigned Districts.	Territory subject to the High Court.	Civil	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
		Criminal.	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
		Revenue.	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
	Territory not subject to the High Court	Civil ...	17,711	2,672,673	71	6	22	...	1	6	11	56	20,773	2,808	787	67	3,28,811 1 6	2,49,076 4 3	
		Criminal											11,033	672	332	...			
		Revenue	.	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	..	...	
		Total	17,711	2,672,673	71	6	22	...	1	6	11	56	31,806	3,480	1,119	67	3,28,811 1 6	2,49,076 4 3	

	European. Appellate or original jurisdiction	European. Original jurisdiction.	Native. Original jurisdiction.
Judges of other courts superior to chief district courts	1	...	...
Judges of chief courts of districts .	6	...	..
Judges of district courts other than chief courts	...	7	4
Judges of other subordinate courts ...	...	3	53
Total ...	7	10	57

IMPERIAL.

Judicial Statement No. II.—(Criminal.)—*Statement of offences reported and of persons tried, convicted, and acquitted of each class of offence in the Hyderabad Assigned Districts in the year* 1882.

Description of Offence.	Number of offences reported.	Number of cases returned as true.	Number of cases brought to trial during the year.	Number of persons. Under trial during the year, including pending from previous year.*	Acquitted or discharged.	Convicted.	Died, escaped, or transferred to another district†	Remaining under trial.	Remarks.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Offences against the State, Chapter VI. of the Indian Penal Code	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Offences relating to the Army and Navy, Chapter VII.	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Do. against the public tranquility, Chapter VIII.	349	287	228	1,577	812	709	5	51	
Do. by or relating to public servants, Chapter IX.	17	17	17	17	8	9	...	...	
Contempts of the lawful authority of public servants, Chapter X.	227	227	227	307	72	229	4	2	
False evidence and offences against public justice, Chapter XI.	68	66	65	80	25	52	3	...	
Offences relating to coin and Government stamps, Chapter XII.	32	28	26	33	14	19	...	...	
Offences relating to weights and measures, Chapter XIII.	46	44	46	61	23	34	...	4	
Offences affecting the public health, safety, convenience, decency and morals, Chapter XIV.	332	320	317	372	39	330	1	2	
Offences relating to religion, Chapter XV. ...	11	10	10	15	7	8	...	...	
Offences affecting human body, Chapter XVI. — Offences affecting life	141	101	87	121	55	57	3	6	
Causing of miscarriage, injuries to unborn children, exposure of infants, and the concealment of births ...	24	19	11	16	6	10	...	..	
Hurt	513	461	402	894	483	410	1	...	
Wrongful restraint and wrongful confinement	415	342	288	510	371	139	...	...	
Criminal force and assault ...	3,386	3,374	3,367	7,310	5,283	1,999	8	20	
Kidnapping, forcible abduction, slavery and forced labour... ...	15	8	6	7	2	5	...	...	
Rape	26	19	11	14	10	4	...	...	
Unnatural offence	*4	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Offences against property, Chapter XVII. — Theft	3,443	2,903	1,216	1,773	404	1,336	27	6	
Extortion	18	18	19	61	42	18	...	1	
Robbery and dakaiti	82	44	36	99	48	44	3	4	
Criminal misappropriation of property	72	72	63	91	47	41	...	3	
Criminal breach of trust... ...	100	88	59	79	37	32	10	...	
Receiving of stolen property ...	51	49	49	66	19	47	...	...	
Cheating	48	48	47	83	48	34	1	...	
Fraudulent deeds and disposition of property	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Mischief	426	381	303	507	326	180	...	1	
Criminal trespass	1,791	1,622	739	1,284	722	548	3	11	
Offences relating to documents and to trade or property marks, Chapter XVIII. ...	10	10	10	25	16	8	1	...	
Criminal breach of contracts of service, Chapter XIX.	..	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Offences relating to marriage, Chapter XX. ...	89	89	86	158	127	30	1	...	
Defamation, Chapter XXI.	24	24	25	48	37	9	2	...	
Criminal intimidation, insult, and annoyance, Chapter XXII.	130	130	130	240	155	72	...	13	
Offences under special and local laws ...	3,242	3,204	3,233	4,177	850	3,320	3	4	
Total ... 1882 ...	15,132	14,005	11,123	20,025	10,088	9,733	76	128	
Total ... 1881 ...	16,271	14,464	11,839	19,756	9,201	10,252	107	196	

* That is, the total of columns 6 to 9.

† Persons transferred from one Court to another in the same district are not to be entered in this column.

IMPERIAL.

JUDICIAL STATEMENT No. III.—(Criminal)—*Statement of Miscellaneous Proceedings under the Criminal Procedure Code in the Hyderabad Assigned Districts during the year* 1882.

Nature of Proceedings	Total No of cases before the Courts during the year.	No of persons concerned.	No of persons discharged.	No. of persons convicted	Remarks
1	2	3	4	5	6
1. Frivolous or vexatious complaints summarily dealt with under Chapter XVI., Section 209	65	66	...	66	
2. Proceedings against witnesses under Chapter XXVI.	2	2	...	2	
3. Forfeiture of bail or recognizance under Chapter XXVIII.	37	44	5	39	
4. Non-attendance of Jurors or Assessors, Chapter XXIX., Section 414 ...	...	...	...	...	
5. Contempts of Court under Chapter XXXII.	4	4	...	4	
6. Proceedings under Chapter XXXVII. to prevent breach of the peace ...	20	58	25	33	
7. Security for good behaviour under Chapter XXXVIII.	†31	†39	11	23	† One case and 5 persons pending at the close of the year.
8. Proceedings against local nuisances, Chapter XXXIX.	(a) 397	(a) 538	497	22	(a) 16 cases & 16 persons pending at the close of the year and 3 persons died
9. Possession, Chapter XL.	(b) 5	(b) 13	5	6	(b) 1 case and 2 persons pending at the close of the year
10. Maintenance, Chapter XLI. ...	129	124	102	22	
Total ... 1882 ...	690	888	645	217	
Total ... 1881 ...	170	228	115	112	

IMPERIAL.

JUDICIAL STATEMENT No. IV.—(Criminal.)—*Statement showing the general result of criminal trials of various classes in the Hyderabad Assigned Districts in the year* 1882.

Class of Courts.	Total number of persons under trial ‡	Persons whose cases were disposed of					Persons remaining under trial at the end of the year.	Number of cases disposed of during the year §	Average number of days during which each case lasted.	Number of witnesses examined.	Remarks.
		Died, escaped, or transferred to another district.	Discharged or acquitted.	Convicted. On regular trial.	Convicted. On summary trial	Committed or referred					
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
Village officers	...	...	...	..	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Subordinate Magistrates: Special Magistrates under Section 42	...	...	...	..	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Subordinate Magistrates: Honorary Magistrates sitting singly	7,633	11	3,873	3,729	...	...	20	4,137	4·9	9,570	
Subordinate Magistrates: Stipendiary Magistrates sitting singly	11,818	34	6,011	5,129	522	28	94	6,513	6·3	17 682	
Subordinate Magistrates: Benches of Magistrates ..	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
District and Divisional Magistrates' cases referred under Section 46, Criminal Procedure Code ...	79	...	12	65	2	...	...	65	3	38	
Chief Magistrates of Districts	492	31	183	165	95	7	11	277	7 4	1,255	
Courts of Sessions*	64	...	11	51	...	...	2	41	37·5	171	
Superior Courts†	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	.	...	
Total ... 1882 .	20,086	76	10,090	9,139	619	35	127	11,033	11·8	28,716	
Total ... 1881	20,066	107	9,316	9,640	759	46	198	11,906	12·1	26,607	

* Includes cases decided by Sessions Judges on reference under Sections 18 and 36, Criminal Procedure Code.
† Includes cases decided by the High Court on reference under Sections 263 and 287, Criminal Procedure Code.
‡ That is, the total of the entries in columns 3 to 8. The cases of persons transferred from one Court to another *in the same District* will appear only against the Court by which decided, or in which pending at the end of the year, if not decided.
§ Omit cases in which the accused died, escaped, or was transferred

NOTE.—Total number of persons under trial as per this statement ... 20,086
Deduct—Persons committed to Sessions Court and those decided by the Sessions Judge on reference under Section 36, Criminal Procedure Code, being already twice included in the total of this statement 61

Balance .. 20,025 as per Statement No II.

IMPERIAL.

Judicial Statement No. V.—(Criminal.)—*Statement showing the punishments inflicted by the various Criminal Tribunals in the Hyderabad Assigned Districts in the year 1882.*

	Persons sentenced to								Persons ordered to find or give security or recognizance to keep the peace, or sureties for good behaviour.	Persons imprisoned in default of security for good behaviour.	Detail of punishment.																			
				Imprisonment.							Fine.									Imprisonment.					Whipped.			
	Death.	Transportation.	Penal servitude.	Rigorous.	Simple.	Forfeiture of property.	Fine.	Whipping.			Rs. 10 and under.	" 50 and under	" 100 and under.	" 500 and under.	" 1,000 and under.	Above Rs. 1,000.	Total amount of fines imposed during the year.	Total amount of fines realised during the year.‡	Amount paid by way of compensation.	15 days and under.	Six months and under.	Two years and under.	Seven years and under.	Above seven years.	10 stripes and under.	20 stripes and under.	30 stripes and under.	No. of boys whose sentences were commuted to detention in a reformatory school.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29
							Rs.				Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	R	R.	R.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.									
Village officers...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	..	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Subordinate Magistrates. Special Magistrates under Section 42.	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	..	...	...	...	.	...	...	...	...	..	...	...	...	...	...
Honorary Magistrates sitting singly	...	.	..	350	71	...	3,462	18	...	..	3,131	329	1	1	...	...	18,987 14 6	18,872 9 6	1,489 6 0	267	154	..	...	...	2	12	4	...
Stipendiary Magistrates sitting singly	..	..	...	1,035	86	..	4,709	310	41	13	3,905	725	59	20		...	43,322 9 10	39,104 15 9	4,818 9 11	237	720	177	...	...	78	174	58	2
Benches of Magistrates.	.	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	..	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
District and Divisional Magistrates' cases referred under Section 46, Criminal Procedure Code. ...	...	...	...	52	...	...	8	18	...	...	...	2	5	1	..	.	706 0 0	213 2 6	90 0 0	...	19	32	1	...	5	12	1	...
Chief Magistrates of Districts.	...	..	...	172	13	...	113	14	12	7	62	37	11	2	1	...	4,346 3 0	1,961 11 11	104 8 0	8	68	69	47	...	1	9	4	...
Courts of Sessions* ...	7	12	...	32	...	...	1	...	...	...	1	...	...	..	..	...	0 4 0	0 4 0	...	...	3	4	24	1	...	...	...	...
Superior Courts†... ..	...	...	...	...		...	...	...	...	...	...	...	.	..	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	..	...	...	...	...	...	...
Total ... 1882...	7	12	...	1,641	170	...	8,293	360	53	20	7,099	1,093	76	24	1	...	67,362 15 4	60,152 11 8	6,502 7 11	512	964	282	72	1	86	207	67	2
Total ... 1881...	9	11	..	1,822	184	...	8,474	430	75	53	7,339	1,032	78	24	1	...	63,645 11 0	56,330 1 0	7,963 0 9	602	1,031	290	132	4	83	231	116	4

* Includes cases decided by Sessions Judges on reference under Sections 18 and 36, Criminal Procedure Code.
† Includes cases decided by the High Court on reference under Sections 263 and 287, Criminal Procedure Code.
‡ Includes fines realised during the year, though imposed in previous years.

IMPERIAL.

Annual Statement 5-A—(Criminal) *Showing the particulars of whippings inflicted by the Criminal Tribunals.*

Part I.—Showing whippings inflicted under Sections 2 and 3, Act VI., 1864, in lieu of other punishment.

Offences for which a warded.	Number of stripes awarded.												
	5 and under.		6 to 10.		11 to 15.		16 to 20.		21 to 25.		26 to 30.		Total.
	1st conviction.	2nd or subsequent conviction.	1st conviction.	2nd or subsequent conviction.	1st conviction.	2nd or subsequent conviction.	1st conviction.	2nd or subsequent conviction.	1st conviction.	2nd or subsequent conviction.	1st conviction.	2nd or subsequent conviction.	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
In lieu of other punishments. (Sections 2 and 3, Act VI, 1864)													
Theft as defined in Sec. 378, I. P. C.	10	...	49	...	78	1	50	3	10	...	17	1	219
Theft as defined in Sec. 380 „	...	...	11	...	12	...	7	2	2	..	7	...	41
Theft as defined in Sec 381 „	2	...	3	...	11	...	4	...	1	..	3		24
Lurking house-trespass as defined in Section 443 ...	...	...	2	...	1	..	1	..	...	...		...	4
Lurking house-trespass by night as defined in Section 444 ...	...	...	1	...	...		1	..	1	..	...	...	3
House-breaking as defined in Section 445 ...	1	...	1	.	1	..	2	...	1	.	1	...	7
House-breaking by night as defined in Section 446 ...	...	...	2	...	2	...	1	...	3	...	1		9
Total ...	13	...	69	...	105	1	66	5	18	...	29	1	307

Note.—Include juveniles punished under any of these heads.

Part II.—*Showing whippings inflicted in addition to other punishments, Sections 3 and 4, Act VI. of 1864.*

Offences for which awarded.	Number of stripes.						
	5 and under.	6 to 10	11 to 15	16 to 20	21 to 25	26 to 30	Total.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
In addition to other punishments.							
[*Sections 3 and 4.*]							
A.—*Section 3.*							
Theft as defined in Section 378, I. P. C. ...	...	1	6	9	3	8	27
Theft as defined in Section 380 „ ...	..	...	3	2	2	3	10
Theft as defined in Section 381 „ ...	...	1	...	...	...	...	1
Lurking house-trespass as defined in Section 443	...	...	...	1	1	...	2
Lurking house-trespass by night as defined in Section 444 ...	...	...	1	...	...	...	1
House-breaking by night as defined in Section 446	...	...	1	1	...	...	2
B.—*Section 4.*							
Robbery as defined in Section 390 ...	...	...	...	1	...	...	1
House-breaking as defined in Section 445	...	...	...	1	...	...	1
House-breaking by night as defined in Section 446	...	...	...	2	1	1	4
Total ...	...	2	11	17	7	12	49

Note.—Include juveniles punished under any of these heads

Part III.—*Showing whippings inflicted under Section 5, Act VI., 1864, on Juveniles for offences other than those specified in Parts I and II.*

Offences	Stripes												Total.
	6 & under.		6 to 10.		11 to 15.		16 to 20		21 to 25.		26 to 30.		
	First conviction.	Subsequent conviction	First conviction.	Subsequent conviction	First conviction	Subsequent conviction.	First conviction	Subsequent conviction	First conviction.	Subsequent conviction	First conviction	Subsequent conviction.	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
Possession of Queen's coin by a person who knew it to be counterfeit when he became possessed thereof, Section 243, I. P. C.	...	...	...	...	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1
Attempt to commit suicide, Section 309, I. P. C. ..	...	...	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1
Kidnapping, Section 363, I. P. C. ...	...	...	...	...	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1
Dishonest misappropriation of moveable property, Section 403, I. P. C. ...	...	...	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1
Total			2		2			...	..	..	...	...	4

Part IV.—*Showing relative number of times whipping was awarded as compared with other punishments.*

Punishments	Number	Remarks.
1	2	3
Total number of whippings awarded* ...	360	
Total number of other punishments† ...	1,151	
Percentage of whippings ..	23·8	

* Total of column 14, Parts I and III and of column 8, Part II.

† Not counting punishments of which whipping was a part, but including all cases in which whipping might have been inflicted.

IMPERIAL

Judicial Statement No. VI.—(Criminal.)—*Statement showing the result of appeal and revision in Criminal Cases in the Hyderabad Assigned Districts in the year 1882.*

Tribunals.	No of persons											Average number of days during which each appeal lasted
	Total No of appellants and applicants for revision before the Court†	Died, recalled, or transferred to another district	Appeals or applications rejected	Sentence or order confirmed	Sentence enhanced	Sentence reduced or otherwise altered	Sentence reversed	Proceedings quashed.	New trial or further enquiry ordered	Referred for revision to the High Court	Pending trial	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
Appeals.												
To Chief Magistrates of Districts*	479	1	52	141	..	114	164	...	...	...	7	12·6
„ Courts of Sessions .	140	...	58	15	..	8	12	...	...	43	4	24
„ Superior Courts. By persons convicted ...	...	...	...	..	...	...	...	..	...	...	...	...
„ Superior Courts. By Government from judgment of acquittal ..	...	...	...	..	...	...	..	..	...	...	...	...
Total .. 1882...	619	1	110	156		122	176	...	...	43	11	14·2
Total .. 1881 ..	476	...	67	138	2	76	150	2	...	34	7	16·8

* Or other Magistrates authorized to hear appeals under Section 269, Criminal Procedure Code

† That is, the total of cases 2 to 12 C... transferred from one Court to another in the same district, will appear only against the Court by which decided, or in which pending at the end of the year, if not decided.

IMPERIAL.

Judicial Statement No XIII.—*(Civil and Criminal)—Statement showing use of Juries and Assessors in the Civil and Criminal Courts of the Hyderabad Assigned Districts in the year* 1882.

Class of Courts in which Jurors or Assessors are employed, distinguishing Criminal from Civil Courts.	Established or average number of Jury or Assessors in each case and prescribed qualification	Number of cases tried by Jury	Number of cases tried with Assessors	Jury trials: Cases in which the Judge approved of the verdict.	Jury trials: Cases in which the Judge did not approve of the verdict: Wholly.	Jury trials: Cases in which the Judge did not approve of the verdict: Partially.	Jury trials: Number of persons of the verdict in respect to whom the Judge disapproved: Whose cases he referred under section 263, C. P. C.	Jury trials: Number of persons of the verdict in respect to whom the Judge disapproved: Whose cases he did not refer under sec. 263, C. P. C.	Assessors trials: Number of cases in which Judge agreed with Assessors.	Assessors trials: Number of cases in which Judge differed from: One Assessor.	Assessors trials: Number of cases in which Judge differed from: Both Assessors.	Remarks
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
I.—Civil Courts.												
Chief Courts of Districts (or officer specially empowered) acting under Act X. of 1870—Assessors ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
II.—Criminal Courts.												
Magistrates' Courts under Chapter XXXIX., C. P. C.—Jurors ..	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	..
Courts of Sessions... Jurors	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Courts of Sessions... Assessors ..	2 Education, character and age.	...	19	...	...	...	...	...	16	1	2	...
High Court original criminal jurisdiction—Jurors	..	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	..
Total .. 1882 ..	2	...	19	...		...	...	...	16	1	2	...
Total .. 1881 ..	2	...	22	...	...	...		...	21	...	1	

Note.—In what classes of cases Juries and Assessors have been principally employed.

PROVIN

JUDICIAL STATEMENT No. XIV.—(Criminal.)—*Statement showing the general result of Criminal*

No.	Name of Officer.	Designation.	For disposal.								Acquitted or discharged.	
			No. pending from last year.		Filed during the year.		Received by transfer.		Total.			
			Cases.	Persons.	Cases.	Persons.	Cases.	Persons.	Cases.	Persons.	Cases.	Persons.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
	AKOLA DISTRICT.											
1	Colonel J. FitzGerald ...	Deputy Commissioner.	1	1	31	47	36	51	68	99	10	18
2	Mr. H. S. Nicholetts ..	Asst. do. ...	...	...	31	52	...	...	31	52	1	7
3	„ A. J. Dunlop ...	Do. ...	10	20	120	146	4	4	134	170	30	39
4	„ C. A. W. Davies ...	Do. ...	...	...	78	105	2	2	80	107	38	58
5	„ Mahomed Yasin Khan ..	Do. ...	...	...	128	279	2	7	130	286	69	184
6	„ Mahomed Nizam-ud-Din Hassan khan.	Do. ...	...	...	1	1	...	...	1	1	1	1
7	„ Kesheo Mahiput ...	Extra do. ...	..	...	25	25	...	...	25	25	...	
8	„ H. B. Fowler ..	Do. ...	...	...	39	87	2	24	41	111	38	106
9	„ Shapurji Verji	Offg. Tahsildar, Akola.	2	...	92	186	...	...	94	186	64	139
10	„ Ardasir Dinsaji	Tahsildar, Akola ...	...	...	10	14	2	2	12	16	6	16
11	„ Trimbak Rao Yeshwant..	Do. ...	...	...	1	1	...	...	1	1	...	
12	„ Do. do. ..	Do. ...	...	...	57	161	...	...	57	161	31	94
13	„ Shahbaz Khan ...	Do., Jalgaon	4	56	201	374	4	10	209	410	98	253
14	„ Deo Rao Jaykrishna ...	Do., Akot ...	1	...	168	255	...	...	169	255	27	47
15	„ Ganesh Bapuji ...	Do., Balapur ...	...	...	103	184	4	24	107	208	23	57
16	„ Nawab Kishwar Khan ..	Do., Khamgaon ...	3	7	396	758	...	...	399	765	142	400
17	„ Zorawar Khan ..	Special, Magt. Akola...	...	...	342	620	...	...	342	620	44	241
18	„ Gabbu Sing ...	Do., Akot ...	...	...	196	312	...	...	196	312	18	58
19	„ Ghulam Hussain ...	Do., do. ...	...	...	133	273	...	...	133	273	36	118
20	„ Maroti Kartaji ...	Do., Shegaon	6	10	276	577	...	...	282	587	117	264
21	„ Achutrao Purshotam ..	Do., Balapur...	...	...	46	88	...	...	46	88	10	21
22	„ Sarfraz Ali ...	Do., Pathur ...	...	...	13	24	...	...	13	24	1	4
		Total ...	27	94	2,487	4,569	56	124	2,570	4,787	844	2,125
	BULDANA DISTRICT.											
1	Colonel D. W. Laughton ..	Offg. Depy. Commr ..	3	1	22	31	4	3	29	35	8	13
2	„ H. C. Menzies ..	Depy. Commr. ...	...	...	35	59	9	11	44	70	16	29
3	Mr. Edalji Bahmanji ..	Asst. Commr.	1	...	2	2	...	...	3	2	...	
4	„ H. B. Knowlys ...	Do. ...	...	...	3	2	...	...	3	2	1	1
5	Colonel D. W Laughton ..	Do. ...	...	...	35	55	2	3	37	58	11	23
6	Mr. R. D Hare ..	Do. ...	...	...	55	60	2	3	57	63	13	20
7	„ Edalji Bahmanji	Do. ...	1	7	80	179	1	...	91	186	68	150
8	„ Mahomed Yasin Khan ..	Do. ..	...	...	20	43	...	...	20	43	11	22
9	„ Saadat Mir Khan	Tahsildar, Chikhli ...	...	...	133	193	...	...	133	193	48	88
10	„ Ganesh Govind	Offg. do. ...	...	...	71	190	...	...	71	190	35	110
11	„ Shahbaz Khan ...	Tahsildar ...	...	...	42	50	...	...	42	50	11	17
12	„ Parasram Ganesh ...	Offg. do., Mehkar...	2	8	152	242	2	1	156	251	84	153
13	„ Bhaskar Maheshwar	Tahsildar, Malkapur.	..	...	157	365	...	...	157	365	103	277
14	Khan Bahadur Khwaja Badr-ud-Din.	Spl. Magt., Malkapur..	...	...	224	345	...	...	224	345	119	225
15	Mr. Mahomed Salaam-ulla Khan.	Do., Buldana ...	...	...	122	182	1	...	123	182	39	61
16	„ Raja Martandrao ...	Do., Deulgaon ..	...	..	70	105	...	...	70	105	18	47
17	„ Jayawant Rustam Rao	Do., Amdapur	...	...	24	40	...	...	24	40	10	19
		Total ...	7	16	1,256	2,143	21	21	1,284	2,180	595	1,255
	BASIM DISTRICT.											
1	Colonel A. Farrer ...	Depy. Commr. ...	...	...	8	18	10	13	18	31	5	16
2	Mr. H. B. Knowlys ...	Offg. do. ...	...	...	...	..	2	2	2	2	...	
3	Colonel D. W. Laughton ...	Depy. Commr. ...	...	...	11	16	7	9	18	25	2	2
4	Mr. Purshotam Rao Narayen..	Extra Asst. Commr.	3	7	88	171	1	1	92	179	9	29
5	„ Vishnu Sadaseo ...	Do. ...	...	...	26	39	6	11	32	50	8	13
6	„ H. E. J. Fitzpatrick ...	Offg. do. ...	...	...	22	36	8	9	30	45	13	20
7	„ Mirza Safdar Ali Beg ...	Tahsildar, Basim ...	...	...	289	446	...	...	289	446	86	170
8	„ Ganesh Govind ...	Offg. do. ...	...	...	25	42	...	...	25	42	5	11
9	„ Khwaja Badr-ud-Din ...	Tahsildar, Pusad ...	1	1	124	171	...	...	125	172	29	39
10	„ Khwaja Munir-ud-Din ..	Offg. do. ...	...	...	29	29	...	...	29	29	8	8
11	„ Laxman Balaram ...	Tahsildar, Mangrul ...	...	...	121	198	...	...	121	198	35	68
12	„ Saadat Mir Khan ...	Do. ...	...	...	48	75	...	...	48	75	17	28
13	„ Saiyad Shah Amir-ulla .	Spl. Magt., Basim ...	...	...	84	147	...	...	84	147	24	62
14	„ Venkutrao Raoji ...	Do., Rissode ...	...	...	18	27	...	...	18	27	3	9
15	„ Yado Rao Anandrao ..	Do., Umarkhed	...	...	147	232	...	...	147	232	42	99
16	„ Sadaseo Narsing Rao ..	Do., Pusad ...	...	...	19	26	...	...	19	26	4	7
		Total ..	4	8	1,059	1,673	34	45	1,097	1,726	290	581

CIAL.

cases disposed of by each officer in the Hyderabad Assigned Districts during the year 1882.

Disposed of.												Remaining at the end of the year.		Average duration of cases.						Remarks.
Convicted.														Cognizable.			Non-cognizable.			
On regular trial.		On summary trial.		Committed to Sessions Court.		Referred for enhanced punishment.		Died, escaped, or transferred.		Total.				No. of cases.	Aggregate No. of days occupied in trial.	Average No. of days.	No. of cases.	Aggregate No. of days occupied in trial.	Average No. of days.	
Cases.	Persons.	Cases.	Persons.	Cases.	Persons.	Cases.	Persons.	Cases.	Persons.	Cases.	Persons.	Cases.	Persons.							
14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	32	33	34
43	66	10	10	...	...	...	...	5	5	68	99	...	...	63	242	3·8	5	133	26·6	
14	19	13	21	2	4	...	...	...	...	30	51	1	1	18	56	3·1	12	217	18	
26	37	69	82	...	...	3	6	4	6	132	170	2	...	88	412	4·7	44	537	12·2	
31	34	3	3	...	...	5	8	3	4	80	107	...	...	46	366	7·9	34	453	13·3	
48	64	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	1	118	249	12	37	47	474	10·1	71	814	11·4	
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	1	...	...	...	...	...	1	8	8	
...	...	25	25	...	...	...	...	...	...	25	25	...	...	25	25	1	...	...	...	
3	4	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	41	111	...	...	9	140	15·5	32	481	15	
22	29	...	...	...	...	3	3	...	2	89	173	...	...	28	173	6·2	61	395	6·4	
9	11	...	...	...	...	2	2	...	...	17	29	...	...	11	54	4·9	6	42	7	
1	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	1	...	...	...	...	...	1	10	10	
26	67	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	57	161	...	...	17	83	4·9	40	353	8·8	
109	185	...	...	...	...	2	2	...	...	209	440	...	...	65	361	5·5	144	1,074	7·5	
132	193	...	...	...	...	10	15	...	...	169	255	...	...	67	301	4·5	102	871	8·5	
78	121	...	...	...	...	2	5	2	24	105	207	2	1	64	195	3	41	285	6·9	
251	347	...	...	...	...	1	1	...	...	394	748	5	17	242	917	3·7	152	1,288	8·5	
254	370	...	...	...	...	...	...	4	9	342	620	...	...	140	216	1·4	202	1,396	6·9	
173	248	...	...	...	...	5	5	...	1	196	312	...	...	123	194	1·6	73	401	5·6	
95	152	...	...	...	...	2	3	...	...	133	273	...	...	43	171	3·9	90	680	7·6	
160	313	...	...	...	...	1	1	4	9	282	587	...	...	58	94	1·6	224	1,303	5·8	
32	42	...	...	...	...	1	1	3	24	46	88	...	...	18	78	4·3	28	166	5·9	
11	20	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	...	13	24	...	...	3	8	2·6	10	51	5·1	
1,518	2,323	120	141	2	4	37	52	27	86	2,548	4,731	22	56	1,175	4,560	3·9	1,373	10,958	7·9	
12	14	4	5	...	...	...	...	3	1	27	33	...	...	17	127	7·5	10	152	15·2	
21	33	3	3	...	...	...	...	5	6	45	71	1	1	26	148	5·7	19	358	18·8	
2	2	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	2	2	...	...	1	1	1	1	1	1	
...	...	1	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	2	2	...	...	1	1	1	1	41	41	
19	25	5	6	...	...	...	...	4	4	39	58	...	...	23	94	4·2	16	292	18·2	
2	2	34	36	2	2	...	...	1	1	52	61	5	2	43	116	2·7	9	135	15	
23	45	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	91	195	2	2	37	304	8·2	54	535	9·9	
6	9	...	...	...	...	1	1	...	...	18	32	...	...	7	92	13·1	11	153	13·9	
80	100	...	...	...	...	4	4	1	1	133	193	...	...	97	611	6·1	36	323	8·9	
34	76	...	...	...	...	1	2	1	2	71	190	...	...	36	240	6·7	35	224	6·4	
29	29	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	40	46	2	4	31	129	4·1	9	96	10·6	
70	98	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	154	251	2	...	59	170	2·9	95	739	7·8	
54	88	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	157	365	...	...	70	796	11·3	87	909	10·4	
104	119	...	...	...	...	1	1	...	...	224	345	...	...	148	688	4·7	76	515	6·8	
84	121	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	123	182	...	...	75	158	2·1	48	315	6·6	
49	56	...	...	...	...	...	...	2	2	69	105	1	...	51	195	3·8	18	90	5	
14	21	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	24	40	...	...	11	56	5·1	13	41	3·1	
603	838	47	51	2	2	7	8	17	17	1,271	2,171	13	9	733	3,926	5·4	538	4,919	9·2	
12	14	1	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	18	31	...	...	15	83	5·5	3	72	24	
2	2	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	2	2	...	...	2	5	2·5	...	...	...	
10	13	3	5	...	...	...	...	...	...	15	20	3	5	9	95	10·5	6	84	14	
43	96	28	36	5	9	...	...	7	9	92	179	...	...	72	376	5·2	20	188	9·4	
17	27	...	...	...	...	3	5	4	5	32	50	...	...	24	54	2·2	8	35	4·3	
11	14	...	...	...	...	...	...	6	11	30	45	...	...	17	65	3·8	13	114	8·7	
195	268	...	...	...	...	3	3	5	5	289	446	...	...	142	350	2·4	147	463	3·1	
20	31	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	25	42	...	...	18	32	1·6	7	39	5·5	
93	130	...	...	...	...	3	3	...	...	125	172	...	...	77	164	2·1	48	344	7·1	
21	21	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	29	29	...	...	29	39	1·3	...	...	...	
86	130	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	121	198	...	...	61	69	1·1	60	290	4·8	
29	45	...	...	...	...	1	1	...	...	47	74	1	1	28	65	2·3	19	151	7·9	
60	85	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	84	147	...	...	57	106	1·8	27	122	4·5	
14	16	...	...	...	...	1	2	...	...	18	27	...	...	10	35	3·5	8	28	3·5	
102	129	...	...	...	...	3	3	...	1	147	232	...	...	94	188	2	53	596	11·2	
15	19	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	19	26	...	...	12	14	1·1	7	88	12·5	
730	1,040	32	42	5	9	14	17	22	31	1,093	1,720	4	6	667	1,740	2·6	426	2,614	6·1	

PROVIN

JUDICIAL STATEMENT No. IV.—(Criminal).—*Statement showing the general result of Criminal*

No.	Name of Officer.	Designation.	For disposal. No. pending from last year. Cases.	Persons.	Filed during the year. Cases.	Persons.	Received by transfer. Cases.	Persons.	Total. Cases.	Persons.	Acquitted or discharged. Cases.	Persons.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
	AMRAOTI DISTRICT.											
1	Major R. Bullock ...	Deputy Commissioner.	2	3	58	86	24	37	84	126	26	53
2	Mr. R. D. Hare ...	Asst. Commissioner...	...	...	47	151	16	19	63	170	32	105
3	Lieutenant P. J. Melville ...	Do.	...	...	165	352	10	24	175	376	87	241
4	Mr. J. H. Burns ...	Extra Asst. Comr. ...	1	1	159	350	9	42	169	393	76	227
5	„ Sri Kishan Narhar ...	Do. ...	1	2	21	37	8	28	30	67	9	38
6	„ Ramrao Govind ...	Do. ...	...	...	87	146	3	1	90	147	34	83
7	„ H. E. J. Fitzpatrick ...	Offg. do. ...	...	...	66	130	8	14	74	144	49	110
8	„ Waman Narayen ...	Tahsildar, Chandur...	1	...	263	435	...	..	264	435	141	269
9	„ Darabji Cowasji ...	Do., Murtazapur.	2	...	265	415	...	...	267	415	86	195
10	„ Khwaja Munir-ud-Din ...	Offg. do.	...	...	16	39	...	...	16	39	13	29
11	„ P. Govind Raz ...	Tahsildar, Morsi ...	...	...	323	653	...	..	323	653	146	344
12	„ Gir Rao Ramchandra ...	Do., Amraoti ...	...	...	293	596	9	5	302	601	125	359
13	„ Jewunrao Narsing ...	Offg. do. ...	...	...	11	18	1	16	12	34	3	9
14	„ Mahomed Razah Khan ...	Attaché ...	...	...	44	77	6	3	50	80	24	46
15	„ Pandit Suraj Narayen ...	Do.	8	27	248	484	8	4	264	515	115	309
16	„ Fateh Ali ...	Special Magistrate ...	...	...	390	764	8	30	398	794	164	426
17	„ Nasr-ud-Din ...	Do. ...	...	...	305	451	...	...	305	451	114	210
18	„ Suliman Khan ...	Do. ...	...	...	26	44	...	...	26	44	6	14
19	„ Malhar Rao ...	Do. ...	7	22	511	1,192	...	..	518	1,214	252	763
20	„ Laxman Balaram ...	Do. ...	...	...	55	115	...	...	55	115	40	93
	ELLICHPUR DISTRICT.	Total ...	22	55	3,353	6,535	110	223	3,485	6,813	1,542	3,923
1	Major K. J. L. Mackenzie ...	Deputy Commissioner	...	..	28	71	9	13	37	84	13	28
2	Mr. H. B. Knowlys ...	Offg. do. ...	...	...	50	55	7	7	57	62	15	20
3	Major H. DeP. Rennick ...	Asst. Commissioner ...	...	..	36	42	1	3	37	45	5	7
4	Mr. H. B. Knowlys ...	Do. ...	...	...	104	133	2	2	106	135	27	48
5	„ Yar Mahomed Khan ...	Do. ...	...	...	79	121	3	3	82	124	22	50
6	„ Atmaram Bhikaji ...	Ex. Asst. Comr. ...	...	...	...	...	1	1	1	1	...	...
7	„ H. E. J. Fitzpatrick ...	Offg. do. ...	...	...	1	1	...	...	1	1	...	..
8	„ Mahomed Abdul Bari ...	Tahsildar, Ellichpur ...	2	3	329	530	3	7	334	540	90	188
9	„ Govindrao Yeshwant Rao.	Do., Dariapur ...	...	...	83	126	2	2	85	128	30	44
10	„ Ganesh Govind ...	Offg. do. ...	...	...	15	32	...	...	15	32	5	17
11	„ Sadaseo Bhaskar ...	Tahsildar, Melghat ...	...	...	64	99	...	...	64	99	10	16
12	Rao Bahadur Bhagwantrao Narhar ...	Special Magistrate, Ellichpur ...	1	3	611	1,099	...	...	612	1,102	258	600
13	Mr. Narayen Kesheo ...	Do., Anjangaon ...	1	1	222	396	...	...	223	397	81	186
14	„ Bhagwant Rao Shankar Rao	Do., Daryapur ...	2	2	234	461	...	...	236	463	119	282
	WUN DISTRICT.	Total ...	6	9	1,856	3,166	28	38	1,890	3,213	675	1,486
1	Major H. C. A. Szczepanski...	Deputy Commissioner.	...	...	22	37	8	8	30	45	9	16
2	Mr. A. Elliott ...	Asst. Commissioner ...	1	4	100	146	3	3	104	153	29	62
3	„ Mahomed Razah Khan ...	Attaché ...	...	...	2	6	3	8	5	14	1	6
4	„ Mir Ehsan-ul-Hakk ...	Do. ...	...	...	7	9	...	...	7	9	...	..
5	„ Ambadas Santu ...	Tahsildar ...	...	...	144	223	...	...	144	223	50	107
6	„ Saiyad Abdul Aziz ...	Do. ...	4	8	122	191	...	...	126	199	43	72
7	„ C. Seshachalam ...	Do. ...	...	...	147	283	...	...	147	283	47	126
8	„ Mir Mubarak Ali ...	Do. ...	...	...	388	686	...	...	388	686	112	256
9	„ Saiyad Roshan Khan ...	Special Magistrate ...	...	...	104	159	...	..	104	159	30	64
		Total ...	5	12	1,036	1,740	14	19	1,055	1,771	321	709
		Grand Total, 1882...	*71	194	11,047	19,826	a 263	a 470	11,381	20,490	4,267	10079
		Grand Total, 1881...	48	69	11,950	19,877	193	330	12,191	20,276	4,081	9,280

* Two cases in the Amraoti District have been included in Judicial Statement No. III.

	Cases.	Persons.
a. a. Received from other districts ...	2	2
Do. by transfer within districts ...	261	468
	263	470

CIAL.

cases disposed of by each officer in the Hyderabad Assigned Districts during the year 1882—concluded.

Disposed of.												Remaining at the end of the year.		Average duration of cases.						Remarks.
Convicted.														Cognizable.			Non-cognizable.			
On regular trial.		On summary trial.		Committed to Sessions Court.		Referred for enhanced punishment.		Died, escaped, or transferred.		Total.				No. of cases.	Aggregate No. of days occupied in trial.	Average No. of days.	No. of cases.	Aggregate No. of days occupied in trial.	Average No. of days.	
Cases.	Persons.	Cases.	Persons.	Cases.	Persons.	Cases.	Persons.	Cases.	Persons.	Cases.	Persons.	Cases.	Persons.							
14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	32	33	34
39	48	...	...	2	5	...	...	15	15	82	121	2	5	56	345	6·1	26	637	24·5	
7	8	16	25	1	3	...	...	7	29	63	170	...	...	38	272	7·1	25	300	12	
71	107	...	...	...	...	5	6	12	22	175	376	...	...	96	557	5·8	79	703	8·9	
27	29	50	121	1	2	..	...	13	12	167	391	2	2	101	847	8·3	66	1,361	20·6	
15	22	1	1	1	1	...	...	4	5	30	67	...	...	16	154	9·6	14	306	21·9	
11	12	42	48	...	...	...	...	3	4	90	147	...	...	63	311	4·9	27	552	20·4	
21	28	...	...	...	...	...	...	4	6	74	144	...	...	42	233	5·3	32	227	7	
118	154	...	...	...	...		...	5	12	264	435	...	...	92	812	8·8	172	1,735	20	
172	210	...	...	...	...	2	2	2	1	266	408	5	7	152	498	3·3	110	1,474	13·4	
3	10	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	..	16	39	...	...	9	133	14·8	7	63	9	
159	291	...	...	...	...	5	5	2	7	312	647	11	6	84	562	6·7	228	3,397	15	
151	187	...	...	...	...	...	...	20	55	296	601	6	...	92	790	8·6	204	1,847	9	
4	8	...	...	...	...	...	...	5	17	12	34	...	...	2	40	20	10	57	5·7	
25	34	...	...	..	...	...	...	1	...	50	80	...	...	27	53	2	23	134	5·8	
134	188	...	...	...	...	2	2	11	10	262	509	2	6	147	884	6	115	1,645	14·3	
232	345	...	...	...	...	...	...	2	23	398	794	...	...	198	560	2·8	200	2,164	20·8	
189	239	...	..	...	...	1	1	1	1	305	451	...	...	162	203	1·2	143	652	4·5	
20	30	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	26	44	...	...	11	21	2	15	77	5·1	
263	442	...	...	...	..	...	...	...	...	515	1,205	3	9	222	1,928	8·7	293	2,916	9·9	
15	22	...	...	...	...	...	..	...	...	55	115	...	...	12	24	2	43	304	7	
1,676	2,414	109	195	5	11	15	16	107	219	3,454	6,778	31	35	1,622	9,225	5·6	1,832	20,551	11·2	
12	18	9	35	1	1	...	..	2	2	37	84	...	...	23	95	4·1	14	61	4·3	
9	9	30	30	1	1	...	...	2	2	57	62	...	...	42	64	1·5	15	116	7·7	
9	13	22	22	...	...	...	...	..	...	36	42	...	...	34	75	2·2	2	9	4·5	
20	25	55	58	2	4	..	...	3	3	107	138	...	...	72	292	4·1	35	425	12·1	
59	73	...	...	...	...	1	1	...	...	82	124	...	...	58	99	1·7	24	119	4·9	
1	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	..	1	1	...	...	...	...	...	1	10	10	
1	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	1	...	...	1	1	1	...	...	...	
240	343	...	...	...	...	...	...	3	7	333	538	1	2	124	323	2·6	209	2,126	10·1	
52	79	...	...	...	...	3	3	...	2	85	128	...	...	52	143	2·7	33	172	5·2	
10	15	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	15	32	...	...	4	5	1·2	11	74	6·7	
52	86	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	62	96	2	3	20	20	1	42	240	5·7	
335	468	...	...	...	...	9	9	7	19	609	1,096	3	6	315	1,397	4·4	294	2,123	7·2	
140	205	...	...	...	...	2	6	...	...	223	397	...	...	98	317	3·2	125	695	5·6	
114	178	..	...	...	...	1	1	2	2	236	463	...	...	90	453	5	146	1,092	7·4	
1,054	1,508	116	145	4	6	16	20	19	37	1,884	3,202	6	11	933	3,284	3·5	951	7,262	7·6	
9	11	3	8	...	...	...	...	9	10	30	45	...	...	30	32	1·1	...	...	...	
37	40	31	37	2	3	...	...	5	11	104	153	...	...	61	258	4·4	43	603	14·2	
4	8	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	5	14	...	...	4	4	1	1	1	1	
7	9	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	7	9	...	...	7	13	2	...	...	...	
92	114	...	...	...	...	2	2	...	...	144	223	...	...	101	284	2·8	43	419	9·7	
80	124	...	...	...	...	1	1	1	1	125	198	1	1	50	140	2·8	75	763	10·2	
92	144	...	...	...	...	8	13	...	...	147	283	...	...	107	501	4·6	40	493	12·3	
278	426	...	...	...	...	1	1	1	1	387	684	1	2	188	500	2·6	199	2,097	10·5	
72	89	...	...	...	...	1	1	...	...	103	154	1	5	65	101	1·6	38	266	7	
666	965	34	45	2	3	13	18	16	23	1,052	1,763	3	8	613	1,833	3	439	4,642	10·6	
6,247	9,088	458	619	*b* 20	*b* 35	102	131	*c* 208	*c* 413	11,302	20,365	79	125	5,743	24,568	4·3	5,559	50,946	9·2	
7,137	9,566	620	759	23	46	84	138	173	293	12,118	20,082	73	194	6,895	24,279	3·5	5,223	45,642	8·7	

b. b. One case and one person from the Buldana District having been committed at the end of the year (1882,) have not been shown in Statement No. XIX.

c. c. Includes 49 cases and 76 persons as having died, escaped, or transferred to other districts.

PROVINCIAL.

Judicial Statement No. XV.—(Criminal.)—*Statement showing in detail further particulars and distribution of criminal work in the several Districts of the Hyderabad Assigned Districts during the year* 1882.

No.	Districts.	For disposal.								Disposed of.																	
		Number pending from last year		Filed during the year.		Received by transfer		Total		Total number disposed of during the year		Percentage of cases and persons: Acquitted or discharged		Convicted		Committed to Sessions Court and those referred for enhanced punishment.		Died, escaped, or transferred.		Average duration: In cognizable cases	In non-cognizable cases	Number disposed of by European Magistrates		Number disposed of by Native Magistrates		Average number disposed of by each officer.	
		Cases.	Persons	Cases.	Persons	Cases	Persons.	Cases.	Persons	Cases	Persons	Cases.	Persons	Cases	Persons	Cases.	Persons.	Cases	Persons			Cases	Persons	Cases.	Persons	Cases	Persons
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28
1	Akola	27	94	2,487	4,569	56	124	2,570	4,787	2,548	4,731	33·2	44·9	64·2	52·1	1 5	1·1	1 1	1·9	3 9	7·9	351	538	2,197	4,193	141	263
2	Buldana	7	16	1,256	2,143	21	21	1,284	2,180	1,271	2,171	46 8	57 8	51·1	40·9	·7	5	1·4	·8	5 4	9·2	165	225	1,106	1,946	127	217
3	Basim	4	8	1,059	1,673	34	45	1,097	1,726	1,093	1,720	26·5	33 8	69 7	62 9	1·8	1·5	2	1·8	2·6	6·1	65	98	1,028	1,622	109	172
4	Amraoti	22	55	3,353	6,535	110	223	3,485	6,813	3,454	6,778	44 7	58	51·7	38·4	·5	·4	3 1	3·2	5·6	11 2	561	1,202	2,893	5,576	192	377
5	Ellichpur	6	9	1,856	3,166	28	38	1,890	3,213	1,884	3,202	36	46·4	62	51·6	1	·8	1	1·2	3·5	7·6	238	327	1,646	2,875	188	320
6	Wun	5	12	1,036	1,740	14	19	1,055	1,771	1,052	1,763	30·5	40·2	66·5	57 3	1·5	1·3	1·5	1 2	3	10·6	134	198	918	1,565	131	220
	Total ... 1882	71	194	11,047	19,826	263	470	11,381	20,490	11,302	20,365	37·8	49·5	59 3	47·7	1·1	·8	1·8	2	4·3	9·2	1,514	2,588	9,788	17,777	153	277
	Total ... 1881	48	69	11,950	19,877	193	330	12,191	20,276	12,118	20,082	33·7	46·2	64	51·4	·9	·2	1·4	2·2	3·5	8·7	1,577	2,618	10,541	17,464	159	264

PROVINCIAL.

JUDICIAL STATEMENT No. XVI.—(Criminal).—*Statement of criminal cases filed and disposed of under Section 36 of Act X. of 1872 by the Deputy Commissioners in the Hyderabad Assigned Districts during the year 1882.*

No	Districts.	Number of cases and persons. Remaining from last year. Cases	Remaining from last year. Persons	Filed during the year. Cases	Filed during the year. Persons	Total. Cases	Total. Persons	Number of cases and persons. Tried during the year. Cases	Tried during the year. Persons	Remaining at the close of the year. Cases	Remaining at the close of the year. Persons	No of persons. Died	No of persons. Escaped or transferred	Total number of cases tried	Total number of persons tried	Results of trial. Convicted. Cases	Convicted. Persons	Discharged or acquitted in the foregoing cases	Acquitted. Cases	Acquitted. Persons	Discharged. Cases	Discharged. Persons	Penal results. Only fined	Flogging only	Imprisoned. For and under six months	For one year	For two years	For three years	For four years	For five years	For six years	For seven years	Total imprisoned	Transported beyond seas	Total punished	Remarks.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	32	33	34	35	36	37
1	Akola ...	..	...	20	39	20	39	19	38	...	...	.	1	19	38	14	28	4	1	1	4	5	..	...	8	9	1	8	1	...	...	1	28	...	28	
2	Buldana ..	1	..	34	57	35	57	33	54	1	1	.	2	33	54	13	19	1	2	4	18	30	..	...	4	4	4	3	...	2	...	2	19	...	19	
3	Basim ...	...	..	17	30	17	30	17	30	...		.	...	17	30	13	17	2	1	4	3	7	...	...	10	4	...	3	...	...	...	..	17	...	17	
4	Amraoti ..	1	1	37	68	38	69	36	64	2	5	...	.	36	64	18	23	..	1	3	17	38	..	...	4	...	..	8	...	3	2	6	23	...	23	
5	Ellichpur ..	..	...	6	7	6	7	6	7	..	..	...	.	6	7	4	5	...	...	..	2	2	...	...	...	...	...	1	1	3	...	...	5	...	5	
6	Wun ..	...	..	5	6	5	6	5	6	..	.	.	..	5	6	2	2	...	1	1	2	3	..	...	...	..	...	2	...	...	...	...	2	...	2	
Total.	1882.	2	1	119	207	121	208	116	199	3	6	..	*3	116	199	64	94	7	6	13	46	85	..	...	26	17	5	25	2	8	2	9	94	...	94	
	1881.	2	1	143	286	145	287	140	281	2	1	...	5	140	281	84	129	11	5	20	51	121	6	2	15	9	10	48	1	12	...	26	121	...	129	

*Absorbs two cases.

PROVINCIAL.

Judicial Statement No. XVII.—(Criminal.)—*Statement showing the average detention of witnesses in criminal cases in the several Districts of the Hyderabad Assigned Districts during the year* 1882.

Number.	Districts.	Number of witnesses who attended.	Period of detention. For 1 day.	2 days.	3 days.	4 days.	5 days.	6 days.	7 days.	8 days.	9 days.	10 days.	Up to one month.	Total.	Number of witnesses examined.	Number of witnesses allowed to go unexamined.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17
1	Akola ...	8,250	6,914	974	236	89	15	10	6	6	..	..	...	8,250	6,003	2,247
2	Buldana ...	4,927	4,041	556	226	72	32	..	...	...	...	...	...	4,927	3,295	1,632
3	Basim ...	4,245	3,241	632	163	115	94	10	..	30	...	...	...	4,285	3,102	1,183
4	Amraoti ...	11,180	8,891	1,680	413	123	43	15	11	...	4	...	...	11,180	9,046	2,134
5	Ellichpur ...	6,918	5,743	953	191	31	...	...	...	...	...	.	...	6,918	4,724	2,194
6	Wun ...	3,821	3,595	171	47	8	...	...	..	...	...	...	..	3,821	2,375	1,446
	Total. 1882.	39.381	32,425	4,966	1,276	438	184	35	17	36	4	..	...	39,381	28,545	10,836
	Total. 1881.	39,071	31,807	5,217	1,333	428	145	114	9	2	...	14	2	39,071	26,565	12,506

Judicial Statement No. XVIII.—(Criminal)—*Statement showing the amount of fines imposed and collected, &c., in the several Districts of the Hyderabad Assigned Districts during the year* 1882.

Number.	Districts.	Balance at close of last year.	Fine imposed during the year.	Total.	Collected during the year.	Amount relinquished by lapse of time.	Amount paid by way of compensation or refunded.	Balance remaining at close of the year.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
		Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.
1	Akola ...	3,564 13 3	16,047 10 10	19,612 8 1	13,515 12 10	939 11 6	2,033 0 9	5,156 15 9
2	Buldana ...	7,888 9 7	4,848 0 0	12,736 9 7	4,718 7 7	5,056 0 8	2,293 9 0	2,962 1 4
3	Basim ...	9,640 8 11	7,965 15 0	17,606 7 11	8,134 5 4	4,568 2 3	404 4 0	4,904 0 4
4	Amraoti ...	13,739 8 1	18,753 7 6	32,492 15 7	15,806 0 8	9,446 14 5	1,777 0 2	7,240 0 6
5	Ellichpur ...	18,466 15 3	12,503 5 0	30,970 4 3	10,760 9 6	13,158 14 9	1,003 13 6	7,050 12 0
6	Wun ...	7,020 0 7	7,244 5 0	14,264 5 7	7,217 3 9	342 4 7	598 14 0	6,704 13 3
	Court of Sessions.	...	0 4 0	0 4 0	0 4 0	...	...	...
	Total... 1882.	60,320 7 8	67,362 15 4	1,27,683 7 0	60,152 11 8	33,512 0 2	8,110 9 5	34,018 11 2
	Total... 1881.	90,660 7 0	62,953 11 0	1,53,614 2 0	56,330 1 0	36,963 9 4	7,963 0 9	60,320 7 8

PROVINCIAL.

JUDICIAL STATEMENT No. XIX.—(Criminal.)—*Statement of Sessions Cases filed and disposed of by the Judicial Commissioner, Hyderabad Assigned Districts, during the year* 1882.

Offences.	Remaining from last year.		Received by Transfer.		Filed during the year.		Total.		Decided.									Pending.		Sentenced to be												Remarks.
									Convicted.		Acquitted in the foregoing.	Acquitted.		Transferred.		Total.				Hanged.	Transported for life.	Imprisoned for 14 years and under.	10 "	7 "	5 "	4 "	3 "	2 "	1 "	Imprisoned for 6 months and under.	Total punished.	
	Cases.	Persons.	Cases.	Persons.	Cases.	Persons.	Cases.	Persons.	Cases.	Persons.	Persons.	Cases.	Persons.	Cases.	Persons.	Cases.	Persons.	Cases.	Persons.													
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	32	33
Murder and abetment of murder, 302, &c., 460	3	3	...	...	14	26	17	29	12	19	3	3	5	...	...	15	27	2	2	A 7	B 12	...	...	...	...	...	.	...	...	...	19	A.—The sentences of two of these were commuted to transportation for life, while that of the one to two years' rigorous imprisonment by the Resident as High Court and Local Government respectively.
Robbery with attempt to cause grievous hurt, 397 ...	...	...	...	...	1	1	1	1	1	1	...	...	..	...	...	1	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	...	...	.	...	...	...	1	
Culpable homicide, 304 ...	...	...	...	...	1	3	1	3	1	3	...	...	...	...	...	1	3	...	.	...	..	...	...	...	...	...	...	..	...	3	3	B.—The sentence of one was commuted to rigorous imprisonment for five years by Local Government.
Causing death by rash or negligent act, 328	...	...	...	...	1	2	1	2	1	1	1	...	...	...	..	1	2	...	..	...	.	...	...	...	.	...	...	1	...	...	1	
Grievous hurt, 325	...	...	...	...	1	1	1	1	1	1	...	...	..	...	.	1	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	...	...	...	.	...	...	1	
Concealment of birth by secret disposal of dead body ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	..	...	...	...	...	.	..	...	...	
Theft, 380	...	...	...	...	1	1	1	1	1	1	...	...	...	...	...	1	1	...	..	...	..	...	1	...	...	...	...	...	..	...	1	
Dakaiti	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	..	...	...	...	..	...	...	...	...	.	..	...	...	...	
Total ... 1882 ...	3	3	...	...	19	34	22	37	17	26	4	3	5	...	...	20	35	2	2	7	12	...	1	2	...	..	..	1	..	3	26	
Total ... 1881 ...	5	32	...	...	24	47	29	79	19	39	...	7	36	...	...	26	75	3	3	9	11	...	...	2	8	...	8	...	...	1	39	

No. 1003 of 1882.

From

Lieut.-Colonel J. T. BUSHBY,

Offg Judicial Commissioner,

Hyderabad Assigned Districts,

To

The SECRETARY for BERAR to the RESIDENT,

Hyderabad,

Dated Akola, 21*th March* 1882.

Sir,

I have the honor to submit my Report on the Administration of Civil Justice in the Hyderabad Assigned Districts during the year 1881, and to forward the prescribed returns and district reports for the information of the Resident.

The district reports were received in my office on the dates below specified :—

Deputy Commissioner,	Ellichpur,	13th	February 1882.
Do,	Wun,	15th	do
Do,	Buldana,	17th	do.
Do,	Amraoti,	21th	do
Do,	Akola,	24th	do
Do,	Basim,	27th	do

The date fixed for submission of the district civil report is the 1st February, so that the last report from Basim was received about a month after it fell due The delay on the part of Deputy Commissioners in forwarding their reports has already been brought to the Resident's notice. Explanations have been called for from the officers concerned, and will be submitted separately for the orders of the Resident

District reports

With the exception of the reports from Buldana and Ellichpur, the district reports show a want of fulness of information. In some, the principal points requiring report have been passed over unnoticed In Basim, even the headings given in the form of report have been omitted throughout. All this is owing to suggestions made in this office Circular No. 35 of 1879 not having been properly attended to

Small Cause Court reports

The reports from the Small Cause Court Judges were received in good time, as on former occasions. That from Khamgaon is the best

Tribunals

2 The number of civil courts open at the close of the year 1881 is shown below —

Court of the Judicial Commissioner		...	1
Courts of Civil Judges of the 6th grade		...	6
Do.	5th do.	. ...	8
Do.	4th do.	..	3
Do.	3rd do	..	6
Do	2nd do	...	4
Do.	1st do	. .	11
Judges of Small Cause Courts		...	3
		Total	42

As the report on Civil Justice is being submitted separately this year, and this table has been inserted for the first time, a comparison cannot conveniently be made with the number of tribunals at work at the close of the year 1880.

3. The subjects noticed fall, as in former years, under the following divisions and headings:—

Part I.—Original Courts—

a.—Institution of suits.
b.—Disposal of original suits.
c.—Miscellaneous applications.
d.—Classification of suits.
e.—Value and cost of suits.
f.—Agency for disposal of suits.
g.—Execution of decrees.
h. Witnesses.

Part II.—Small Cause Courts.

Part III.—Appellate Courts—

a.—District Courts.
b.—Judicial Commissioner's Court.

Part IV.—Procedure and concluding remarks.

PART I.—ORIGINAL COURTS.

A.—*Institution of Suits.*

Number of institutions of original suits.

4. The total number of original suits instituted in the civil courts of the Hyderabad Assigned Districts during the year 1881 was 22,101, as compared with 20,842 in the preceding year.

The annexed table shows the fluctuations in the different classes of civil courts during the past two years :—

Class of Courts.	1881	1880.
Chief Courts of Districts	14 ..	16
District Courts	7,741 ...	6,756
Sub-divisional Courts	8,961 ...	9,024
Small Cause Courts	5,385 .	5,046
Total ...	22,101 ...	20,842

Increase of litigation.

From the above it will be seen that, while there has been, during the year under report, an increase of 6·0 per cent. in the total number of suits instituted, the increase has been entirely in the litigation of the ordinary district courts and of small cause courts. Institution in sub-divisional courts has declined 0·6 per cent, as compared with the year 1880. The decrease, however, merely represents a transfer of business from the courts of the Tahsildars to those of the Assistant and Extra Assistant Commissioners.

The increase in civil litigation noted in the preceding paragraph extends to most districts of the province. The causes, however, which have influenced the fluctuations in the different districts are, for the most part, of too special a character to admit of any common cause or set of causes being assigned for the general result. The subject will be noticed more fully below, and also in the chapter on the working of the Small Cause Courts.

5. The increases during the year have occurred in the following districts and Small Cause Courts:—

	Percentage of variation as compared with 1880.
Small Cause Court, Khamgaon	35·3
Ellichpur District	13·4
Amraoti District	9·0
Small Cause Court, Amraoti	7·1
Buldana District	5·1
Akola District	4·3
Wun District	3·3

Causes of increase.

6. There is a marked increase in litigation in the small cause court at Khamgaon, which is attributed partly to the work in that court having been carried on uninterruptedly, and partly to the harvest prospects having been all that could be desired.

The increase in the Ellichpur District is thus accounted for by the Deputy Commissioner:—"When the price of agricultural produce rules low, litigation rises. For low prices mean to the ryot harder times and a greater difficulty in meeting his liabilities, and, as a consequence, being more frequently taken into court from failing to satisfy his creditor." The increase in the Akola District would appear to have been brought about by a similar cause, though it must be noted that the Deputy Commissioner's explanation in para. 3 of his report is not very clear.

The Judge of the Small Cause Court at Amraoti is unable to assign any particular reason for the increase in his court, but thinks "that the fact of his having been judge for the whole year uninterruptedly would account partly for the increase." There is no doubt that the numerous changes in the judges in the previous year did retard work and prevent suits being filed.

In the Buldana District, the increased facilities which the investment, for a short time, with civil powers of the Tahsildar at Malkapur and the establishment of a new court at Mehkar has given to the speedy and punctual determination of suits near the residence of the parties concerned, are said to have increased litigation.

The steady increase in the number of institutions in Wun during the past four years is ascribed to the development of the district, and to the facility which suitors find in the disposal of their cases.

No cause has been assigned for the increase in Amraoti. In fact, the Deputy Commissioner has included the figures of the Small Cause Court at Amraoti in his report, which, coupled with an unaccountable mistake in the figures given, have had the effect of exhibiting a decrease, whereas in reality there has been an increase of nine per cent. in the institutions in that district, as shown by the returns rendered.

Decrease in original suits.

The only courts in which there has been any decrease are as follows:—.

	Percentage of decrease as compared with 1880.
Small Cause Court, Akola	5·4
Basim District	1·1

Causes of decrease.

The decrease in the Akola Court is due, in some measure, to the outbreak of cholera in that district, which the Judge supposes "may have deterred for a time some suitors who would otherwise have come forward in the rains."

In the Basim District the decrease is nominal, amounting to only one per cent., and calls for no remark.

B.—*Disposal of Original Suits.*

Suits for disposal

7. Imperial Statement No. IX, submitted as an appendix, shows the general result of original civil litigation in the province.

Including 1,516 pending from 1880, 225 re-admitted cases, and 1,373 received by transfer, the total number for disposal during the year amounted to 25,246, showing an increase of 7·3 as compared with 1880.

Number disposed of.

Of the total number on the file 21,936, or 86·9 per cent., were disposed of during the year. The general results (excluding cases transferred) of the trial of the suits disposed of were :—

	Number of suits.	Proportion per cent.	Corresponding proportion in 1880.
Contested and judgment given for plaintiff in whole or part	5,164 ...	23·6 .	22·7
Defendant in whole	1,147 ...	5·2 ...	5·7
Total contested ...	6,311 ...	28·8 ...	28·4
Without contest.			
Decreed on confession	8,574 ...	39·1 ...	37·7
Dismissed for default	964 ..	4·4 .	4·4
Compromised	2,712 ...	12·4 . .	14·7
Decreed *ex parte*	2,925 ...	13·3 ...	12·2
Withdrawn	307 ...	1·4	1·7
Dismissed *ex parte*	74	·3 ...	·6
By arbitration	32	·1 ...	·3
Rejected or returned	37 ...	·2 ...	...
Total uncontested .	15,625 ...	71·2 ..	71·6

Contested cases.

It will thus be seen that 28·4 per cent. of the litigation disposed of in 1880 was contested, and almost the same percentage has been maintained in 1881.

It is noteworthy that the proportion of contested cases in the Buldana District has risen by 6 per cent. in 1881, which the Deputy Commissioner explains thus :—

"It is difficult to ascribe a reason for the rise in contested suits. The defendants may have been more acquainted with the law and ready to take advantage of any chance allowing them an opportunity to dispute claims."

Decreed on confession.

The percentage of decrees on confession has increased from 37·7 in 1880, to 39·1 in the year under report. There is almost a concurrence of opinion that the rise is due to the increased number of suits on bonds and other contracts in writing during the past three years. In these cases the defendant cannot deny the claim. He has either executed a bond or signed an account, and the plaintiff's evidence is so overwhelming, that it is useless to deny the liability. The Judge of the Khamgaon Small Cause Court, who has given special attention to the subject, writes as follows :—

"The people are willing enough to pay their debts ; they have certainly no desire to deny them, for such a course would injure their credit, but they simply have not the means of paying, and the creditor finds in practice that without a decree of Court, it is

not possible to obtain anything. If the price of cotton or other produce were to rise materially, or if, under any other circumstances, the means of the people were increased, we would have fewer cases of this kind."

This view of the case is borne out by the Deputy Commissioners of Ellichpur and Wun.

The results under the other heads so nearly correspond as to make comment unnecessary

Pending file

8. The pending file at the end of the year was 1 937 cases, being 7·6 per cent of the number of suits for disposal This percentage is slightly above the corresponding figure for 1880. The increase in the number of cases for disposal is a sufficient explanation of this surplus Of the number that were awaiting disposal, 248 were pending over three months

The Ellichpur District had the largest pending file in proportion to cases for disposal Of the pending cases as many as 20 9 per cent were of over three months' duration. The Deputy Commissioner has offered the following explanation in regard to these cases —

"Of these 373 pending cases, 222 are pending on the file of the Extra Assistant Commissioner. Of those pending more than three months, 34 and 39 were on the file of Major Rennick and Mr Atmaram respectively, four on the Tahsildar, Daryapur, and one in mine The reasons for these pending, long and short, are recorded on back of Statement No. XX. Part I, and that so many are on Mr Atmaram's file is due to causes the subject of lengthened correspondence and alluded to below in explanation of duration in disposal." [S. O.]

On reference to the statement referred to by the Deputy Commissioner, it appears that besides the ordinary causes of delay such as postponements at the request of parties to enable them to produce evidence, examining accounts, &c., a considerable number of cases were pending "local inspection of disputed fields of the jagir villages of Shahpur and Raipur," as also "for visiting disputed sites, and some were awaiting panchayetdars' reports, and the finding of heirs of deceased parties."

It is presumed that the correspondence to which allusion is made in the above explanation refers to the inadequacy of the establishment attached to the court of the Extra Assistant Commissioner at Ellichpur to cope with the work devolving on them This matter is now under the consideration of the Commissioner.

Next to Ellichpur, the largest number 58) of cases pending over three months was in the Amraoti District With regard to these cases the Deputy Commissioner explains as follows:—

"A case in my own court has been pending for a long time purely from the difficulty experienced in getting the defendants, in the first place, and now it is pending for the evidence of one of the defendants, who has been cited as a witness. There are 26 cases in Mr. Ramrao Govind's court over three months, and 20 in Mr Bhimrao's court Mr Ramrao Govind's court had not very fair play, for it has had three changes in presiding judges during the year. Mr. Bhimrao has had no such excuse"

Basim stands third respecting the cases of long duration. The following explanation has been submitted by the Deputy Commissioner —

"57 are pending more than three months; 14 of the above number are pending in the court of Mr. Purshotumrao Narayan, 8 in that of Mr. Vishnupant, 4 in that of the Tahsildar of Mangrul, and one in the Deputy Commissioner's Court. This last mentioned suit is pending final division of the partnership estate. The reason assigned by Mr. Purshotumrao is that most of the cases were keenly contested. The reasons [illegible] by Vishnupant, who only joined in December, were that witnesses and parties in some of the cases resided in the Mogla, and the delays experienced in the service of processes owing to the short establishment of servers. * *. The four suits in the Mangrul court were left pending owing to the Tahsildar's falling sick about the close of the year."

Numerically, however, the heaviest pending file (455 cases) was in the Akola District. Regarding the 51 cases of long duration in that district, the subjoined explanation has been furnished :—

"The pending file is heavy, but not more so than was to be expected, seeing that in starting the new Jalgaon court in June last, no extra establishment was sanctioned, but the Adgaon and other courts were weakened to find the necessary establishment. This cannot but be detrimental to the work of the other Courts, and has this further disadvantage, that after a time the fact is lost sight of how the establishment of the Jalgaon court has been made up and that Court is expected to do as much work as if it had a complete and separate and sufficient establishment"

With reference to the concluding portion of the foregoing remarks, I have to note that a proposal has already been submitted through the Commissioner for augmenting both the office and process serving establishments of the new civil court at Jalgaon, and placing them on an efficient footing.

Average duration

9. The average duration of uncontested cases was 26·9 days, against 28 in the previous year In contested cases it fell from 59 in 1880 to 16·2 in 1881 In the latter class of cases, the average of duration was highest in the following districts —

	1881	1880
Ellichpur	105 ...	75
Akola	57	83

The high average in Ellichpur is thus explained by the Deputy Commissioner —

"This is abnormally high in all the courts except the Tahsildar of Melghat. In my own court, the case known as the Wasui jagir case unduly ran the average up, pending the answer to a reference made by the plaintiff to records at Hyderabad under the control of the Minister. The long delay in this was specially reported at the time * * * The case was disposed of by me in 19 hearings, and was never postponed by me a day longer than I could help"

"The Assistant Commissioner (Major Rennick) is not solely responsible for the long duration in his court He received on transfer many cases that had been standing for some time on the Extra Assistant Commissioner's blocked file. The causes that lengthened duration both in the Extra Assistant Commissioner's and the Tahsildar, Daryapur's file have been the subject of much correspondence, and I need hardly revert to it here again in detail. Disposal of the references has yet to be made, but I trust with his establishment strengthened, his files will be soon brought down to more compassable dimensions ensuring speedier disposal. Courts cannot work properly if inadequately manned"

In Akola, it is attributed to the frequent changes of officers. Although the average is somewhat above the general average for the province, it has to be noted that the average duration of contested cases has much improved during the past four years, as evidenced by the following figures —

1878	133 days.
1879	85 „
1880	83 „
1881	57 „

c.—*Miscellaneous Applications.*

10 Imperial Statement No IX, Part II, shows the result of trial of miscellaneous cases in the various courts of the Hyderabad Assigned Districts

Number disposed of

The total of miscellaneous cases disposed of by civil courts during the year amounted to 2,079. The number last year was 31,436 The decrease is due to separate statements having been provided to show applications for execution of decrees, which have consequently been excluded from this head.

Details of disposal

Of the total number of cases disposed of, 16·3 per cent were disposed of without trial; 33·0 per cent were disposed of with decree but without contest, 35·1 per

cent were disposed of after contest by a decree wholly or partially in favour of the plaintiff; 5·6 per cent. were disposed of after contest by a decree in favour of defendant

There was no similar return submitted in the past year with which a comparison of these figures may be made

Number pending.

The number of applications which remained pending at the close of 1881 was 227, or 9· per cent. of the number for disposal.

D.—*Classification of Suits.*

11. Imperial Return No. VII. of the Appendix shows the number of each class of original suits instituted in the Hyderabad Assigned Districts during the year 1881.

The annexed comparative statements exhibit in a more concise form the principal changes which have taken place in the character of civil litigation during the past two years:—

		1881.	1880	Increase.	Decrease.
1.	Contracts in writing ...	12,682 ...	11,032 ...	1,650	...
2.	Do. not in writing ...	2,175 .	2,722 ...	..	547
3.	On account stated ...	3,216 ..	3,037 ...	209	...
4.	Money had and received ...	314 ...	161 ...	153	...
5.	Goods sold	804 .	758 ...	46	...

Suits for money due

Of the total number of suits instituted during the year, 19,221, or 86·9 per cent of the entire number, were for money due. Of these, 12,682 were suits on contract in writing, and 6,539 for money due otherwise than on bonds, the increase in the former class being 14·9 per cent This increase is only what might be expected from the rise in litigation.

Written obligations.

Suits on written obligations have formed 57·4 per cent of the total litigation of the year. In small cause courts the percentage was even higher, amounting to 61·4. If the suits on accounts stated, which are usually signed by the parties, are included, it will be seen that the great bulk of the litigation is now brought on written documents.

The other classes shown in the statement may be thus particularized.—

		1881.	1880.	Increase.	Decrease.
6	Wages, work and material .	149	...	149	...
7.	Breaches of contract not mentioned above	362	581	...	219
8.	Rent not falling under rent law ...	181	231	...	50
9.	Moveable property or its value ...	587	801	...	214
10.	Damages	104	92	12	..
11.	Suits relating to marriage ...	41	35	6	..
12.	Immoveable property	864	1,035	...	171
13.	Other suits	592	357	235	..

It should be remembered that re-admitted cases and cases transferred to other courts are excluded from both the above tables, as well as from Imperial Statement No. VII.

Suits for immoveable property.

Of the 864 suits for immoveable property 687, or 79·5 per cent, related to fields borne on the Government rent rolls; the percentage in the preceding year was 82·8. And of 288 suits to establish rights to real property, including pre-emption, foreclosure, mortgage, &c., 232 related likewise to similar property.

Moveable property

A decline of 10 9 per cent. in suits for moveable property was noted in last year's Report A further decrease of 26 7 per cent. is shown in the present returns

Suits for wages.

The sixth heading given above is new The suits for wages are generally brought by up-country labourers employed by Kunbis to watch their fields.

Part of the increase in class I, and the decrease in classes 7 and 8, is due to the change of classification introduced with the new judicial forms; all suits on written documents being now entered in class I, whereas formerly some were entered in classes 7 and 8.

E —*Value and Cost of Suits*

12. Imperial Return No VIII shows the value of the suits instituted during the year.

Aggregate value of suits

Excluding suits the money value of which cannot be fixed, the aggregate value of suits disposed of in 1881 amounted to Rupees 22,21,189-13-1, against Rupees 20,95,970-6-1, being an increase of Rupees 1 25,219-7-0, or 5 9 per cent The increase occurs chiefly in suits between Rs 10 and Rs 500 in value.

Average value of suits

The average value of suits disposed of is Rs 103-4-0, as compared with Rs 100-3-10 in the preceding year The highest average in the districts is in Akola Rs 145-1, and lowest in Basim (Rs. 70-6-0).

Aggregate cost of suits

The aggregate cost of suits has risen from Rs. 3,02 676-12-5 in 1880, to Rs. 3,16,748-12-0 in 1881, while the average cost has fallen from Rs 14-5-9 in 1880 to Rs 13-3-0 in the year under report.

Percentage of costs

The percentage of costs to the total value of suits has also decreased from Rs 14-7-0 in 1880, to Rs. 13-12-1 for 1881 The saving in the summoning of numerous witnesses in simple cases by the plaintiff calling only one witness on the first day of hearing accounts for this reduction in the costs The system was introduced in some of the courts in 1880, but it has been more taken advantage of in the year under report. The percentage of each district is as follows —

	Rs	a	p
Akola	12	14	8
Buldana	15	6	9
Basim . . .	14	7	1
Amraoti	14	2	4
Ellichpur	14	7	5
Wun . .	12	9	10

Necessary and voluntary costs

The necessary and voluntary average cost in each suit decided was Rs 10-6-6 and Rs 2-12-6, against Rs 11-2-10 and Rs. 3-2-11 in the preceding year The voluntary costs in each suit was lowest in the Wun District amounting to annas 14 only The Deputy Commissioner remarks that this is owing to there being only three vakils in that district, and the number of cases they appeared in was small

F —*Agency for disposal of Suits*

Distribution of work

13 Of the 21,936 suits disposed of during the year, excluding cases tried by the small cause courts and cases transferred, 19 were decided by Deputy Commissioners, 2,880 by Assistant Commissioners, 8,010 by Extra Assistant Commissioners, 1,711 by Attachés, and 3,921 by Tahsildars

Chief courts of districts.

The percentage of cases decided by the same officers during the past three years is as follows:—

	1881.	1880.	1879.
Deputy Commissioners ...	0·1	0·2	0·2
Assistant Commissioners ...	17·4	13·6	10·4
Extra Assistant Commissioners ...	48·4	51·3	54·3
Attachés	10·4	8·9	9·1
Tahsildars	23·7	26·	26·

Compared with the year 1880, a smaller share of work has fallen to the courts of the Deputy Commissioners. The 19 cases above mentioned were decided by the following Deputy Commissioners:—

Akola ...	4	Amraoti ...	10
Basim	2	Ellichpur ..	3

Assistant and Extra Assistant Commissioners

The proportion of disposals by Assistant Commissioners has steadily increased from 10·4 in 1879, to 17·4 in the year under report. On the other hand, the work done by Extra Assistant Commissioners has diminished by nearly three per cent. This diminution is particularly noticeable in the Adgaon court, where the number of cases decided has fallen from 3,306 to 2,791. The decrease is chiefly due to changes in the territorial jurisdiction of the Adgaon court, consequent on a separate court having been established at Jalgaon after the summer vacation of 1881. Notwithstanding these arrangements, the Extra Assistant Commissioner at Adgaon disposed of the largest number of cases, that is, 2,791 out of 4,239 in the Akola District, or 65 per cent. This court also disposed of the highest number of cases in the province.

Tahsildars.

There is again a slight improvement in the business disposed of by Attachés. But the suggestion made by Colonel Bell, in the Judicial Report for 1880, "that these young officers might be utilized in relieving some of the Tahsildars of civil work," does not appear to have received much attention. Tahsildars have been relieved of judicial work to some extent during the year. It has also to be remembered that the disposal of almost half of the whole litigation of the province in the courts of the Extra Assistant Commissioners is an appreciable relief to the executive.

Work done by European and Native Judges

14. The division between European and Native agency of suits disposed of was as follows:—

	No. of cases	Percentage.
European officers ...	2,263	14·
Native officers ...	11,278	86·

showing an increase in disposals by the former agency of one per cent. over the proportion of 1880

15. The average number of cases disposed of by each officer of each grade is specified below:-

	1881.	1880.
Deputy Commissioners	3	4
Assistant do.	222	183
Extra Assistant Commissioners ...	729	919
Attachés	570	719
Tahsildars	302	418

Assistant Commissioners disposed of, on the average, 222 cases each, as against 183 in 1880 and 133 in 1879. The provincial average of disposals by each Tahsildar was 302 cases. This is below the limit of 30 cases a month, prescribed for such courts in the Punjab.

G.—*Execution of Decrees.*

16. The progress in execution of decrees is shown in Imperial Return No. XI.

Applications for execution disposed of.

The courts disposed of 15,686, or 83·7 per cent. of the total number of 19,428 applications for execution, which includes cases instituted, pending, re-admitted, and received by transfer. The results of the two years compared are as under:—

	Percentages.	
	1881.	1880.
Completely executed	28·3 ...	31
Partially executed	21·5 ...	20
Struck off without execution ...	45·6 ..	49
Transferred	4·6 ..	*Nil.*

Applications completely executed

There was an increase of 4·7 per cent. in the number of disposals. The proportion of decrees completely executed has declined by three per cent But it is satisfactory to note that the percentage of applications struck off without execution is lower than in the previous year, and compares favorably with the figure for 1880 in the North-Western Provinces, which stood at 51 per cent.

Applications struck off.

Many of the reports received go to show that a considerable number of applications are made merely with the object of keeping the decree alive The fact is that judgment-creditors are often not very anxious to execute their decrees, as it pays them better to keep open their claims against judgment-debtors; and among an ignorant and apathetic population they have great facilities for doing so. In my opinion this is a matter over which the courts have no control.

It must also be borne in mind that the number of applications that were struck off without execution includes a not inconsiderable number of cases settled out of court.

The percentage of decrees completely executed was highest in the Ellichpur District (38·6), where also the increase of 13 per cent. on the results of 1880 is considerable. Basim was next with 35 4, and Wun third with 31·1 per cent. In the districts of Amraoti, Akola, and Buldana, on the other hand, the percentages of such executions varied from 24·8 to 29·9.

Amount in execution.

Turning to money decrees, it appears that an aggregate sum of Rs. 2,09,422-12-4 was realized during the year. Details of the manner of realizations are given below:—

Paid in voluntarily	... Rs.	6,773-3-8.
With the issue of process	... „	2,02,649-8-8.

Decrees for immoveable property.

17. Immoveable property was attached in 1,641 instances, as against 1,813 in 1880. Sales took place in 873 cases, as against 668. Of these 36, as against three in the previous year, were of hereditary or self-acquired interest in land.

Attachment and sale of property.

Sales of moveable property to the number of 2,054 followed the 3,319 attachments of such property effected.

Temporary alienations of land

Temporary alienations of land show an increase, as compared with 1880, of 153 cases of this kind.

Arrests and imprisonment

Arrests were most numerous in the Amraoti District. Including 28 remaining from last year, there were 219 civil debtors in prison during the year Of these 202 were released during the year, and 17 were remaining at its close

Bankruptcy.

There were 11 applications under Chapter XX., Act X of 1877, for a declaration of insolvency (excluding applications transferred) Of these 8 were granted, and 2 rejected One of the insolvents was discharged during the year under Section 355, Civil Procedure Code Provincial Statement No XII. is entirely new, and does not exhibit the results for the year 1880 The working of the Bankruptcy Rules will be better judged of next year.

Process and Court fee

18. The total income of Court and process fees during the year amounted to Rs 3,13,329-13-0 including the amount realized in the Judicial Commissioner's court, against Rs 2,95,613-6-0 in the previous year The rise on the present occasion is, of course, due to the increase in litigation The process service establishment cost Rs 71,116-5-3, leaving an unexpended balance of Rs 2,41,497-0-9 The income continues to remain in excess of all expenditure, but compared with the preceding three years, the cost of establishment exhibits a tendency to increase

II *Witnesses*

19 The following statement shows the attendance of witnesses during the past year :—

No of witnesses who attended	Period of detention			No of witnesses examined
	For one day	For two days	For three days and upwards	
36,301	33,573	2,195	593	18,311

Number of witnesses who attended

The number of witnesses who attended the courts was 708 more than in 1880 The increase is, no doubt, attributable to the increase in litigation

In consequence of the remarks made in the Judicial Report for 1880, as regards the untrustworthiness of the figures for that year, and the issue subsequently of orders on the subject, Deputy Commissioners were induced to call for and examine a number of cases in order to test the correctness of the returns for 1881, when it transpired that there was a divergence of practice in some districts in the mode of making the calculations in the returns of detention of witnesses in civil courts. Other slight errors were at the same time detected and rectified On the whole, I am inclined to believe that the present returns are more reliable than those submitted in previous years The detention in five districts up to five days is, however, slightly excessive

PART II —Small Cause Courts

20. The observations already made, as well as the figures given include the business transacted in the Small Cause Courts in the province. I now proceed to show distinctly the working of these Courts during the year

Suits instituted.

The total number of suits instituted during the year in these courts was 5,385, being 339, or 6 2 per cent in advance of the institutions in the preceding year

The increase and decrease in the courts were as follows:—

	Increase.	Decrease.
Akola	... ...	5·4
Khamgaon	35·3 ...	...
Amraoti	7·1 ...	...

There is a marked increase in litigation in the small cause court at Khamgaon, which is attributed partly to the work in that court having been carried on uninterruptedly and partly to the harvest prospects having been all that could be desired. There can be no doubt that Mr. Dunlop is perfectly right in stating "that the litigation in the two preceding years was from exceptional causes abnormally low, considering the territorial jurisdiction of the court," and that he "regards the present increase as quite a natural one under the circumstances."

The Judge, Small Cause Court, Amraoti, is unable to assign any particular reason for the increase in his court, but thinks "that the fact of his having been judge for the whole year uninterruptedly would account partly for the increase, for no doubt the numerous changes in the judges in the previous year did retard work and prevent suits being filed."

The decrease in the Akola Court is ascribed in some measure to the outbreak of cholera, which, the Judge adds, may "have deterred for a time some suitors who would otherwise have come forward in the rains."

Suits for disposal.

21. The total number of cases for disposal by small cause courts including those pending from the previous year, was 5,727, of which 5,395 were disposed of during the year.

Details of disposal.

The details of disposal are as under:—

	1881.	1880.
Dismissed for default ..	2·2 ...	2·8
Withdrawn with leave ..	2·3 ...	1·9
Compromised	10·9 ...	14·2
Decreed on confession ...	36· ...	33·2
Decreed *ex parte* ...	12·8 ...	11·4
Dismissed *ex parte* ...	·1 ...	·2
Contested	35·6 ..	36·1

The percentage of contested cases has slightly decreased from Rs. 36·1 to Rs. 35·6, a difference which is too small to be traced to any specific cause.

Contested cases.

22. The following are the results of cases contested in the different courts:—

	Akola	Khamgaon.	Amraoti.
Judgment for plaintiff in part or in whole ...	88·9 ..	92·2 ...	74·5
Do. for defendant ...	11·1 ...	7·8 ...	25·5

The percentage of the contested cases that were decided in part or in whole for the plaintiff is highest in Khamgaon. Referring to this, the judge of that court writes:—"The results in this respect in the Akola and Khamgaon Courts were very similar in 1880. In the Amraoti and other courts, the defendants were more fortunate in proving their pleas. The difference must lie either in the defendants having better evidence to adduce, or in the courts taking a more favorable view of it. My own experience is that defendants in contested bond or account cases very often put forth pleas of settlement in whole or in part which are quite unsupported by evidence on which the court can reasonably rely. They are given every opportunity to prove these pleas. I invariably adjourn the case if the witnesses have not been summoned at the first hearing, and it is not until after a full enquiry that the cases are decided against the defendants."

Average duration

The average duration of contested cases in small cause courts has fallen from 22 to 19 days in each contested case, and from 19 to 18 1 in each uncontested case. It was highest in the Khamgaon Court (25 days), which, however, is 12 days less than the corresponding duration in 1880

Pending file

23 The number of cases pending at the close of 1880 was 332, as compared with 319 in the preceding year. Of these three had been pending more than three months for the following unavoidable reasons —

1. Owing to the difficulty of finding the defendants, for which time was given under Section 99-A., Civil Procedure Code.

2. Owing to the parties having applied for time to settle the dispute amicably.

3. Owing to the case being keenly disputed, and an order having been passed for the addition of a third party to the suit.

24 The following table shows the classification of suits :—

		1881	1880	Increase	Decrease
1.	Contracts in writing ..	3,312	2,934 ...	378	..
2	Do not in writing ...	253 ...	348 ...	.	95
3	On account stated ..	1,032 ..	948 ..	84 ..	...
4	Money had and received ...	41 ..	40 ...	1	...
5.	Goods sold ..	353 ..	365 ...	..	12
6	Wages, work, and material.	90 ...	...	90	..
7.	Breach of contract not mentioned above ...	120 ...	189	... .	69
8	Rent not falling under rent law .	65 ...	112	...	47
9.	Moveable property or its value	106 ...	97	9 .	...
10.	Damages	13 ...	13	...	...

Contracts in writing.

Suits on contract in writing have formed 61 4 per cent of the total litigation of the year, or if the suits on accounts stated, which are usually signed by the parties, are included, it will be seen that the great bulk of the litigation is now brought on written documents. The sixth description of suit has not previously been separately shown. The Judge of the Amraoti Small Cause Court remarks that " the suits for wages are generally brought by up-country labourers employed by kunbis to watch their fields."

Aggregate value of suits

25 The aggregate value of suits disposed of has risen from Rs. 3,68,993-11-3 in 1880, to Rs. 4,18,230-10-3 in 1881, an increase of Rs. 49,236-15-0. The average value of each suit was Rs. 78-13-0, against Rs. 74-8-11 last year.

Average costs of suits.

The average cost of each suit has slightly increased from Rs. 9-15-1 in 1880 to Rs. 10-10-6 in 1881, and the percentage of costs to the total value disposed of from Rs. 13-5-7 to Rs 15-10-11. The percentage varied from Rs. 12-9-8 in Khamgaon, to Rs. 14-9-0 in Akola.

Of the total number of suits instituted in the small cause courts during the year, about 78 per cent. were for sums not exceeding Rs. 100.

Applications for execution of decrees

26. There were 4,122 applications for execution of decrees. Of these 3,595 were thus disposed of during the year : 700 were completely executed, 807 were partially executed, and in 2,088 no execution was effected The number of applications that were struck off without execution was very high. Many applications are, however, made merely with the object of keeping the decree alive The plaintiff takes out a warrant, but is unable to show any property,

and the application is finally struck off to be made again at some more favorable opportunity. It is a matter over which the courts have no control.

The number of applications pending was 527. Fifty of these were pending for more than three months at the close of the year. Some of these were applications for attachment of moieties of salaries of judgment-debtors month by month till settlement, and which the Resident ruled in your letter No. 742, dated 1st November 1881, should be shown as pending until the decree is fully satisfied.

Persons imprisoned.

There were 12 persons imprisoned during the year, against 34 in the previous year; and of these only three remained in jail at its close.

Moveable property was attached in 1,034 cases, but in 646 instances only was actual sale resorted to.

Re-trials.

27. There were 16 applications for re-trial, being 0·8 per cent. of contested cases decided during the year. The proportion of such applications to decided contested cases in 1880 was 0·5 per cent.

Miscellaneous applications.

Besides the business detailed above, 224 miscellaneous cases were disposed of other than plaints presented to small cause courts. The number in 1880 was 3,025. The decrease is owing to applications for execution having been excluded from Statement No. XX., Part II.

Income of courts.

28. The aggregate income of the small cause courts arising from court fees, process fees, and other miscellaneous sources, amounted to Rs. 62,897-14-6, and the total expenditure Rs. 43,617-15-2, showing a balance to profit of Rs. 19,279-15-4, thus:—

	Receipts.				Expenditure.				Balance		
	Rs.	a.	p.		Rs.	a.	p.		Rs.	a.	p.
Akola ...	17,149	14	0	...	10,879	9	11	...	6,270	4	1
Khamgaon ...	18,628	1	0	.	14,435	15	6	...	4,192	1	6
Amraoti ...	27,119	15	6	..	18,302	5	9	...	8,817	9	9

Notwithstanding the falling-off in the number of suits instituted in the Akola Court (amounting to 5·4 per cent.), there is a surplus in its favor of Rs. 6,270-4-1. The financial condition of these courts must therefore be regarded as very satisfactory.

PART III.—APPELLATE COURTS.

A.—*District Courts.*

Appeals in district courts.

29. The number of appeals for disposal by Deputy Commissioners, including those pending from the previous year, was 435, or an increase of 19, as compared with the year 1880, of which 390, or 89·6 per cent., were disposed of during the year.

Appeals decided.

The details of disposals for the two years are noted below:—

	1881.		1880.
Rejected	4·1	...	*Nil.*
Dismissed for default	3·3	...	3·7
Confirmed *ex parte*	10·8	...	9·1
Confirmed under Section 551, Civil Procedure Code.	39·0	...	41·6
Modified	7·4	...	7·1
Reversed	22·8	...	23·8
Remanded under Section 562, Civil Procedure Code.	12·6	...	14·7

The proportion of appeals confirmed is nearly one per cent higher than last year; and cases remanded show a tendency to decrease in number There is no material variation in the percentage of cases reversed or dismissed for default.

30. For the sake of comparison these figures, as well as those in the following section (B —*Judicial Commissioner's Court* include both regular and miscellaneous appeals, as given last year. Imperial Return No X, Parts I. and II. (introduced during the year under report), being new statements, the corresponding figures for 1880 cannot be furnished separately. And for this reason the two heads "Appeals from decrees" and "Miscellaneous appeals" cannot well be dealt with distinctly in the present Report, but this will be done in the ensuing year.

Of the 45 appeals which were pending at the close of the year, eleven had been pending over three months, seven of these being in the Amraoti District alone The Deputy Commissioner explains "that the delay in these has been caused by lower courts, to whom the cases were sent under Section 566 for enquiry, not returning them promptly." It would be advisable for the Deputy Commissioner to name a day by which a case so remanded should be returned to the appellate court. This plan has been adopted in the Judicial Commissioner's Court with very good results.

Average duration

The average duration of appeals for the province was 55·9. It was highest in the Ellichpur District, 151 days, including miscellaneous appeals No explanation has been afforded by the Deputy Commissioner as to the cause of the inordinate delay. It has, however, been called for, and will be forwarded separately.*

The average was also high in Amraoti—84 days. It is thus explained by the Deputy Commissioner, who, however, refers to the *regular appeals* only:—"The average duration, 71 days, is still bad; for this I may plead that I opened the year with 45 cases, which I could hardly touch till March. After this the cases were disposed of with the greatest punctuality The present year opened with 27 cases, and I hope that next year I shall have brought the duration down to a better figure"

Wun is the only district in which the average was below 15 days.

Value of appeals.

31 The value of appeals disposed of by Deputy Commissioners amounted to Rs 51,164-9-7, and the cost of appeals decided to Rs 8,829-13-0

Average value and cost of suits

The average value of each appeal was Rs. 142-14-8, against Rs. 132-8-11 in 1880 The average cost has increased from Rs 20 13-11 to Rs 26-6-10, and the percentage of costs to value from Rs 15-1-8 to Rs 16-5-4

B.—*Judicial Commissioner's Court.*

Appeals for disposal

32. The following statement shows in detail the result of appeals to the Judicial Commissioner's Court The figures of the previous year have been added for easy comparison:—

Year	No. of appeals for disposal	No. of appeals disposed of	Dismissed for default.		Rejected.		Confirmed.		Modified.		Reversed.		Remanded under Sec. 562, C. P. C.	
			No.	Percentage.	No.	Percentage.	No.	Percentage.	No.	Percentage.	No.	Percentage.	No.	Percentage.
1881...	314	227	10	4·4	85	37 5	64	28·2	14	6·2	45	19·8	9	3·9
1880...	305	268	15	5·6	95	35·4	91	34·	8	3·	47	17·5	12	4·5

*NOTE.—Since the receipt of this report, the explanation referred to has been received

Result of appeals.

The principal feature in the above table is the decrease in the percentage of orders confirmed. The proportion of cases in which the order of the lower court was modified has risen from 3 to 6·2 per cent. The percentage of rejections and reversals, too, has increased by 2 per cent. The percentage of orders confirmed, as shown above, was 28·2 per cent., or 6 per cent. below that for 1880. It has been remarked in the previous section of this Report that in district courts it was 39·0.

The number for disposal, including those pending from the previous year, was 314, of which 217 were appeals from original decrees, 68 from orders passed in appeals by Deputy Commissioners, and the remainder miscellaneous cases.

Disposals.

Pending file.

Of these 227, or 72·5 per cent., were disposed of during the year, leaving 87 pending at its close, of which 64 were decided in the present year. 25 appeals were pending over three months.

Average duration.

The average duration is 81 days, or 18 more than in 1880.

Value of appeals.

33. The aggregate value of appeals disposed of in the Judicial Commissioner's Court amounted to Rs. 62,290-4-11, and the total cost of appeals decided to Rs. 7,719-3-11. The average value of each appeal was Rs. 366-6-10, against Rs. 614-2-4 in the preceding year.

Average cost.

The average cost of each appeal was Rs. 34-0-1, as compared with Rs. 64-4-0 in 1880, and the percentage of costs to value Rs. 11-2-0, against Rs. 10-7-5 in the preceding year. The decrease in the average cost of appeals is probably owing to a large diminution in the value of appeals decided (*i. e.*, Rs. 62,290-4-11 in 1881, as against 1,64,590-8-9)

The proportion of original appealable decisions appealed throughout the province to the appellate court of either class (Deputy Commissioners and Judicial Commissioner) was 14·9 per cent.

PART IV.—PROCEDURE AND CONCLUDING REMARKS.

Cases inspected by Deputy Commissioners.

34. The following statement shows the number of cases the proceedings in which were called up for inspection by Deputy Commissioners:—

Districts	No of cases called up, including the balance of 1880.	No. of cases transferred to Assistant Commissioners for inspection and report.	No. of cases inspected by Deputy Commissioners.	Result of inspection.				No. of cases pending inspection at the close of the year.
				No. of cases in which procedure was found to be correct.	No. of cases in which errors were pointed out and communicated to the officers concerned.	No. of cases admitted under Government Notification No. 112-F., dated 10th July 1878.	Total.	
Akola ...	234	14	220	141	79	...	220	14
Buldana ...	81	...	76	64	12	...	76	5
Basim ...	284	...	284	173	111	...	284	...
Amraoti ...	208	...	208	126	82	...	208	...
Ellichpur ...	155	...	140	94	46	...	140	15
Wun ...	59	...	59	23	36	...	59	...
Total ...	1,021	14	987	621	366	...	987	34

Inspection of courts.

35. During the last cold weather, I examined the registers of nearly all the courts. Officers generally appeared to have shown great attention to these registers, trivial errors were found to exist; these were checked, and instructions given.

A considerable number of cases, both criminal and civil, came before me either in appeal or for examination. Errors or omissions were duly communicated to the officers concerned. I have found that our law courts are correct in procedure; they are more courts of law than courts of equity.

Notice of officers.

36. The following officers have been in charge of the different districts during the past year. They have all worked with patience, zeal, and ability:—

Name of Officer.	Time during which held charge of the district.		Name of District
Major H. Szczepanski	12 months	...	Wun.
Do. K. J. L. Mackenzie...	Do.	...	Ellichpur.
Do. J. FitzGerald ...	11 do.	...	Akola.
Mr. A. J. Dunlop ...	1 month	...	Do.
Major R. Bullock ...	12 months	...	Amraoti.
Lieut.-Col. A. Farrer ...	12 do.	...	Basim.
Mr. H. B. Knowlys ...	5 months	...	Buldana.
Lieut.-Col. D. W. Laughton	2 do.	...	Do.

37. The following Officers have been specially brought to notice by Deputy Commissioners:—

Akola.—Messrs. Dunlop, Nizam-ud-Din, Kesheo Rao Mahipat, Shrikrishna Narhar.

Buldana.—Mr. Dastur Edalji Bahmanji. I can speak very highly of Messrs. Knowlys and Purshotum Rao, who discharged their duties with zeal and ability as Assistant Commissioners at Buldana.

Amraoti.—Messrs. Yar Mahomed, Melvill, Mahomed Raza Khan, Suraj Narayan, Burns, Shrikrishna and Ramrao Govind.

Ellichpur.—Major Rennick and Mr. Atmaram Bhikaji.

Wun.—Messrs. Elliott, Yasim Khan, and Mahomed Raza Khan.

In my own office, I beg specially to bring to notice the zeal, ability, and judgment of Mr. Jones, my head clerk. I cannot speak too highly in his praise. The first in office and the last to leave; he is thoroughly efficient and trustworthy.

Mr. Bhaskar Rao, Clerk of Court, has fully established his character, and is an excellent clerk of court, and I should like to see him advanced in the service.

Mr. Yeshwant Rao, Deputy Clerk of Court, is a painstaking, intelligent, and hard-working Government servant, and deserves favourable mention.

Mr. Murray, 2nd Clerk, also merits commendation.

Mr. Deorao Vinayak, Pleader, has given me much satisfaction in the public prosecutions which he has conducted.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant,

J. T. BUSHBY,

Offg. Judicial Commissioner,

Hyderabad Assigned Districts

APPENDICES.

IMPERIAL

Judicial Statement No. VII.—(Civil.)—*Statement showing the number and description of Civil Suits instituted in the Civil Courts in the Hyderabad Assigned Districts in the year* 1882.

	Suits for money or moveable property.										Suits under the rent law								Title and other suits.																		
	Contract in writing	Contract not in writing.	On account stated	Money had and received	Goods sold	Wages work, and materials	Breach of contract not mentioned above	Rent not falling under the rent law	Moveable property or value thereof	Damages	Arrears of rent with or without ejectment or cancelment of lease	Enhancement or abatement of rent	Relating to distraint	Damages for extortion or with holding receipts, or an account of illegal restraint or other cause	For pattahs or kabuliyats	For ejectment or recovery of possession.	For recovery of money or accounts from agent	All other suits under the rent law not included above	Suits for immoveable property.	Suits for declaratory decree	Other suits under the Specific Relief Act.	Suits to declare and establish rights to real property, including pre-emption, foreclosure, &c	Suits to declare and establish personal rights.	Suits for an account	Suits relating to religious endowments.	Suits to set aside judgments, contracts, or obligations on the ground of fraud	Suits for dissolution of marriage.	Suits for enforcement of matrimonial rights	Suits for partition	Suits relating to shipping	Suits relating to religion and caste	Administration suits	Inter pleader suits	Dissolution of partnership.	Suits under Section 261, Act X. of 1865 [also Hindu Wills Act]	Other suits not falling under any of the previous heads.	Grand Total.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	32	33	34	35	36	37	38
Courts in the Interior.																																					
I—Civil Courts																																					
Unpaid Tribunals			...	...	..	..		...	..		..				...		...		...	...	...	..	...		.	...	...		...	.		...	...	...	...	...	...
Village Courts ..	...	.	..		..		.	.		...	..						.	.	...			.		...	...	...	...	...	...	.		...	...		...	...	...
Part Sub-Divisional Tribunals	7,239	1,958	1,833	32	219	50	147	74	598	33		...	..	...	...	.			679	69	11	239	33	..	1	2	4	16	4			1	...	4	10	41	13,297
Small Cause Courts	3,828	257	1,282	48	330	126	90	99	158	32						..	..		...	...		...	...		...	..	...	...	...			...	.	...	.	...	6,250
District Courts other than Chief Courts of Districts .	4,565	363	819	23	180	31	143	47	171	37		...			...	...		...	675	97	15	136	17	6	.	11	4	32	5	...	..		..	3	...	65	7,445
Chief Courts of Districts	2	8	2			..	..	...		2			..	..	..	...	.	..	4	1	.	..	.	2	...	...	..	5	3		.		...	9		2	40
Total ... 1882	15,634	2,586	3,936	103	729	207	380	220	927	104				...	...		...		1,358	167	26	375	50	8	1	13	8	53	12	..		1	...	16	10	108	27,032
Total ... 1881	12,682	2,175	3,246	314	804	149	362	181	587	104	.	.			...	...			864	127	26	288	19	5	2	12	4	37	14		1		...	9	1	88	22,101

IMPERIAL.

Judicial Statement No. VIII.—(Civil)—*Statement showing the number and value of suits instituted in the Civil and Revenue Courts in the Hyderabad Assigned Districts in the year* 1882.

Value of suits	Number of suits instituted in the different Courts: Not exceeding Rs 10	Not exceeding Rs 50	Not exceeding Rs 100	Not exceeding Rs 500	Not exceeding Rs 1,000	Not exceeding Rs 5,000	Not exceeding Rs 10,000	Exceeding Rs 10,000	Number of suits the value of which cannot be estimated in money	Total value of suits	Remarks
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
Courts in the Interior											
I.—Civil Courts.										Rs a p.	
Unpaid Tribunals ...		...	...			.	...		..	...	...
Village Courts ...	...	.	..		..	...	...	..	...	..	...
Paid Sub-divisional tribunals . ..	1,195	6,684	2,557	2,360	147	...	...	...	154	10,16,305 7 9	13,297
Small Cause Courts	378	3,040	1,456	1,320	56	...	...	..		4,92,139 4 6	6,250
District Courts other than Chief Courts of Districts	253	2,645	1,554	2,219	326	201		..	247	12,09,662 3 3	7,445
Chief Courts of Districts	..	...	1	6	2	5	12	8	6	3,54,582 1 10	40
Total ... 1882 ...	1,826	12,369	5,768	5,905	531	206	12	8	407	30,72,689 1 4	27,032
Total ... 1881	1,573	10,762	4,603	4,369	387	172	6	1	228	21,22,298 4 3	22,101

Note - The total number of suits should be given in the column of remarks against each Court

Judicial Statement No. IX.—(Civil.)—*Statement showing the general result of the trial of Civil and Revenue cases in the Courts of original jurisdiction in the Hyderabad Assigned Districts in the year* 1882.

Part I.—Civil Suits.

Class of Courts	Total No of suits before the Courts *	No of Suits disposed of: Transferred to Courts in other districts	Without trial	Without contest: Compromised	Decreed on confession	Decreed ex parte	Dismissed ex parte	On reference to arbitration: For Plaintiff	For Defendant	With contest: Judgment for Plaintiff in whole or in part	Judgment for Defendant	Pending at the close of the year	No of cases pending more than three months at the close of the year	Average duration of suits: Contested	Uncontested
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16
Courts in the Interior															
I.—Civil Courts															
Unpaid Tribunals	...	..	.	..	.			...	.	.		...		..	.
Village Courts			.		...		..	.	...		..		.		...
Paid Sub-divisional Tribunals ..	14,426	...	1,105	1,693	5,135	1,653	86	25	8	2,809	706	1,206	260	51 4	26 3
Small Cause Courts ..	6,613		287	827	2,081	921	23	12	1	1,709	436	316	2	19	17 5
District Courts other than Chief Courts of Districts .	8,190		338	952	2,507	1,312	25	10	2	1,984	377	683	132	89 4	39 5
Chief Courts of Districts ..	71	...	7	6	9	2	.	2	1	12	7	25	12	141 8	34
Total ... 1882	29,300	..	1,737	3,478	9,732	3,888	134	49	12	6,514	1,526	2,230	406	47·9	26 3
Total ... 1881	23,873	...	1,308	2,712	8,574	2,925	74	26	6	5,164	1,147	1,937	248	46 2	26·9

* That is the total of the entries in columns 3 to 13 Cases transferred from one Court to another in the same district will appear only against the Court by which decided, or in which pending at the close of the year, if not decided

IMPERIAL.

Judicial Statement No. IX.—(Civil).—*Statement showing the general result of the trial of Civil and Revenue cases in the Courts of original jurisdiction in the Hyderabad Assigned Districts in the year* 1882.

Part II.—Miscellaneous Cases.—(Judicial.)

Class of Courts	Total number of cases before the Courts*	Number of cases disposed of: Transferred to Courts in other districts.	Without trial	Without contest: Compromised.	Decreed on confession	Decreed ex parte	Dismissed ex-parte	On reference to arbitration: For Plaintiff	For Defendant	With contest: Judgment for Plaintiff in whole or in part	Judgment for Defendant	Pending at the close of the year	Number of cases pending more than three months at the close of the year.	Average duration of cases: Contested.	Uncontested
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16
Courts in the Interior.															
1.—Civil Courts.															
Unpaid Tribunals..	...	...		..	...	.	...	..	.	...	...	...		...	...
Village Courts ...	..	...		..	.	...		...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Paid Sub-divisional Tribunals ...	1,661		299	49	291	219	43	...	..	377	199	184	25	34·1	20·7
Small Cause Courts	305	.	60	10	11	31	8	.	...	101	72	12		22·2	14
District Courts other than Chief Courts of Districts	1,310	..	173	39	176	75	34	..	...	495	282	86	5	34·3	31·6
Chief Courts of Districts ...	116	.	36	4	3	18	1	...	..	29	16	9		75·6	33
Total ... 1882	3,392	..	568	102	481	343	86	...	.	1,002	519	291	30	29·6	21·1
Total ... 1881...	2,306	.	335	96	374	181	42	...		728	323	227	32	45	26

* That is the total of the entries in columns 3 to 13 Cases transferred from one Court to another *in the same district* will appear only against the Court by which decided, or in which pending at the close of the year, if not decided,

Judicial Statement No. X.—(Civil.)—*Statement showing the business of the Civil and Revenue Appellate Courts in the Hyderabad Assigned Districts in the year* 1882.

Part I.—Appeals from Decrees.

Class of Courts.	Total No of appeals before the Court.*	Transferred to Courts in other Districts	Appeals summarily rejected	Dismissed for default or otherwise not prosecuted.	Heard ex-parte: Confirmed	Modified.	Reversed	Remanded	Contested: Confirmed	Modified	Reversed.	Remanded	Pending	Of these pending more than three months	Average duration of appeals	Objections under Sec. 561, Act X. of 1877
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17
COURTS IN THE INTERIOR.																
Appeals from Original Decrees.																
A.—Civil Courts.																
Chief Appellate Courts other than Chief Courts of Districts..	409	1	200	17	2	1	2	2	87	17	31	7	42	11	77·7	2
Chief Appellate Courts of Districts ...	443	...	55	23	18	4	2	4	165	50	70	30	22	3	37·8	3
Superior Appellate Courts other than Chief Court of Province .	...	...	...	...	...	...	..	...	...	..	...	..	...	...	...	...
Total ... 1882	852	1	255	40	20	5	4	6	252	67	101	37	64	14	43·5	5
Total ... 1881..	710	...	92	22	41	5	8	8	212	34	116	47	125	38	38·4	3

* That is, the total of the entries in columns 3 to 14. Appeals transferred from one Court to another *in the same district* will appear only against the Court by which decided, or in which pending at the close of the year, if not decided

IMPERIAL.

JUDICIAL STATEMENT No. X.—(Civil.)—*Statement showing the business of the Civil and Revenue Appellate Courts in the Hyderabad Assigned Districts in the year* 1882.

PART II —MISCELLANEOUS APPEALS—(JUDICIAL.)

Class of Courts.	Total No. of appeals before the Court.*	Transferred to Courts in other districts.	Appeal summarily rejected.	Dismissed for default or otherwise not prosecuted.	Heard ex parte. Confirmed.	Heard ex parte. Modified.	Heard ex parte. Reversed.	Heard ex parte. Remanded.	Contested. Confirmed.	Contested. Modified.	Contested. Reversed.	Contested. Remanded.	Pending.	Of these pending more than 3 months.	Average duration of appeals	Objections under Sec. 561, Act X. of 1877.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17
COURTS IN THE INTERIOR.																
APPEALS FROM ORIGINAL DECREES.																
A.—*Civil Courts.*																
Chief Appellate Courts other than Chief Courts of Districts	53	...	30	2	..	3	..	3	1	4	...	10	1	80	...
Chief Appellate Courts of Districts ...	26	...	7	2	.	3	..	8	2	2	...	2	...	23·5	...
Superior Appellate Courts other than Chief Court of Province	...	...	...	...	...	..	..	...	.	...	...	...	..	...	...	...
Total ... 1882 ...	79	.	37	4	...	.	6	.	11	3	6	..	12	1	31·6	...
Total ... 1881 .	39	..	9	1	1		2		4	4	8	3	7	3	56	...

* That is, the total of the entries in columns 3 to 14. Appeals transferred from one Court to another *in the same district* will only appear against the Court by which decided, or in which pending at the close of the year, if not decided.

JUDICIAL STATEMENT No. XI.—(Civil.)—*Statement showing the result of proceedings on applications for the execution of the decrees of the Civil and Revenue Courts in the Hyderabad Assigned Districts in the year* 1882.

Class of Courts	Total number of applications for the execution of decrees before the Courts.*	Applications disposed of: By transfer	Applications disposed of: Satisfaction obtained in full	Applications disposed of: Satisfaction obtained in part.	Applications disposed of: Wholly infructuous.	Pending at the end of the year	Number of applications pending more than three months at the close of the year	Amount realized: With the issue of process.	Amount realized: Without the issue of process	On which the judgment debtor was imprisoned	On which he was arrested, but released without imprisonment	On which moveable property: Was sold	On which moveable property: Was attached, but subsequently released	On which immoveable property: Was sold	On which immoveable property: Was dealt with under Sections 305, 322, or 326, Act X of 1877	On which immoveable property: Was attached, but subsequently released under Section 275	On which possession was given: Of moveables.	On which possession was given: Of immoveables	On which specific performance was enforced	On which partition was effected	On which execution was effected otherwise than in the preceding columns.	Remarks.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23
COURTS IN THE INTERIOR								Rs a. p	Rs. a. p.													
I —Civil Courts.																						
Unpaid Tribunals	..									...			...	...								
Village Courts																						
Paid Sub divisional Tribunals	10,681	307	3,093	1,587	3,740	1,954	918	1,01,710 6 6	5,559 2 0	133	62	818	644	482	129	703	4	186	7	8	245	
Small Cause Courts	4,624		892	911	2,472	349	25	23,774 0 7	2,127 4 5	10	41	523	326	.	..							
District Courts other than Chief Courts of Districts	8,058	608	2,003	1,400	2,751	1,296	382	1,74,104 11 8	21,525 6 1	34	31	696	420	520	162	587	5	211	55	17	193	
Chief Courts of Districts	65	5	20	6	18	16	12	7,260 8 6	26,833 3 10			5	7	3	3	3		2		1	2	
Total 1882	23 428	920	6,008	3,904	8,981	3,615	1,387	3,06,849 11 3	56,045 0 4	177	134	2,042	1,397	1005	294	1,293	9	399	62	26	440	
Total 1881	19,428	721	4,444	3,365	7,153	3,742	1,194	2,02,649 8 8	6,773 3 8	171	118	2,054	1,263	873	125	643	26	258	22	38	69	

* That is the total of the entries in columns 3 to 7.

IMPERIAL.

JUDICIAL STATEMENT No. XII.—(Civil.)—*Statement showing the number and result of applications and proceedings under Chapter XX., Act X. of 1877, in the Hyderabad Assigned Districts in the year 1882.*

Class of Courts.	Applications for a declaration of insolvency,								Number of insolvents discharged during the year under Section 355.	Number of insolvents' estates in the hands of receivers in which proceeding were finally closed during the year	Amount of creditors' claims dealt with during the year		Gross amount of insolvents' assets realized & disbursed		Remarks
	Total number for hearing †	Transferred to another district withdrawn, &c.	Granted.		Rejected.			Pending at the close of the year			Admitted	Satisfied.	Realized during the year	Disbursed during the year.	
			A receiver being appointed.	A receiver not being appointed	Penal proceedings under Section 359 not being taken.	Sentence of imprisonment being passed under Section 359	Applicant being sent to the Magistrate to be dealt with.								
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16
											Rs a p				
Courts other than Chief Courts of Districts* ...	22	4	2	12	1	...	...	3	...	...	10,570 12 0		..	...	
Chief Courts of Districts ..	5	3	...	1	..	.	...	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Superior Courts ..	...		...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...		...	
Total ... 1882 ...	27	7	2	13	1	...	...	4	...	...	10,570 12 0	..		...	
Total ... 1881 ...	15	4		8	...	2	...	1	1	...	4,788 3 6	5 0 0	..	...	

*Specially empowered under Section 360, Act X. of 1877

†That is, the total of the entries in columns 3 to 9. Cases transferred from one Court to another *in the same District* will appear only against the Court by which decided, or in which pending at the close of the year, if not decided.

Judicial Statement No. XX.

PROVIN

JUDICIAL STATEMENT No. XX.—(Civil.)—*Statement showing the general result of*

PART I.—

No	Name of Officer.	Designation	Suits remaining from last year.	Instituted in 1882	Received otherwise. Received by transfer from other courts	Remanded under Section 562, Act X. of 1877.	Received under Section 626.	Received under Sections 99, 103, 108 or 371.	Total for disposal.	Transferred to other Courts.	Without trial. Plaint rejected or returned.	Dismissed for default or want of prosecution.	Withdrawn with leave.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
	AKOLA DISTRICT.												
1	Colonel J. FitzGerald	Deputy Commissioner	3	12	.	1	..		16		..	...	..
2	Mr. C. A W Davies	Assistant Comr.	17	58	.	...	.		75	11	.	..	
3	,, Nizam-ud Din	Do.	248	2,165	..	...	..	15	2,428	1	7	47	7
4	,, Mahomed Yasin Khan	Do. ...		47	.			...	47	.	...	6	..
5	,, Keshoo Mahipat	Ex. Asst. Comr., Akot.	131	2,961	...	..	1	7	3,100	..	2	71	37
6	,, H. B. Fowler ..	Do , do	16	226		2	1	4	249	.		8	
7	,, A. J Dunlop	Asst. Comr & Sub-Judge	40	246	1	1	3	...	291		1	..	1
8	,, C. A W Davies ..	Do. do.		75	11	1	1		88		.		
		Total	455	5,790	12	5	6	26	6,294	12	10	132	45
	BULDANA DISTRICT.												
1	Colonel H. C Menzies	Deputy Commissioner	.		..	...		...	.	...	..	...	.
2	Lieut.-Colonel D W Laughton	Assistant Comr.	19	601	..	..	1	4	625	..	...	1	2
3	Mr. Edalji Bahmanji	Do	...	60		.			60	..	...	.	
4	,, H B Knowlys	Do. .		171	..		.	2	173	.	..	4	
5	,, R. D Hare	Do. ..		171	.	...		1	172	..	...	3	2
6	,, Edalji Bahmanji	Do , Malkapur	14	667	.	4	..	16	701	..	...	12	18
7	,, Mahomed Yasin Khan	Do , do.		87	.	..	...	.	87		...	2	1
8	,, Parashram Ganesh	Tahsildar, Mehkar	13	224	.	..	...	1	239		...	7	1
9	,, Bhaskar Maheshwar	Do., Malkapur	1	...			..		1		.	...	..
		Total	47	1,981	..	4	1	24	2,057			29	24
	BASIM DISTRICT.												
1	Colonel A Farrer .	Deputy Commissioner	1	3		...	..	...	4	4		...	
2	,, D W Laughton	Do.	..	1	11		...		12			2	..
3	Mr. Purshotam Rao	Extra Asst Comr.	91	188	30		1	15	325	53	.	29	16
4	,, Vishnu Sadashee ..	Do.	84	721	118	5	1	22	951	118	13	111	17
5	,, H E J FitzPatrick	Do.		257	134	4		8	403	94	5	24	4
6	,, Khwaja Badr ud-Din	Tahsildar, Pusad	13	628	23			9	675	55		127	22
7	,, Khwaja Munir-ud-Din	Offg do.		42	55			8	105	25		26	1
8	,, Laxman Balaram	Tahsildar Mangrul	97	277		1			375		.	8	4
9	,, Saadat Mir Khan	Do.		376			..		376	24	.	3	1
		Total ..	286	2,493	373	10	2	62	3,226	373	18	330	65
	AMRAOTI DISTRICT												
1	Major R Bullock	Deputy Commissioner	1	8		..		..	9	...	...	...	1
2	Mr C Bhimarao	Assistant do.	82	1,127		5	6	50	1,270	2	7	142	10
3	,, Shrikishan Narhar	Extra Asst Comr.	117	992	2		2	6	1,119		38	50	11
4	,, Ram Rao Govind	Do , Murtizapur	140	1,379	.	1	2	2	1,524		6	7	4
5	,, P Suraj Narayen	Attache		69			.	1	70			19	1
6	,, Waman Narayen	Tahsildar, Chandur	32	555				3	590		3	60	2
		Total	372	4,130	2	6	10	62	4,582	2	52	278	29
	ELLICHPUR DISTRICT												
1	Major K J L. Mackenzie .	Deputy Commissioner.	1	9	1			.	11	1			
2	Mr H B Knowlys	Offg do		2					2			..	
3	Major H DeP Rennick	Assistant Comr	102	102	23	1	...		228	145		6	
4	Mr H B Knowlys	Do		58	1		1	1	61	23	1	2	1
5	,, Yar Mahomed Khan	Do		893	5	4	.	6	908			26	9
6	,, Atmaram Bhikaji	Extra Asst. Comr	222	1,831	164	8		15	2,235			65	10
7	,, H E J FitzPatrick	Do		210		1		2	213	23		5	3
8	,, Govind Rao Yeshwant	Tahsildar, Daryapur	48	852		4			904	2		12	7
9	,, Sadaseo Bhaskar .	Do., Melghat		68					68			13	1
		Total	373	4,025	194	13	1	24	4,630	194	1	129	31
	WUN DISTRICT.												
1	Major H C A Szczepanski	Deputy Commissioner.		5	16			1	22			4	
2	Mr A Elliott	Assistant do.	18	569	73			9	669	17	1	33	11
3	,, Mahomed Ruzza Khan .	Attache .	25	268		1		2	296	2	3	27	2
4	,, Mir Ihsan-ul Hakk	Do.	..	601	12	1		6	680	57	7	60	1
5	,, Saiyad Alim ud-Din Hussain	Do.	...	55	5			2	62			2	
6	,, C Seshachalam	Tahsildar, Yetomal	1	128				1	130			30	
7	,, Ambadas Santu .	Do , Wun	1	379		1	1	4	386	..		15	4
8	,, Saiyad Abdul Aziz	Do , Kelapur	27	298		1		14	340		5	64	3
		Total	72	2,363	106	4	1	39	2,585	106	21	235	21
		Total for Districts .	1,605	20,782	687	42	21	237	23,374	687	109	1,133	215
	SMALL CAUSE COURTS												
1	Mr E J Kitts	Judge, Akola	78	1,673		1	2	9	1,763			52	21
2	,, C A W Davies	Do		660		.	1	8	669			25	14
3	,, A J Dunlop	Judge, Khamgaon	81	1,119			2		1,202		3	3	2
4	Major F W Grant	Do , Amraoti	173	2,798	.			8	2,979		5	74	88
		Total	332	6,250		1	5	25	6,613		8	154	125
	Grand Total	1882	1,937	27,032	687	43	26	262	29,987	687	110	1,287	340
		1881	1,516	22,132	1,373	50	17	158	25,246	1,373	37	964	307

CIAL.

Civil Suits disposed of by each officer in the Hyderabad Assigned Districts during the year 1882.

CIVIL SUITS.

Disposed of												Average duration of suits.					
Without contest.				On reference to arbitration.		With contest.						Contested.			Uncontested.		
Compromised.	Decreed on confession.	Decreed ex parte.	Dismissed ex parte.	For Plaintiff.	For Defendant.	Judgment for Plaintiff in whole or part.	Judgment for Defendant.	Total of columns 12 to 21.	Pending at the close of 1882.	Of these pending more than three months.	Date of the oldest suit pending.	No. of cases, columns 19 to 22.	Aggregate No. of days occupied in trial.	Average No. of days.	No. of cases, columns 12 to 18.	Aggregate No. of days occupied in trial.	Average No. of days.
15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	32
.	.	.	.	1	..	2	4	7	9	4	1-2-82	7	1,098	156·9	...	...	.
5	19	4	...	1	1	28	6	64	..			36	5,025	139·6	28	1,481	52·9
318	914	414	...	1	.	596	70	2,374	53	..	.	667	28,377	42·5	1,707	34,273	20·8
8	20	8	...		.	4	1	47	.	..		5	409	81·8	42	1,363	32·4
274	1,380	475	2		..	537	54	2,832	268	98	15-12-81	591	45,133	71	2,241	46,346	20
17	40	12	.	.	.	68	21	186	63	21	12 7-82	109	3,490	32	77	1,598	20·8
41	68	23	...	4	...	105	10	253	38	4	15-7 82	119	6,786	57	134	4,044	30·2
	13	5	...	1		25	5	49	39	..	..	31	1,788	57·7	18	349	19·4
663	2,454	941	2	8	1	1,385	171	5,812	470	127	..	1,565	92,106	58·8	4,247	89,454	21·1
...	.		...			...				...	...	.	..				.
123	94	126	...			150	21	517			..	171	5,555	32·4	346	7,643	22·1
12	25	9	.	.	...	21	4	71			...	25	349	13·9	46	644	14
55	37	3	.	...	...	34	6	139		..		40	1,310	32·7	99	2,255	22·7
45	28	20	..	...		41	9	148	155	20	26-6 82	50	4,987	99·7	98	5,264	53·7
85	236	119	12	2	1	171	48	704	23	1	29-9-82	222	8,098	36·4	482	10,506	21·8
11	20	9	...			10	2	61	.	.		12	881	73·4	49	2,291	46·7
61	83	11	2	...	..	55	14	234	4	..	6-12-82	69	1,619	23·4	165	2,884	17·1
			..	.	...	1		1	...	...	.	1	55	55			
392	529	297	14	2	1	483	104	1,875	182	21		500	22,854	33·7	1,285	31,497	24·4
...	...	...	..	...		.	..	.	.	..			.	..			
		..	...	1	.			3	9	3	29-3-82	1	668	668	2	2	1
30	110	20	3	1		45	18	272				64	8,125	126·9	208	10,771	51·5
101	202	49	13	7		235	85	833	...			327	14,994	46	506	13,648	25·9
25	35	15	1	1	...	51	14	175	134	37	9-3 82	66	3,813	61·6	109	3,403	30·9
108	202	16		6	6	33	33	613	7		7-11-82	78	2,938	37·6	535	9,491	17·7
11	26	4	.	..		8	4	80	...	..	..	12	598	49·8	68	2,329	34·2
52	226	8			...	53	24	375		..		77	5,156	66·9	298	8,867	21·7
64	165	5	.	.	.	29	10	277	75	...	4-10-82	39	2,942	75·4	238	7,015	29·4
391	1,026	117	17	16	6	454	188	2,628	225	40		664	39,234	59·1	1,964	55,526	28·3
..		...		.	1	1	1	4	5	4	21-6-82	3	681	227	1	95	95
98	288	185	18		..	325	98	1,171	97	10	20-3-82	423	28,796	68	748	22,853	30·5
108	208	87	19	1	1	440	131	1,092	27	1	23-6-82	573	25,798	45	519	15,134	29·1
222	426	381	1	...	...	331	42	1,420	104	8	1-9-82	373	19,777	53	1,047	27,715	26·5
5	13	7	4		...	14	7	70	...	..		21	903	43	49	1,272	26
151	158	65	18	..	...	86	35	578	12	6	18-11-81	121	7,813	64·5	457	15,003	32·8
584	1,093	725	60	1	2	1,197	314	4,335	245	29	..	1,514	83,768	55·3	2,821	82,072	29·1
1	1				.	5	1	8	2	1	3-7-82	6	411	68·5	2	39	19·5
...	3				..		...	2	...		...	.	.		2	38	19
6	20	5		.		42	4	83	..		..	46	12,044	261·8	37	3,758	101·5
5	10			.	...	13	3	35	3		3-11-82	16	2,746	171·6	19	1,004	52·8
106	380	127	1	..		203	29	881	27	5	5-7-82	232	14,120	60·9	649	27,200	42
199	903	278	1	7	1	146	70	1,980	255	109	14-7-81	524	44,413	84·8	1,456	50,554	34·7
22	94	22		.	...	35	9	190				44	2,118	48·1	146	4,820	33·7
53	472	69	1			92	47	753	149	34	22-6-82	139	13,536	97·4	614	43,350	70·6
3	42	2	...	...	...	5	2	68	...	..	...	7	93	13·3	61	465	7·6
395	1,924	503	3	7	1	841	168	4,000	436	149		1,014	89,481	88·2	2,988	131,314	44
5	6	2	.	...	...	4	1	22	...			5	261	52·2	17	645	37·9
38	94	163		2		123	68	603	49	1	19-9-82	263	6,093	23·1	340	8,139	23·9
19	100	61	3	.		50	18	288	.		.	68	1,347	19·8	220	3,273	14·8
34	95	57	8	...	...	61	21	344	.			82	3,322	40·5	262	7,752	29·6
3	1	2	...	.	.	14	3	25	202	37	8-7 82	17	2,048	120·1	8	573	71·6
16	34	16		...	.	24	10	130		..	.	34	1,008	29·3	96	2,138	22·2
58	163	47	4	1	..	55	11	378	8		19-10-82	67	2,029	30·3	311	6,634	21·3
53	112	36		...	.	44	16	333	7	.	27-11-82	60	1,795	29·9	273	7,633	28·7
226	625	384	15	3	.	445	148	2,123	356	38	.	596	17,897	30	1,527	36,787	24·1
2,651	7,651	2,967	111	37	11	4,805	1,090	20,773	1,914	404	..	5,948	345,340	58·1	14,830	426,580	28·9
195	630	234	4	7	1	366	109	1,609	...	..	...	483	7,723	15·9	1,126	16,966	15
81	247	94	5	1		221	42	733	90	..	19-10-82	267	4,040	15·1	466	6,800	14·5
163	323	191	...	4	..	390	38	1,116	86	2	6-7-82	432	13,134	30·4	684	13,728	20
388	882	412	14	...	.	729	247	2,839	140	...	19-10-82	976	16,015	18·5	1,863	34,913	18·7
827	2,081	931	23	12	1	1,709	436	6,297	316	2	...	2,158	42,912	19·9	4,139	72,407	17·5
3,478	9,732	3,898	134	49	12	6,514	1,526	27,070	2,230	406	...	8,101	388,252	47·9	18,969	498,987	26·3
2,712	8,574	2,925	74	26	6	5,161	1,147	21,936	1,937	248		6,343	292,979	46·2	15,598	418,729	26·9

PROVINCIAL.

JUDICIAL STATEMENT No. XX.—(CIVIL)—*Statement showing the general result of the trial of miscellaneous cases in the several districts of the Hyderabad Assigned Districts during the year* 1882.

PART II.—MISCELLANEOUS CASES.—(Judicial.)

No.	Districts.	No. of cases remaining from last year.	Instituted in 1882.	Received otherwise.				Total for disposal.	Disposed of.												Total of columns 11 to 21.	Pending at the close of 1882.	Average duration of cases.	
				Received by transfer from other Courts.	Remanded under Section 562, Act X. of 1877.	Reviewed under Section 626.	Reviewed under Sections 99, 103, 108.		Transferred to other Courts.	Without trial.			Without contest.				On reference to arbitration.		With contest.				Contested, columns 18 to 21.	Uncontested, columns 11 to 17.
										Applications rejected or returned.	Dismissed for default or want of prosecution.	Withdrawn with leave.	Compromised.	Decreed on confession.	Decreed *ex parte*.	Dismissed *ex parte*.	For plaintiff.	For defendant.	Judgment for plaintiff in whole or part.	Judgment for defendant.				
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25
1	Akola ...	68	814	1	...	5	19	907	1	64	53	...	18	177	46	6	...	...	330	123	817	89	32·7	21·9
2	Buldana ...	8	216	...	...	...	...	224	...	26	18	1	15	16	25	7	...	...	77	28	213	11	32·9	23·3
3	Basim ...	30	248	...	...	1	3	282	...	29	45	5	9	41	56	9	...	..	39	15	248	34	32·2	51·1
4	Amraoti ...	43	623	...	...	26	112	804	...	71	63	...	16	45	79	52	...	...	258	166	750	54	26·1	12·5
5	Ellichpur ...	48	517	2	1	3	11	582	2	29	77	...	4	157	49	1	...	...	110	64	491	89	65·3	34·5
6	Wun ...	12	279	5	...	...	...	296	5	17	10	...	30	34	57	3	...	...	87	51	289	2	10·2	5·1
	Total ... 1882 ..	209	2,697	8	1	35	145	3,095	8	236	266	6	92	470	312	78	..	...	901	447	2,808	279	33·2	24·7
	Total ... 1881 ...	190	1,775	74	1	8	90	2,138	74	126	165	2	76	363	171	37	...	...	639	276	1,855	209	36·1	20·8
	Small Cause Courts.																							
1	Akola ...	6	33	...	...	13	34	86	...	15	16	..	2	2	20	7	...	...	11	7	80	6	15·2	7·7
2	Khamgaon ...	11	62	...	...	...	...	73	...	7	7	1	5	3	2	...	...	...	30	17	72	1	37·2	17·5
3	Amraoti ...	1	145	...	...	...	...	146	...	4	8	2	3	6	9	1	...	...	60	48	141	5	14·4	16·7
	Total ... 1882 ...	18	240	...	...	13	34	305	...	26	31	3	10	11	31	8	...	...	101	72	293	12	22·2	14
	Total ... 1881 ...	5	212	...	...	5	20	242	...	30	9	3	20	11	10	5	...	...	89	47	224	18	16	11·7
	Grand Total. 1882 ...	227	2,937	8	1	48	179	3,400	8	262	297	9	102	481	343	86	...	...	1,002	519	3,101	291	29·6	21·1
	Grand Total. 1881 ...	195	1,987	74	1	13	110	2,380	74	156	174	5	96	374	181	42	...	...	728	323	2,079	227	26	16·1

PROVINCIAL.

Judicial Statement No. XX-A—(Civil.)—*Statement showing in detail further particulars and distribution of Civil Work in the several Districts of the Hyderabad Assigned Districts during the year* 1882.

Number	Districts	For disposal: Suits remaining from last year	For disposal: Instituted during the year	For disposal: Received otherwise as referred to in Provincial Judicial Statement No. XX, Parts I and II	Total	Disposed of: Total No of suits decided during the year exclusive of cases transferred to other Courts	Percentage of cases: Rejected or returned	Dismissed for default.	Withdrawn with leave	Compromised.	Decreed on confession	Decreed *ex-parte*	Dismissed *ex-parte*	By arbitration	Contested and decided in favour of plaintiff	Contested and decided in favour of defendant	Contested cases in favour of plaintiff to the number contested	Average duration: In contested cases	Average duration: In uncontested cases	Number decided by European Judges	Number decided by Native Judges	Average number decided by officers of each class	Remarks.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24
1	Akola	455	5,790	49	6,294	5,812	·2	2·3	·8	11·4	42·2	16·2	..	·2	23·8	2·9	89	58·8	21·1	559	5,253	726·5	
2	Buldana	47	1,984	29	2,057	1,875		1·5	1·3	20·9	28 3	15 8	·7	·2	25·8	5·5	82·2	38·7	24·4	804	1,071	468	
3	Basim	286	2,493	447	3,226	2,628	·6	12·6	2·5	14 9	39	4·5	·6	·8	17·3	7·2	70·7	59·1	28·3	178	2,450	525 6	
4	Amraoti	372	4,130	80	4,582	4,335	1·2	6·4	·7	13 5	25·2	16·7	1·4	·1	27·6	7 2	79·1	55 3	29 1	4	4,331	722	
5	Ellichpur	373	4,025	232	4,630	4,000		3·2	8	9·9	48·1	12·5	·1	·2	21·1	4 1	83 6	88 2	44	318	3,682	800	
6	Wun	72	2,363	150	2,585	2,123	9	11·1	·9	10·1	29 4	18·1	·7	·9	21	6·9	75·2	30	24·1	625	1,498	303 2	
	Total .. 1882 .	1,605	20,782	987	23,374	20,773	·5	5·5	1	12·8	36 8	14 3	·5	·3	23·1	5·2	81·5	58·1	28·8	2,488	18,285	494	
	Total .. 1881 ..	1,197	16,740	1,582	19,519	16,541	·2	5·1	1·1	12·9	40·1	13·5	·4	·2	21·6	4 9	80·9	57 6	29·3	2,263	14,278	413	
	Small Cause Courts.																						
1	Akola	78	2,333	21	2,432	2,342		3·3	1·5	11·8	37·4	13·6	·4	·4	25·2	6·4	79·7	15 7	14·9	2,342	...	2,342	
2	Khamgaon	81	1,119	2	1,202	1,116	·2	·2	·1	14·5	29	17·1	...	·3	34·8	3·8	91·2	30 4	20	1,116	..	1,116	
3	Amraoti	173	2,798	8	2,979	2,839	·1	2·6	3·1	13·7	31·1	14·5	·5	...	25 7	8·7	74·7	18 5	18 7	2,839	...	2,839	
	Total ... 1882 ..	332	6,250	31	6,613	6,297	·1	2·5	2	13·1	33·1	14·6	·4	·2	27·1	6 9	79·8	19 9	17·5	6,297		6,297	
	Total ... 1881 ..	319	5,392	16	5,727	5,395	1	2·2	2 3	10·9	36	12·8	·1	...	29·5	6·1	82·7	19 9	18·1	4,171	1,224	899	
	Grand Total ... 1882 ..	1,937	27,032	1,018	29,987	27,070	·4	4·8	1·3	12·8	35·9	14·4	·5	·2	24·1	5·6	81	47·9	26·3	8,785	18,285	602	
	Grand Total ... 1881...	1,516	22,132	1,598	25,246	21,936	·2	4·4	1·4	12·4	39·1	13·3	·3	·1	23 6	5·2	81·4	46·2	26·9	6,434	15,502	477	

PROVINCIAL.

Judicial Statement No. XXI.—(Civil.)—*Statement showing the value and costs of Original Suits and Miscellaneous Cases (Judicial) decided in the several districts of the Hyderabad Assigned Districts during the year* 1882.

No.	Districts.	Total number disposed of and value.		Aggregate costs of suits and miscellaneous cases.			Average cost of suits and miscellaneous cases.			Percentage of costs to value of suits.			Average value of suits.	Remarks.
		Suits.	Value	Necessary.	Voluntary	Total.	Necessary.	Voluntary	Total.	Necessary.	Voluntary.	Total.		
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
	Original Suits.		Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	
1	Akola	5,812	8,69,227 11 9	79,023 12 6	35,063 14 10	1,14,087 11 4	13 9 6	6 0 6	19 10 0	8 15 10	3 15 11	12 15 9	151 13 3	29 Suits otherwise received and disposed of.
2	Buldana	1,875	1,81,171 11 10	23,369 13 1	8,036 9 8	31,406 6 9	12 7 5	4 4 7	16 12 0	12 2 1	4 2 7	16 4 8	101 15 3	34
3	Basim	2,628	2,11,940 3 6	25,456 10 1	9,028 1 10	34,484 11 11	9 11 0	3 6 11	13 1 11	11 7 10	4 2 0	15 9 10	84 12 5	66
4	Amraoti	4,335	5,34,270 14 11	57,972 7 6	21,434 8 2	79,406 15 8	13 6 0	4 15 1	18 5 1	01 7 1	3 14 1	14 5 2	130 10 1	78
5	Ellichpur	4,000	4,53,273 1 3	51,754 10 0	15,771 14 9	67,526 8 3	12 15 0	3 15 1	16 14 1	11 4 10	3 7 1	14 11 11	115 1 2	29
6	Wun	2,123	2,00,090 5 5	21,372 15 0	2,631 8 2	24,004 7 2	10 1 1	1 3 9	11 4 10	10 3 6	1 4 3	11 7 9	98 5 2	41
	Total ...	20,773	24,49,974 0 8	2,58,950 4 2	91,566 9 5	3,50,916 13 7	12 7 5	4 6 10	16 14 3	10 9 1	3 12 1	14 5 2	122 1 5	277
	Miscellaneous Cases.													
	Akola	817	...	3,366 13 3	988 0 3	4,354 13 6	4 1 11	1 3 4	5 5 3	...	...	...	...	
1	Buldana	213	...	539 12 0	288 3 3	827 15 3	2 8 6	1 5 8	3 14 2	...	...	...	...	
2	Basim	248	...	447 0 0	115 11 0	562 11 0	1 15 4	0 8 1	2 7 5	...	...	...	...	
3	Amraoti	750	.	2,293 2 0	604 14 6	2,898 0 6	3 0 11	0 12 10	3 13 9	...	...	...	...	
4	Ellichpur	491	.	1,119 14 0	333 7 6	1,453 5 6	2 4 6	0 10 10	2 15 4	..	..	...	...	
5	Wun	289	...	389 0 0	23 0 0	412 0 0	1 5 10	0 1 4	1 7 2	...	...	...	..	
6	Total ..	2,808	.	8,155 9 3	2,353 4 6	10,508 13 9	2 14 6	0 13 5	3 11 11	...	...	..	..	
	Small Cause Courts. Orginal Suits.													
	Akola	2,342	1,60,675 2 10	20,751 9 0	1,147 8 0	21,899 1 0	8 13 9	0 7 10	9 5 7	12 13 5	0 11 5	13 8 10	69 2 8	19
1	Khamgaon	1,116	97,043 10 3	11,643 8 6	622 0 0	12,265 8 6	10 7 3	0 8 11	11 0 2	12 0 0	0 10 3	12 10 3	87 1 9	.
2	Amraoti	2,839	2,30,629 15 4	29,580 12 0	1,292 3 0	30,872 15 0	10 6 9	0 7 3	10 14 0	12 13 2	0 9 0	13 6 2	81 3 9	...
3	Total ...	6,297	4,88,348 12 5	61,975 13 6	3,061 11 0	65,037 8 6	9 13 6	0 7 9	10 5 3	12 11 1	0 10 0	13 5 1	77 12 7	19
	Miscellaneous Cases.													
	Akola	80	...	111 4 0	8 0 0	119 4 0	1 6 3	0 1 7	1 7 10	...	...	...	...	
1	Khamgaon	72	...	407 12 6	26 8 0	434 4 6	5 10 7	0 5 11	6 0 6	...	...	..	...	
2	Amraoti	141	...	646 9 1	46 8 0	693 1 1	4 9 4	0 5 3	4 14 7	...	...	.	...	
3	Total ...	293	...	1,165 9 7	81 0 0	1,246 9 7	3 15 8	0 4 5	4 4 1	...		.	...	
	Grand Total... 1882 ...	30,171	29,38,322 13 1	3,30,247 4 6	97,462 8 11	4,27,709 13 5	10 15 2	3 3 8	14 2 10	11 3 10	3 5 0	14 8 10	111 8 6	296
	Grand Total... 1881 ...	24,016	22,21,189 13 1	2,49,889 9 5	66,859 2 7	3,16,748 12 0	10 6 6	2 12 6	13 3 0	10 13 11	2 14 2	13 12 1	103 4 0	

Supplemental Statement showing the value and costs of Original Suits decided in the Hyderabad Assigned Districts during the year 1882.

Value of Suits.	No. of suits disposed of.	Aggregate value of suits.	Aggregate cost of suits	Average value of suits.	Average cost of suits	Percentage of costs to value.	Remarks.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
		Rs. a. p	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs a. p	Rs a. p	
Not exceeding Rupees 10 ..	1,847	13,139 10 0	5,563 12 9	7 1 9	3 0 2	42 5 5	
Do. „ 50 ..	12,428	3,61,562 5 6	75,494 8 5	29 1 6	6 1 2	20 14 1	
Do. „ 100 ...	5,715	4,02,942 14 2	62,937 0 5	7 0 10	11 0 2	15 9 11	
Do. „ 500 ...	5,880	11,90,219 14 4	1,52,361 5 2	202 6 8	25 14 7	12 12 10	
Do. „ 1,000 ...	513	3,29,139 10 11	43,200 4 9	641 9 7	84 3 5	13 2 0	
Do. „ 5,000 ..	248	4,35,475 2 3	52,285 8 6	1,755 1 5 2	210 13 3	12 0 1	
Do. „ 10,000 ...	6	46,390 6 8	4,236 7 0	7,731 11 9	706 1 2	9 2 1	
Exceeding „ 10,000 ...	*4	1,59,452 13 3	10,902 5 4	39,863 3 4	2,725 9 4	6 13 5	* 296 suits re-admitted and disposed of have been deducted in calculating the average value of suits in column 5.
Total ..	26,641	29,38,322 13 1	4,06,981 4 4	111 8 6	15 4 5	14 8 10	
Suits of which value cannot be fixed ...	429	...	8,973 1 9	...	20 14 8	...	
Grand Total .. 1882 ..	27,070	29,38,322 13 1	4,15,954 6 1	111 8 6	15 5 10	14 8 10	
Grand Total .. 1881 .	21,936	22,21,189 13 1	3,10,773 10 11	103 4 0	14 2 8	13 12 1	

NOTE —Miscellaneous cases (Judicial) have been omitted from this statement.

PROVINCIAL.

JUDICIAL STATEMENT No. XXII.—(CIVIL.)—*Statement showing the result of proceedings on applications for the execution of Decrees in the several Districts of the Hyderabad Assigned Districts during the year 1882.*

No.	Districts.	Number of applications dealt with. Pending at the end of last year. Before Civil Courts.	Pending at the end of last year. Transferred to Collector under Section 320.	Filed. The decrees being those of the Court where execution is taken out.	Filed. The decrees being those of other Courts transferred for execution	Restored to the file for any cause.	Total.	Applications disposed of. Transferred to another Court under Section 223.	Wholly or partly satisfied.	Wholly informed.	Total.	Pending at the end of the year. Before Civil Court.	Pending at the end of the year. Transferred to Collector under Section 320.	Details of entries in column 10. Satisfaction obtained in full.	Satisfaction obtained in part.	Satisfaction obtained through the Court.	Adjusted under Section 258.	Satisfaction obtained with the issue of process.	Satisfaction obtained without the issue of process.	Amount realized. With the issue of process.	Amount realized. Without the issue of process.	Number of applications. On which judgment-debtor was imprisoned.	On which he was arrested under Section 338, but released without imprisonment.	On which moveable property. Was sold.	On which moveable property. Was attached, but subsequently released under Section 275.	On which immoveable property. Was sold.	On which immoveable property. Was dealt with under Sections 305, 322 or 326.	On which immoveable property. Was attached, but subsequently released under Section 275.	On which specific performance was enforced.	Of moveable, Section 250.	Of immoveable, Sections 283 and 284.	On which possession was given	On which partition was effected, Section 265.	On which execution was effected otherwise than in the preceding columns.	Remarks. Note the number of entries in columns 5 and 8, where the decrees have been transferred from or to another district or province.
1	2	3		4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12		13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	32	33
																				Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.													
1	Akola	980	380	4,108	387	7	5,862	...	2,444	1,710	4,154	1,149	559	1,472	972	1,534	910	2,362	82	90,902 8 5	2,062 15 5	34	41	457	350	472	141	498	...	4	125	7	12	24 in column 5.
2	Buldana	203	60	1,460	64	...	1,787	4	722	836	1,562	172	53	448	274	482	240	719	3	33,684 1 4	495 5 6	4	8	89	31	129	23	32	4	1	67	2	289	53 do.
3	Basim	262	19	1,040	21	1	1,333	...	599	547	1,146	188	18	425	174	328	271	499	100	14,650 5 6	33,094 7 3	13	8	108	163	33	11	135	.	.	16	8	...	21 do.
4	Amraoti	531	116	3,025	293	2	3,957	...	1,783	1,459	3,242	593	122	1,100	683	1,294	489	1,722	61	67,829 1 7	14,999 1 9	67	12	312	309	176	7	332	58	3	150	9	58	293 do.
5	Ellichpur	955	76	3,047	67	...	4,145	1	1,852	1,287	3,140	872	133	1,201	651	961	891	1,830	23	40,914 3 2	2,977 5 6	41	17	236	134	113	96	246		1	37	..		61 do.
6	Wun	294	39	1,206	161	1	1,701	..	709	670	1,379	292	30	449	260	500	209	702	7	33,095 6 8	288 8 6	8	7	217	84	82	16	48	..	.	4			79 do.
	Total 1882 ...	3,215	690	13,895	993	11	18,804	5	8,109	6,509	14,623	3,266	915	5,095	3,014	5,099	3,010	7,834	275	2,81,075 10 8	53,917 11 11	167	93	1,519	1,071	1005	294	1,293	62	9	399	26	440	
	Total 1881 ...	3,430	55	10,910	813	98	15,306	31	6,305	5,065	11,431	3,215	690	3,744	2,561	4,292	2,013	6,205	100	1,84,842 12 2	6,071 9 8	159	75	1,408	877	873	125	643	22	26	258	38	69	
	Small Cause Courts.																																	
1	Akola	139	...	1,505	10	...	1,654	...	679	852	1,531	123	..	352	327	311	368	674	5	7,109 7 2	123 7 1	5	...	153	45	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
2	Khamgaon	163	...	761	2	1	927	...	398	453	850	77	.	229	169	182	216	382	16	4,479 11 6	466 9 0	3	12	117	176	...	..	...	..	..	..	..	...	2 In column 5.
3	Amraoti	219	.	1,822	2	...	2,043	...	728	1,166	1,894	149	..	311	415	417	309	703	23	12,184 13 11	1,537 4 4	2	29	253	105	...	...	.	...	.	..	.	..	1 do.
	Total 1882 .	521	...	4,088	14	1	4,624	..	1,803	2,472	4,275	349	.	892	911	910	893	1,759	44	23,774 0 7	2,127 4 5	10	41	523	326			..	.	.		...	...	
	Total 1881 ..	395	...	3,705	22	.	4,122	..	1,507	2,088	3,595	527		700	807	918	583	1,479	28	17,806 12 6	701 10 0	12	43	646	388		.	.	...	.		..	.	
	Grand Total ... 1882 ..	3,736	690	17,963	1,007	12	23,428	5	9,912	8,981	18,898	3,615	915	5,987	3,925	6,009	3,903	8,593	319	3,06,849 11 3	56,045 0 4	177	134	2,042	1,397	1005	294	1,293	62	9	399	26	440	
	Grand Total ... 1881 .	3,825	55	14,615	835	98	19,428	31	7,812	7,153	14,996	3,742	690	4,444	2,368	5,210	2,602	7,684	128	2,02,649 8 8	6,773 3 8	171	118	2,054	1,265	873	125	643	22	26	258	38	69	

* Owing to some misapprehension of para. 17 of Circular No. 11-A of 1880, the Judge of the Amraoti Small Cause Court has shown 6 applications less than that shown as pending at the end of the year 1881, hence the difference of 6 applications in column 3 as compared with the figure for 1881.

PROVINCIAL.

JUDICIAL STATEMENT No. XXIII.—(CIVIL,)—*Statement showing litigation regarding Survey numbers in the Hyderabad Assigned Districts during the year* 1882.

No.	Districts	Suits relating to Survey Nos. included in column 20, Judicial Statement No. VII					Suits to declare or establish right in Survey Nos. included in column 23, Judicial Statement No. VII.
		Suits to recover possession under Sections 8 and 9 of the Specific Relief Act.	Other suits between parties claiming as proprietors.	Other suits between the proprietors of Survey Nos and sub tenants	Suits not included in the foregoing columns.	Total number of suits	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
1	Akola	18	82	104	71	275	24
2	Buldana ...	7	43	11	75	136	89
3	Basim ...	2	32	29	10	73	12
4	Amraoti ...	10	138	65	112	325	106
5	Ellichpur .	1	29	30	60	120	6
6	Wun ...	2	55	13	...	70	1
	Total ... 1882...	40	379	252	328	999	238
	Total ... 1881...	52	185	126	324	687	232

N. B.—The word proprietor in this Statement to be understood as including co-occupants and co-sharers as well as khatedars.

JUDICIAL STATEMENT No. XXIV.—(CIVIL.)—*Statement showing the disposal of land or other immoveable property under Sections* 321 *and* 323 *of the Code of Civil Procedure in the Hyderabad Assigned Districts for the year* 1882.

No.	Districts	Number of instances in which land paying revenue to Government was attached	Number of instances in which immoveable property was dealt with under Sec 321 of the Code of Civil Procedure.	Number of instances in which the Deputy Commissioner as Collector took steps under Section 323 of the Code of Civil Procedure					Number of instances in which the sales of land recommended		Number of instances in which the sales actually took place.		Period for which the temporary alienation was made.				
				A	B	C	D	E	Self-acquired.	Ancestral	Self-acquired.	Ancestral	For 1 year and under	For 3 years and under.	For 5 years and under	For 10 years and under.	Above 10 and not exceeding 20 years
1	2	3	4	5					6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
1	Akola ...	660	194	.. .	...	1	114	....	28	6	18	21	8	33	32	30	11
2	Buldana .	78	29	....	...	...	8	....	10	23	9	14	....	2	4	1	1
3	Basim ...	53	24	3	..	..	....	....	2	25	....	...	....	....	....	1	2
4	Amraoti	288	93	....	...	26	19	48	28	29	19	7	1	2	7	4	5
5	Ellichpur	245	212	....	...	8	95	1	9	9	2	6	4	30	11	27	23
6	Wun	43	40	7	...	11	....	....	14	1	10	1	....	2	2	3	....
	Total. 1882	1,367	592	10	...	46	236	49	91	93	58	49	13	69	56	66	42
	Total. 1881	791	256	3	...	31	221	31	55	33	24	12	14	53	57	67	33

PROVINCIAL.

JUDICIAL STATEMENT No. XXV.—(Civil.)—*Statement showing the number of Civil Debtors imprisoned and released in the several Districts of the Hyderabad Assigned Districts during the year* 1882.

No.	Districts.	Remaind from last year.	Imprisoned during the year.	Total.	Released during the year.	Remaining at the close of the year.	Remarks.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
1	Akola	6	30	36	31	5	
2	Buldana	..	4	4	4	...	
3	Basim	2	13	15	14	1	
4	Amraoti	4	67	71	69	2	
5	Ellichpur	2	53	55	51	4	
6	Wun	...	8	8	7	1	
	Total ...	14	175	189	176		
	Small Cause Courts.						
1	Akola	3	4	7	7	...	
2	Khamgaon	...	3	3	3	...	
3	Amraoti	...	2	2	1	1	
	Total ...	3	9	12	11	1	
	Grand Total ... 1882 ...	17	184	201	187	14	
	Grand Total ... 1881 ...	28	191	219	202	17	

PROVINCIAL.

JUDICIAL STATEMENT No. XXVI.—(CIVIL).—*Statement showing the amounts realized under different heads of the Court Fees Act in the several Districts of the Hyderabad Assigned Districts during the year 1882.*

No.	Districts.	Amount realized on account of Court Fees. In labels. Civil.	Criminal.	Revenue.	Total.	Amount realized on account of Process Fees, In labels. Civil	Criminal.	Revenue.	Total.	Grand total of columns 6 and 10.	Total cost of Process Service Establishment.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
		Rs a.	Rs a	Rs a	Rs a	Rs a	Rs. a.	Rs. a	Rs a.	Rs. a.	Rs. a. p.
1	Akola	75,900 14	2,797 14	3,582 11	82,281 7	20,227 10	3,559 5	184 12	23,971 11	1,06,253 2	13,222 13 1
2	Buldana	21,227 5	1,593 3	2,741 7	25,561 15	7,794 7	1,560 0	247 12	9,602 3	35,164 2	6,127 9 1
3	Basim	19,010 9	794 11	1,002 3	20,807 7	7,494 8	687 14	244 0	8,426 6	29,233 13	4,364 12 5
4	Amraoti ..	47,335 15	3,516 2	2,754 1	53,606 2	16,816 2	4,065 14	63 11	20,945 11	74,551 13	10,885 9 11
5	Ellichpur	46,787 5	1,482 14	2,387 3	50,657 6	14,147 5	1,627 14	342 14	16,118 1	66,775 7	7,758 12 2
6	Wun	19,529 14	749 10	1,210 14	21,490 6	5,956 11	851 0	116 14	6,924 9	28,414 15	4,416 12 6
	Total ...	2,29,791 14	10,934 6	13,678 7	2,54,404 11	72,436 11	12,351 15	1,199 15	85,988 9	3,40,393 4	46,776 5 2
	Small Cause Courts.										
1	Akola	13,120 10	...	...	13,120 10	8,833 10	...	...	8,833 10	21,954 4	2,359 4 11
2	Khamgaon	8,901 6	...	...	8,901 6	3,382 13	...	...	3,382 13	12,284 3	2,427 14 1
3	Amraoti	21,710 9	...	...	21,710 9	7,294 13	...	...	7,294 13	29,005 6	3,775 14 5
	Total ...	43,732 9	..	...	43,732 9	19,511 4	...	...	19,511 4	63,243 13	8,563 1 5
1	Judicial Commissioner's Court..	10,079 1	56 11	...	10,135 12	210 0	...	...	210 0	10,345 12	...
	Total... 1882 ...	2,83,603 8	10,991 1	13,678 7	3,08,273 0	92,157 15	12,351 15	1,199 15	1,05,709 13	4,13,982 13	55,339 6 7
	Total... 1881 ...	2,14,902 15	8,782 13	9,867 2	2,33,552 14	69,612 5	9,428 12	735 14	79,776 15	3,13,329 13	54,116 5 3

PROVINCIAL.

Judicial Statement No. XXVII.—(Civil).—*Statement of Processes issued and the amount realized and expended in the several Districts of the Hyderabad Assigned Districts in the year* 1882.

No.	Districts.	No. of processes issued.	Amount realized on account of processes.			Total amount expended.			Balance.			Remarks.
1	2	3	4			5			6			7
			Rs.	a.	p.	Rs.	a.	p.	R.	a.	p.	
1	Akola	55,688	23,971	11	0	13,222	13	1	10,748	13	11	
2	Buldana	21,503	9,602	3	0	6,127	9	1	3,474	9	11	
3	Basim	16,615	8,426	6	0	4,364	12	5	4,061	9	7	
4	Amraoti	45,552	20,945	11	0	10,885	9	11	10,060	1	1	
5	Ellichpur	32,259	16,118	1	0	7,758	12	2	8,359	4	10	
6	Wun	11,931	6,924	9	0	4,416	12	6	2,507	12	6	
	Total ...	183,548	85,988	9	0	46,776	5	2	39,212	3	10	
	Small Cause Courts.											
1	Akola	6,042	8,833	10	0	2,359	4	11	6,474	5	1	
2	Khamgaon	7,538	3,382	13	0	2,427	14	1	954	14	11	
3	Amraoti	15,968	7,294	13	0	3,775	14	5	3,518	14	7	
	Total ...	29,548	19,511	4	0	8,563	1	5	10,948	2	7	
1	Judicial Commissioner's Court	280	210	0	0	...			210	0	0	
	Total ... 1882 ...	213,376	1,05,709	13	0	55,339	6	7	50,370	6	5	
	Total ... 1881 ...	164,172	79,776	15	0	54,116	5	3	25,660	9	9	

Judicial Statement No. XXVIII.—(Civil.)—*Statement showing the average detention of Witnesses in the several Districts of the Hyderabad Assigned Districts during the year* 1882.

No.	Districts.	No. of Witnesses who attended.	Period of detention. For 1 day.	For 2 days.	For 3 days.	For 4 days.	For 5 days.	For 6 days.	For 7 days.	For 8 days.	For 9 days.	For 10 day.	Up to one month.	Total.	Number of witnesses examined.	No. of witnesses allowed to go unexamined.	Remarks.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18
1	Akola	9,537	7,278	1,775	382	94	6	2	...	...	...	...	...	9,537	4,607	4,930	
2	Buldana	3,894	3,625	225	23	19	2	...	...	...	...	...	...	3,894	1,961	1,933	
3	Basim	4,750	4,398	293	39	10	5	5	...	...	...	...	...	4,750	2,700	2,050	
4	Amraoti	8,764	7,191	1,331	173	34	35	...	...	...	...	...	...	8,764	5,181	3,583	
5	Ellichpur	7,032	6,532	449	45	6	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	7,032	3,068	3,964	
6	Wun	1,750	1,689	42	13	...	4	2	...	...	...	...	...	1,750	1,587	163	
	Total ...	35,727	30,713	4,115	675	163	52	9	...	...	...	...	...	35,727	19,104	16,623	
	Small Cause Courts.																
1	Akola	5,576	5,567	9	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	5,576	1,495	4,081	
2	Khamgaon ...	2,890	2,838	52	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	2,890	1,771	11,19	
3	Amraoti	7,152	6,958	189	5	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	7,152	3,074	4,078	
	Total ...	15,618	15,362	250	5	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	15,618	6,340	9,278	
	Grand Total. 1882.	51,345	46,076	4,365	680	163	52	9	...	...	...	...	...	51,345	25,444	25,901	
	Grand Total. 1881.	36,361	33,573	2,195	461	75	57	...	...	...	...	...	...	36,361	18,311	18,050	

PROVINCIAL

JUDICIAL STATEMENT No. XXIX.—(CIVIL.)—*Statement showing the business of the Civil Appellate Court in the Hyderabad Assigned Districts during the year 1882.*

Districts	Appeals remaining from last year	Instituted in 1882.	Otherwise received: Received by transfer.	Otherwise received: Reviews under Section 626, Act X of 1877	Otherwise received: Remands under Section 562.	Otherwise received: Revivals under Sections 558, 560	Total for disposal	Transferred to courts in other districts.	Appeals summarily rejected under Section 551	Dismissed for default Sections 556, 557, or otherwise not prosecuted	Heard *ex parte*: Confirmed	Heard *ex parte*: Modified.	Heard *ex parte*: Reversed	Heard *ex parte*: Remanded under Section 562	Contested: Confirmed	Contested: Modified	Contested: Reversed	Contested: Remanded under Section 562	Total disposed of, columns 10 to 19, omitting transfers	Pending at the end of 1882.	Of those pending more than 3 months	Average duration of: Appeals shown in column 10.	Average duration of: Appeals shown in column 20	Objections under Section 561, Act X. of 1877.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25
Appeals from original Decrees.																								
Akola	1	65	...	...	...	3	69	.	29	3	..	...	...	...	16	4	11	2	65	4	...	17·5	31 1	...
Buldana ..	6	33	.	.	.	1	40	..	3	2	.	..			15	5	6	3	34	6	1	8·3	47	...
Basim ...	2	60	...		..	1	63	...	15	7	3	.	1	3	11	7	7	8	62	1	...	14·2	26·2	...
Amraoti	27	64	.	.	..	1	92	...	...	3	7	2	...	1	50	9	14	2	88	4	...		67·5	3
Ellichpur . .	5	128	.		..	3	136	...	8	8	7	2	1	...	51	19	23	11	130	6	2	16·1	38·8	...
Wun .. .	1	42		..		...	43	...	...	...	1	.	..	...	22	6	9	4	42	1	...	...	16·3	..
Total 1882	42	392	.		..	9	443	...	55	23	18	4	2	4	165	50	70	30	421	22	3	14 2	37 8	3
Total 1881 ..	63	354		3	1	3	424	...	13	13	41	5	8	8	152	24	77	41	382	42	9	15·9	37·8	3
*Miscellaneous Appeals**																								
Akola .	...	5	..	...	..	.	5	...	1	1	...	...	1	...		1	...	...	4	1	...	20	26·2	..
Buldana ...	..	2	..	..	..	...	2	...	2	...	...	..	.	...	..	...	...	..	2	..	...	5	5	...
Basim	...	3	...	..		...	3	.	...	1		...	.	...	1	.	...	...	2	1	...	...	11	...
Amraoti	3	6	.	...	...	...	9	.	4	...	...	..	1	...	4	..	...	...	9	..	...	12·2	80 7	...
Ellichpur		2	...		.	...	2	...	..	...	.	..	1	..	1	.	..	...	2	..	..	...	9 5	...
Wun		5	..	...	..	...	5	..	...	...	..	..		.	2	1	2	.	5	..	.	...	8·8	...
Total .. 1882 .	3	23	...	..	.	.	26	..	7	2		..	3	..	8	2	2	.	24	2	..	1 2 4	23·5	..
Total .. 1881	.	11	...	...	...		11	.	3	.	1	.	2	...	.	..	2	..	8	3	2	133·6	48·3	..
Judl. Comr's. Court.																								
Appeals from original Decrees. .	59	278	...	1	1	4	343	..	171	15	2	1	2	1	69	17	24	6	308	35	11	44	76	2
Appeals from appellate decrees. .	24	41	..	...	1	...	66	1	29	2	..	..	..	1	18	.	7	1	58	7	...	41	87	..
Miscellaneous appeal *	4	48	.	...	1	...	53	..	30	2	..	..	3	...	3	1	4	...	43	10	1	56	80	...
Total ... 1882	87	367	...	1	3	4	462	1	230	19	2	1	5	2	90	18	35	7	409	52	12	47	78	2
Total ... 1881 ..	37	274	...	.	2	1	314	...	85	10	...	...	...	...	64	14	45	9	227	87	25	40·6	81·6	...
Grand Total. 1882	132	782	...	1	3	13	931	1	292	44	20	5	10	6	263	70	107	37	854	76	15	23·4	40·7	5
Grand Total. 1881 ..	100	639		3	3	4	749	...	101	23	42	5	10	8	216	38	124	50	617	132	36	43·3	51·4	3

* Includes appeals from orders under Section 588, Act X. of 1877, appeals in miscellaneous judicial cases, and those under Government Notification No. 112-J, dated 19th July 1878, Foreign Department.

PROVINCIAL.

JUDICIAL STATEMENT No. XXX.—(CIVIL.)—*Statement showing the value and costs of appeals decided by the Appellate Courts in the Hyderabad Assigned Districts during the year* 1882.

Districts.	Total No. disposed of		Aggregate costs of appeals			Average costs of appeals			Percentage of costs to value.			Average value of appeals.	Remarks.
	Appeals	Value.	Necessary	Voluntary	Total	Necessary.	Voluntary	Total	Necessary	Voluntary	Total		
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
		Rs a p.	Rs a p.	Rs a p	Rs. a p	Rs. a p	Rs. a p	Rs a p	Rs a. p	Ra a p	Rs a p	Rs a p.	
Appeals from original decrees.													
Akola .. .	65	6,426 14 11	810 8 0	277 5 6	1,087 13 6	12 7 6	4 4 3	16 11 9	1 1 15 9	4 0 7	16 0 4	108 14 10	2 appeals readmitted.
Buldana	34	5,608 2 7	682 0 0	249 0 11	931 0 11	20 0 11	7 5 2	27 6 11	1 1 15 6	4 5 11	16 4 5	172 10 8	1 do. do.
Basim ...	62	3,887 13 8	629 3 0	163 13 0	793 0 0	10 2 4	2 10 3	12 12 7	14 12 0	3 9 0	18 5 0	65 14 4	1 do. do.
Amraoti . ..	83	13 951 8 11	1,559 14 0	69 10 6	2,259 8 6	17 11 7	7 15 2	25 10 9	10 9 6	4 9 9	15 3 3	170 2 3	1 do. do.
Ellichpur ..	150	16,067 8 2	1,599 1 0	750 11 0	2,349 12 0	12 4 9	5 12 4	18 1 1	8 11 3	4 2 3	12 13 6	142 7 3	2 do. do.
Wun	42	2,829 15 2	364 12 0	12 3 4	376 15 4	8 10 11	0 4 8	8 15 7	12 14 3	0 6 1	13 5 2	67 6 1	
Total { 1882 .	421	49,461 15 5	5,645 6 0	2,152 12 3	7,798 2 3	13 6 6	5 1 10	18 8 4	11 6 7	4 5 8	15 12 3	119 7 7	7 do do.
Total { 1881	382	51,164 9 7	6,012 6 6	2,792 13 0	8,805 3 6	15 15 2	7 6 6	23 5 8	11 2 7	5 2 9	16 5 4	142 14 8	5 do do.
Miscellaneous Appeals.*													
Akola ..	4	..	33 15 0	1 8 0	35 7 0	8 7 9	0 6 0	8 13 9	...	.	..	...	
Buldana	2	...	3 4 0	0 8 0	3 12 0	1 10 0	0 4 0	1 14 0	..	...	...	...	
Basim	3	...	2 14 0		2 14 0	0 15 4	0 0 0	0 15 4		..	...	...	
Amraoti	9	..	21 11 0	43 0 0	64 11 0	2 6 7	4 12 5	7 3 0	.	...	...	...	
Ellichpur	2	...	2 8 0		2 8 0	1 4 0		1 4 0	...	...	...	...	
Wun ...	5	..	9 14 0	1 0 0	10 14 0	1 15 7	0 3 2	2 2 9	..	..	..		
Total . { 1882	25	..	74 2 0	46 0 0	120 2 0	2 15 5	1 13 5	4 12 10	...	..		...	
Total . { 1881 .	8		15 2 0	9 7 6	24 9 6	1 14 3	1 2 11	3 1 2	...	..	...	...	
Judicial Commissioner's Court.													
Appeals from original decrees	308	2,51,466 8 9	12,887 1 6	5,768 11 0	18,655 12 6	41 13 5	18 11 8	60 9 1	5 2 0	2 4 8	7 6 8	904 8 10	5 appeals readmitted.
Appeals from appellate decrees	58	10,272 3 5	1,155 6 0	307 11 6	1,463 1 6	19 14 7	5 5 0	25 3 7	11 3 11	3 0 0	14 3 11	193 13 0	1 do. do.
Miscellaneous appeals*	43		272 0 0	827 0 0	1,099 0 0	6 5 2	19 3 9	25 8 11	...	...		...	
Total .. { 1882	409	2,61,738 12 2	14,314 7 6	6,903 6 6	21,217 14 0	35 0 0	16 14 0	51 14 0	5 5 10	2 5 2	7 11 0	790 12 0	6 do. do.
Total .. { 1881	227	62,290 4 11	5,293 9 0	2,425 10 11	7,719 3 11	23 5 1	10 11 0	34 0 1	7 8 5	3 9 7	11 12 0	366 6 10	3 do. do.
Grand Total. . { 1882..	855	3,11,200 11 7	20,033 15 6	9,102 2 9	29,136 2 3	23 6 11	10 10 4	34 1 3	6 1 7	2 8 5	8 10 0	431 9 11	
Grand Total. . { 1881 .	617	1,13,454 14 6	11,321 1 6	5,227 15 5	16,549 0 11	18 5 7	8 7 6	26 13 1	9 2 8	4 4 11	13 7 7	214 14 0	

*Includes appeals from orders under Section 588, Act X. of 1877, appeals in miscellaneous cases, and those under Government Notification No. 112-J, dated 19th July 1878

Note.– In calculating the average value of appeals, the number of appeals (53) of which value cannot be fixed and those (13) re-admitted and disposed of have been excluded.

Supplemental Statement showing the value and costs of Appeals decided in the Hyderabad Assigned Districts during the year 1882.

Value of appeals.	Number of appeals disposed of.	Aggregate value of appeals.	Aggregate cost of appeals.	Average value of appeals.	Average cost of appeals.	Percentage of costs to value.	Remarks.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
		Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	
Not exceeding Rupees 10	12	95 6 4	76 8 4	7 15 2	6 6 0	80 0 0	
Do. „ 50	189	6,472 4 11	1,521 4 6	8 3 11	8 0 9	23 8 0	
Do. „ 100	185	14,026 11 2	2,295 10 8	75 13 1	12 6 7	16 5 2	
Do. „ 500	249	56,325 13 5	7,053 9 0	223 3 4	28 5 3	12 8 5	
Do. „ 1,000	54	39,013 11 5	4,279 5 9	72[illegible] 7 7	79 3 11	10 15 6	
Exceeding „ 1,000	45	1,95,266 12 4	11,617 13 0	4,3[illegible] 9 42	258 2 9	5 15 2	
Total ..	* 734	3,11,200 11 7	26,844 3 3	42[illegible] 9 11	36 9 1	8 10 0	* From these 13 appeals readmitted and disposed of have been omitted in calculating the average value of appeals.
Appeals of which value cannot be fixed	53	..	1,072 13 0	.	20 3 10	..	
Grand Total.. 1882 ...	787	3,11,200 11 7	27,917 0 3	46 9 11	35 7 7	8 10 0	
Grand Total.. 1881 ...	585	1,13,454 14 6	16,409 15 5	21[illegible] 14 0	28 0 10	13 7 7	

JUDICIAL COMMISSIONER'S OFFICE,
The 14th March 1883.

H. SZCZEPANSKI,
Offg. Judicial Commissioner,
Hyderabad Assigned Districts.

Extract from the Proceedings of the Resident at Hyderabad, in the Judicial Department, No. 311, *dated Hyderabad Residency, the 7th June* 1883.

READ—

The Annual Report on Criminal and Civil Justice in the Hyderabad Assigned Districts for the year 1882.

RESOLUTION.

THE criminal report of the year is satisfactory as exhibiting a decrease both in the total amount of crime and in the number of heinous cases. The general security of the population may be favourably compared with that in any other part of India.

2. A great diminution in the number of cases struck off the Police Register as never having occurred at all has been effected during the two past years, and the general percentage of 7·4 is probably not in excess of the actual facts. But the variation between the different districts in this respect still calls for the attention of the Judicial Commissioner. If, as Colonel Menzies says, this variation is due to any difference in *procedure*, it must admit of very easy correction. The registration of crime by the Police and the process to be followed in order to obtain the elision of an offence from their register will shortly be rendered uniform. But the differences are perhaps more probably to be traced to varying views as to the amount of probability required to justify the case being struck off.

3. A curious increase in the number of cases in which bail or recognizance has been forfeited may be noted, and it is hoped that this is not to be ascribed to any growing habit of demanding security of this description in insufficient amounts.

4. The present temper of the criminal classes does not appear to call for any special activity in working Chapter VIII. of Act X. of 1882, and the number of men imprisoned in default of finding the security demanded of them is large in proportion to the small number of cases.

5. The percentage of convictions on trials corresponds with that obtained on the average elsewhere, except in Buldana, where it is low. This appears, however, to be due to an error of procedure by one magistrate in petty cases, and not to any difference in essential points.

6. Judging from the figures given in para. 15 of Major Szczepanski's report, the Resident notes that our courts seem to be resorted to very freely as safety-valves to temper in petty quarrels. He is not in favour of treating this class of cases with severity to either side. If a complainant wishes to drop his case, and the matter is not pressed by the other side, it is seldom worth while to keep up irritation between the parties by fining the complainant. He also agrees in the view that such petty cases are most conveniently disposed of by the nearest courts, whether these be at the tahsil or not.

7. If the percentage of convictions is low in trials held by the Deputy Commissioners under Section 36 of the Criminal Procedure Code, it seems likely that the defect is to be found rather in the working of the police than in the magistrate's court. And attention should be given in this direction where the figures show a result of that sort.

8. The extravagant detention of some witnesses in the Amraoti District merits some unfavourable notice, and the Judicial Commissioner should ascertain which of the magistrates is especially responsible for this delay.

9 The Resident cannot at all accept the view that the idiosyncracies of different magistrates are to be allowed to be the criterion on which the use made of the Whipping Act is to be judged. And he considers the almost entire avoidance of this punishment in Buldana, and, in a less degree, at Amraoti, a mistake which is not warranted by general experience, and which the Deputy Commissioner should exert the influence of his direction and advice to put a stop to. The

general percentage of the province, however, shows a much better result than at Buldana.

10. The alteration in the procedure of the courts in Ellichpur and Basim with regard to the imposition of fines unrealizable from the persons punished, is noted with approval.

11. The hearing of appeals undoubtedly affords a good opportunity for a close examination of the work of subordinate courts, and their summary rejection should on this account be limited to very clear cases. But a considerable number of these generally occur The percentages of modifications and reversals (58·10), in the subordinate district courts show that it would not be wise to give up opportunities for examining their work.

12. The work of inspection generally was well done. The number of cases in which errors were discovered and pointed out was large in Ellichpur and Wun. Colonel FitzGerald at Akola also appears to have transferred an unusually large share of this duty to his assistants.

13. The Special Magistrates have disposed of numerous cases, especially in Amraoti and Ellichpur, and the thanks of Government are due for the assistance thus rendered.

Civil Justice.

A heavy increase of not less than 22 per cent. is noted in the civil litigation of the year, and it shows itself principally in suits upon written contracts, though suits on accounts have also risen from 3,246 to 3,936. In Khamgaon and Amraoti, the Small Cause Courts' business has remained much as it was in 1881. But in that at Akola there has been a marked increase. That the substitution of suits on bonds in lieu of suits on balances of accounts tells, as Colonel Menzies remarks, strongly in favour of the creditor cannot be doubted; and when the execution of a bond is disputed, it may often become advisable, in the interests of the debtor, to look back into the account. For the existence of a balance corresponding to the amount secured by the bond may sometimes be regarded as strong corroborative evidence of its execution, or, on the other hand, the non-existence of any such recoverable debt would certainly throw doubt upon the alleged execution of the written instrument. Without such precautions much injustice may be done. The opinion of the Officiating Judicial Commissioner, however, that the present rise in litigation in Berar has not passed legitimate bounds, and may be accepted as an indication of prosperity, and not of agricultural distress, is probably correct.

2. The average value of suits is also high, as compared with some other provinces, being Rs. 111-8-6, or (roughly speaking) £ 10—a large sum when the standard of living in the country is also considered In Akola the average is as high as Rs. 151-13—a sum for which an agriculturist could support himself and his family for a year. And this also may serve to show that no harm has as yet been done by civil litigation.

3. Contested cases number 29·8 per cent., and were disposed of at an average duration of 47 days. That this average should have been nearly doubled in the Ellichpur District speaks ill both for the officers to whom the delay is attributed and for the inattention of the Deputy Commissioner to their carelessness in this branch of their work. Major Rennick and the Tahsildar of Daryapur were unfavourably noticed for their pending suits in last year's Report, and the blame of the present failure also lies on their shoulders.

4 It is satisfactory to note that out of 201 men imprisoned for debt, as many as 187 obtained their release at an early date.

5. Of the witnesses who attended the courts, rather more than one-half were found to have been summoned unnecessarily and went away unexamined. This state of things corresponds with the Resident's recollections of the province nearly twenty years ago. The practice is attributed to the vakils; but he thinks that a word of advice or warning on the subject would not be thrown away, and that it is quite within the province of a court to give it.

6. The percentage of decisions given in favour of the plaintiff by the Khamgaon Small Cause Court is (for India) enormous (91 1); and Mr. Cordery cannot but apprehend that the tendency to accept as indisputable the validity of written instruments, which is noticeable in some of the reports of the year, needs some careful watching, which he is confident it will receive at the hands of the Judicial Commissioner The same extraordinary proportion was observable in the Khamgaon report for 1881, and the Resident would be glad to receive a report upon any exceptional circumstances which may have led to it.

7. The appellate work has not been heavy and has been satisfactorily disposed of, though the average duration has been too high in the Amraoti Deputy Commissioner's Court.

8. The insufficiency of the present civil establishments was under the consideration of Mr. Jones, and will be considered at an early date, after the present Resident has had an opportunity of consulting the Judicial Commissioner.

9. Commendable energy has been shown in the disposal of applications for the execution of decrees, but the number pending at the close of the year in Akola and Ellichpur is still much too large. The Small Cause Courts' files show very well in this respect.

10. The Resident's thanks are due to Major Szczepanski, the Officiating Judicial Commissioner, for his clear and business-like reports, which were forwarded to this office punctually up to date The acknowledgments of Government are also conveyed to the officers whom he has brought to special notice for their judicial work.

(By order),

G. H. TREVOR,

Secretary for Berar.